

ee Saltzgaber - Owner and Manage Atlas Van Lines Agent 8305 N 58<sup>th</sup> Circle, Suite A Lincoln, NE 68517 (402)466-1112

April 15, 2021

Attn: Nebraska Public Service Commission

## Commissioners and NPSC Staff,

Thank you for your time and interest in the moving industry before and after the changes from LB461. I appreciate the thought and effort that has gone into these proposed rules and regulations and support these as a basic foundation to protect the consumer through basic standards. I would suggest the following changes to be considered:

- 1. Cargo Insurance (page7 003.03A)
  - a. A minimum of \$35,000 to be required instead of the proposed \$5,000 minimum.
    - i. Reasoning: To be able to insure customers that desire to insure their belongings to a replacement value \$5,000 is not an adequate amount. I am suggesting at least a minimum of \$30,000. I come to this number because a medium/small moving truck holds 5,000 to 6,000lbs when full. Using the current industry standard of \$6/lb for household goods replacement value for that comes up to around \$35,000.
- 2. Required Weight tickets (page 47 13.09e2) and Required inventories (page 46 013.09c3)
  - a. For moves that do not leave a metropolitan area or do not cross county lines a mover may disregard obtaining a weight ticket or completing an inventory.
    - i. Reasoning: Most moves that stay within a short distance get loaded and unloaded on the same day making obtaining weight tickets impracticable and making an inventory unneeded.
    - ii. Reasoning: Using city (or metropolitan) limits and county lines creates a clear and legal lines of reference instead of using an arbitrary distance.
- 3. Disclosure of limits of Liability (page 46 013.09c6)
  - a. Disclosure of the limits of its liability and the valuation options available for any claims of damage to shipper's household goods <u>must be made in a clear</u>, <u>concise and transparent way</u>.
  - b. If a licensee does not make limitations to their liability clear and have written proof of such, I would support an effort to protect the customer and hold the licensee to a full value replacement level of liability to that customer.
    - i. Reasoning: A consistent moving industry issue is customers not realizing that they must set a valuation to their items before the move starts and that the mover may not be insuring their items to a value that they anticipated. Due to this being such a consistent issue, nationally the FMCSA mandated a special "declaration of value" form and made it incumbent on the mover to show a consumer their options. If a mover can

not show that the shipper was given valuation options, the mover is held to the highest level of liability, which I support.

Thank you again for your consideration. If you have any questions or would like any elaboration please let me know and i would be happy to be of service.

Sincerely, Lee Saltzgaber Owner/General Manager

## Monroe, John

| From:        | lee saltzgaber <l2saltzgaber@gmail.com></l2saltzgaber@gmail.com>        |
|--------------|---|
| Sent:        | Friday, April 16, 2021 7:57 AM  |
| То:          | Reyes, Jamie; Monroe, John; PSC Motorfilings                            |
| Subject:     | Rules and Regulations 206 Response                                      |
| Attachments: | NPSC rules are regulations 206.docx; NPSC rules are regulations 206.pdf |

Attached are remarks regarding this proposal. i have attached a pdf and a word copy for whatever is easier for you. Thank you Lee Saltzgaber Heartland moving