



Nebraska Broadband Bridge Program Challenge Form

Section I: Challenging Provider Details

1. Challenging provider entity name:	Cox Nebraska Telcom, LLC
2. Name of person completing challenge form (first and last name):	Mark DiNunzio
3. Mailing address of challenging provider:	11505 W. Dodge Road
3a. Challenging provider city:	Omaha
3b. Challenging provider state:	Nebraska
3c. Challenging provider zip code:	68154
4. E-mail address of the person completing challenge form:	mark.dinunzio@cox.com
5. Phone number of the person completing challenge form:	623.328.3252

Section II: Challenge Details

1. NBBP applicant against which the challenge is being brought:	Pinpoint Communications Inc.
2. NBBP project name in which the challenge is being brought:	North Omaha 24th Street
3. Does the challenging provider currently provide service at the minimum 100/20 Mbps speed threshold to all serviceable locations within the challenged portion of the project area? (See below for required attachments.)	Yes
4. Is the challenging provider currently constructing broadband infrastructure in the project area, or is proximate to the project area and anticipates completion of broadband infrastructure providing a minimum 100/20 Mbps speed threshold to all serviceable locations within the challenged portion of the project area within 18 months? (See below for required attachments.)	No

ATTACHMENTS (mark the boxes below for which documentation is attached):

NOTE: Please label attachments as follows: “[Challenger Name]_[Applicant Name]_[Project]_[Attachment Letter].” If it is necessary to submit multiple attachments under one attachment letter category, label the attachments to identify the separate attachments. Ex. “[Challenger Name]_[Applicant Name]_[Project]_[Attachment Letter]_1”, “[Challenger Name]_[Applicant Name]_[Project]_[Attachment Letter]_2”, etc.

Challengers that answered “Yes” to Section II, Field 3 must attach the following to their challenge form:

- A customer list of individuals subscribing to service located within the project area. (Attachment Letter: A)
- Evidence that the required service levels are being met at the customer premise. (Attachment Letter: B)
- Evidence demonstrating that the speed thresholds (100/20 Mbps) can be met, and are being advertised to customers. (Attachment Letter: C)
- Evidence demonstrating that the requisite information is part of their most recent FCC Form 477 filing, or an attestation that it will be part of the earliest subsequent FCC Form 477 filing. (Attachment Letter: D)
- A disclosure of any locations in the proposed project area that are not capable of receiving service at 100/20 Mbps and, if less than 100/20 Mbps, what speeds are available. (Attachment Letter: E)
- A plant map showing existing facilities in relation to the proposed project area, including a description of the type of facilities (Copper, fiber, etc.). (Attachment Letter: F)

Challengers that answered “Yes” to Section II, Field 4 must attach the following to their challenge form:

- A description of the project underway, including a timeline for what has been completed to date, and the timeline for completion. (Attachment Letter: G)
- A description which includes the identification of any 3rd party contractors, and evidence of costs incurred and/or work being initiated, including invoices or copies of purchase orders, local permits applied for and received, and locate request tickets. (Attachment Letter: H)
- A construction map showing facilities to be deployed in the project area. (Attachment Letter: I)
- A construction timeline that indicates completion within the 18-month timetable. (Attachment Letter: J)

ATTESTATION: By signing this document, I attest under penalty of perjury that the information contained in this form and all supporting documents are true and accurate, and that I have undertaken due diligence to obtain knowledge regarding these claims. I understand that if this challenge involves anticipated completion of broadband structure within the project area within eighteen months, I agree to provide progress reports to the Commission every three months which demonstrate progress towards construction. I also agree that if construction is not completed within the required eighteen-month timeframe, the challenging provider shall not challenge any grant for the following two fiscal years unless the failure to complete construction is shown to be due to factors beyond the challenging provider’s control.

Kim Rowell

11/3/21

Printed Name of Officer or Agent
Market Vice President

Date

Title of Officer or Agent

Kim Rowell

Signature of Officer or Agent

Click to Attach this Form to an Email