



Nebraska Public Service Commission



2010 Annual Report on Telecommunications

**ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
ON THE STATUS OF
THE NEBRASKA TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY**



NEBRASKA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

September 30, 2010

**Nebraska Public Service Commission
300 The Atrium
1200 N Street
P.O. Box 94927
Lincoln, NE 68509-4927
(402) 471-3101
(800) 526-0017 (Instate Only)
psc.nebraska.gov**

**2010 ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
Nebraska Public Service Commissioners**

Gerald L. Vap
5th District
McCook
Chairman

Tim Schram
3rd District
Gretna
Vice-Chairman

Frank E. Landis
1st District
Lincoln

Anne C. Boyle
2nd District
Omaha

Rod Johnson
4th District
Sutton

General Administration Staff

Mike Hybl- Executive Director
John Burvainis-Deputy Director
Shanicee Knutson-Legal Counsel
Angela DuVall Melton-Legal Counsel
Nichole Mulcahy-Legal Counsel
Tyler Frost-Analyst/Economist II
Jamie McAlister-Law Clerk
Brenda Wicken-Business Manager
Amy Kavan-Accountant I
Kathy Lahman-Executive Assistant
Katy Hanson-Receptionist/Administrative Support

Communications Department Staff

Gene Hand-Director
John Burvainis-Accountant
Steve Stovall-Accountant
Don Gray-Telecom Analyst
Cheryl Elton-Consumer Affairs Advocate/IT Support
Deena Ackerman-Administrative Assistant
Susan Horn-Administrative Assistant

Telecommunications Infrastructure & Public Safety Department Staff

Sue Vanicek-Director
Laurie Casados-Telehealth Coordinator/Policy Analyst
Joan Raffety-E911 Coordinator
Angela DuVall Melton-Policy Advisor
Brandy Zierott-Administrative Assistant
Andrea Grell-NTAP Coordinator
Jackie Clanton-NTAP Specialist

Nebraska Public Service Commission

COMMISSIONERS

ANNE C. BOYLE
FRANK E. LANDIS
GERALD L. VAP
ROD JOHNSON
TIM SCHRAM



300 The Atrium, 1200 N Street,
Lincoln, NE 68508
P.O. Box 94927,
Lincoln, NE 68509-4927
Website: psc.nebraska.gov
Phone: (402) 471-3101
Fax: (402) 471-0254

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MIKE HYBL

NEBRASKA CONSUMER HOTLINE
(800) 526-0017

September 30, 2010

The Nebraska Public Service Commission is pleased to provide our annual report on the status of the telecommunications industry in the State of Nebraska. This report covers Commission decisions and events related to the telecommunications industry from September 2009 through August 2010.

Despite over a decade of number conservation efforts on the part of the Commission and the industry, the Commission was required to develop measures to introduce a new area code in eastern Nebraska. The exhaustion of assignable telephone numbers in the Code was forecasted to occur around March 2011. Therefore, the Commission has been working diligently with industry since the beginning of 2010 to educate consumers about the implementation of the new 531 Area Code overlay in eastern Nebraska, as well as the associated mandatory 10-digit dialing. The 308 Area Code is currently forecasted to have sufficient numbering resources well beyond the next 20 years.

Last year the Commission was designated by Governor Heineman as the State entity to apply for and administer funding for a broadband mapping and planning project as part of the Federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. Earlier this year, the Commission was approved for \$2.1 million in stimulus funding to increase broadband access and adoption throughout the State. This award consisted of approximately \$1.6 million to collect, integrate, verify and map broadband availability throughout the state and \$500,000 for a broadband planning project. The broadband planning project is a collaborative effort led by the Nebraska Information Technology Commission's Community Council, University of Nebraska – Lincoln Extension and Nebraska Department of Economic Development.

In addition, the Commission was designated as the E911 Coordinator by Governor Heineman last year in order to implement E911 services and apply for available grant funds under the Ensuring Needed Help Arrives Near Callers Employing 911 Act of 2004. The Commission was awarded \$484,000 under the Act's grant program to purchase and install equipment and software for the provision of Phase II 911 service in Morrill County, Garden County, Region 24, and Pierce County. Funds will also be used for intertandem trunking between the selective routers in Scottsbluff and Grand Island.

This report contains more detailed information regarding these as well as other telecommunications topics. If you have any questions, contact our Commissioners or staff.

Sincerely,

Gerald L. Vap
Chairman

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Access Line Data

- | | | |
|----|-------------------|---|
| 1. | Access Line Table | 1 |
| 2. | Access Line Graph | 3 |

PART II

Review of the Quality of Telecommunications Service Provided to Nebraska Citizens

- | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Telephone Informal Complaints | 4 |
| A. | Local Exchange Carriers | 5 |
| B. | Interexchange Carriers | 6 |
| C. | Departmental Complaints | 7 |
| D. | Relay Service Complaints | 7 |

PART III

Review of the Availability of Diverse and Affordable Telecommunications Services to the People of Nebraska

- | | | |
|----|--|----|
| 1. | The Telecommunications Act of 1996 | 10 |
| 2. | Local Competition | 14 |
| A. | Competitive Local Exchange Carriers | 14 |
| B. | Interconnection Agreements | 14 |
| 3. | Service Testing & Outage Reports | 15 |
| 4. | Telecommunications Relay Services | 16 |
| A. | Telecommunications Relay Service-Selected Statistics (Table) | 21 |
| 5. | Extended Area Service | 27 |
| 6. | Numbering Issues | 27 |
| 7. | NII Dialing Codes | 32 |
| A. | Nebraska 211 Coverage Map | 35 |
| 8. | Nebraska Internet Enhancement Fund | 37 |
| 9. | Marketplace Fund | 38 |

PART IV

Review of the Level of Rates of Local Exchange and Interexchange Companies

- | | | |
|----|--|----|
| 1. | Local Exchange Rates | 39 |
| 2. | Financial Statistics | 40 |
| 3. | Long Distance Telephone Rates/Access Charges | 40 |
| 4. | Telephone Billing Changes | 41 |
| A. | Basic Local Rate Changes (Table) | 42 |
| B. | Nebraska Local Exchange Carriers & Rates (Table) | 43 |
| C. | Explanation of Charges Which May Appear on Your Telephone Bill | 46 |

PART V	
Recommendations for the 2011 Legislative Session	47
PART VI	
The Changing Face of Communications	
1. Broadband	48
2. American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009	49
3. Voice Over Internet Protocol	52
PART VII	
Applications and Tariffs	53
PART VIII	
Nebraska Telecommunications Infrastructure & Public Safety Department	
1. Universal Service Fund	54
2. Wireless E911 Fund	59
A. Status of E911 Map	62
B. Wireless 911 Information	65


PART I

ACCESS LINE & EXCHANGE DATA (See Note 1)			
December 31, 2009			
COMPANY	ACCESS LINES		
	BUS.	RES.	TOTAL
QWEST COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION	91,730	104,419	196,149
WINDSTREAM NEBRASKA, INC.	80,792	107,079	187,871
COX NEBRASKA TELECOM, LLC	49,034	123,130	172,164
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE MIDWEST	37,564	768	38,332
CITIZENS TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANY OF NEBRASKA (dba FRONTIER)	13,969	22,824	36,793
GREAT PLAINS COMMUNICATIONS	6,376	20,092	26,468
TIME WARNER CABLE	1,248	22,765	24,013
NEBRASKA TECHNOLOGY & TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC. (NT&T)	4,375	19,151	23,526
UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF THE WEST (dba CENTURYLINK)	4,801	12,454	17,255
WINDSTREAM COMMUNICATIONS OF THE MIDWEST	11,610	706	12,316
CHARTER FIBERLINK-NEBRASKA, LLC	606	10,093	10,699
TCG OMAHA	8,693	0	8,693
BLAIR TELEPHONE COMPANY	1,647	5,087	6,734
NEBRASKA CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY	1,568	4,831	6,399
NORTHEAST NEBRASKA TELEPHONE COMPANY	1,128	5,143	6,271
HAMILTON TELEPHONE COMPANY	1,798	3,583	5,381
ALLO COMMUNICATIONS	4,396	804	5,200
GRANITE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, LLC	4,422	0	4,422
SOUTHEAST NEBRASKA TELEPHONE COMPANY	785	2,480	3,265
EASTERN NEBRASKA TELEPHONE COMPANY	967	1,866	2,833
ORBITCOM, INC.	2,656	99	2,755
CONSOLIDATED TELEPHONE	655	1,967	2,622
GLENWOOD LONG DISTANCE	384	1,789	2,173
COZAD TELEPHONE COMPANY	674	1,396	2,070
ARAPAHOE TELEPHONE COMPANY	577	1,435	2,012
LONG LINES SIOUXLAND, LLC	497	1,262	1,759
HUNTEL COMMUNICATIONS	673	959	1,632
PIERCE TELEPHONE COMPANY, INC.	379	1,185	1,564
CONSOLIDATED TELCO, INC.	380	963	1,343
HARTINGTON TELECOMMUNICATIONS CO., INC.	421	913	1,334
THREE RIVER TELCO	239	950	1,189
MCIMETRO ACCESS TRANSMISSION SERVICES	475	652	1,127

COMPANY	ACCESS LINES		
	BUS.	RES.	TOTAL
CAMBRIDGE TELEPHONE COMPANY	333	745	1,078
STANTON TELECOM, INC.	218	853	1,071
PLAINVIEW TELEPHONE COMPANY	264	741	1,005
BENKELMAN TELEPHONE COMPANY	271	709	980
CONSOLIDATED TELECOM	198	766	964
PINPOINT COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	209	743	952
ARLINGTON TELEPHONE COMPANY	115	836	951
HOOPER TELEPHONE (dba WESTEL SYSTEMS)	214	727	941
DALTON TELEPHONE COMPANY	189	744	933
THREE RIVER COMMUNICATIONS, LLC	320	555	875
MOBIUS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY	0	875	875
HENDERSON COOPERATIVE TELEPHONE (dba MAINSTAY)	261	613	874
FIBERCOMM, LC	558	290	848
ROCK COUNTY TELEPHONE COMPANY	205	620	825
HEMINGFORD COOPERATIVE TELEPHONE COMPANY	165	602	767
DILLER TELEPHONE COMPANY	104	650	754
CLARKS TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANY	173	570	743
HERSHEY COOPERATIVE TELEPHONE	107	534	641
CURTIS TELEPHONE COMPANY	204	421	625
WAUNETA TELEPHONE COMPANY	128	425	553
K&M TELEPHONE COMPANY	119	415	534
BULLSEYE TELECOM., INC.	524	0	524
NORTHSTAR TELECOM., INC.	6	461	467
KEYSTONE-ARTHUR TELEPHONE COMPANY	89	358	447
HARTMAN TELEPHONE EXCHANGE	58	289	347
MCLEOD USA TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES	318	0	318
TRANSNATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS INTERNATIONAL, INC.	236	0	236
METROPOLITAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS OF NEBRASKA., INC.	205	0	205
ELSIE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	47	134	181
ERNEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	179	0	179
IONEX COMMUNICATIONS NORTH, INC.	126	40	166
FIRST COMMUNICATIONS, LLC	0	158	158
COMTEL TELCOM ASSETS, LP	0	112	112
SODTOWN TELEPHONE COMPANY	5	68	73
FRANCE TELECOM CORPORATION SOLUTIONS, LLC	11	0	11
NOS COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	10	0	10
MATRIX TELECOM, INC.	10	0	10

COMPANY	ACCESS LINES		
	BUS.	RES.	TOTAL
LIGHTYEAR NETWORK SOLUTIONS, LLC	1	2	3
APPLIED COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY, INC.	1	1	2
QUANTUMSHIFT COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	1	0	1
TOTAL	341,701	495,902	837,603

Note (1): Access lines exclude the following categories: a) Mobile Access; b) Special Access (non-switched);
c) Resold and; d) Official Company lines.



Note: Wireless and other communication provider's access lines reported for relay remittance purposes represent 1,281,986 for the year ended December 31, 2009. Of the access lines listed above 60% provide wireless services.

PART II

Review of the Quality of Telecommunications Service Provided to Nebraska Citizens

1. Informal Telephone Complaints

The following table shows the total number of complaints filed this year and divides the complaints between local exchange carriers (LECs), interexchange carriers (IXCs), also known as long distance companies, and wireless carriers. The miscellaneous category includes internet, cable TV, VoIP and pay phone complaints.

2009 – 2010 Complaint Type By Carrier Type

2009-2010 Types	LECs	IXCs	Wireless	Miscellaneous	Total
Billing	133	73	203	18	427
Service	117	12	83	16	228
Auto Dialer	3	0	0	0	3
Telemarketing	39	61	1	0	101
Customer Service	2	0	5	1	8
Slamming	2	4	0	0	6
Directory	7	0	0	0	7
Carrier to Carrier	0	0	0	0	0
Area Code	8	0	1	0	9
TOTAL	311	150	293	35	789

Historical By Carrier Type

	2006-2007		2007-2008		2008-2009		2009-2010	
	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%
LECs	418	42.5	392	38.6	374	39.2	311	39.4
IXCs	172	17.5	183	18.0	139	14.6	150	19.0
Wireless	351	35.7	366	36.0	365	38.2	293	37.2
Miscellaneous	43	4.3	75	7.4	76	8.0	35	4.4
TOTAL	984	100.0%	1016	100.0%	954	100.0%	789	100.0%

Historical By Complaint Type

Types by Year	2006-2007	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010
Billing	527	559	484	427
Service	339	358	339	228
Auto Dialer	11	4	51	3
Telemarketing	46	51	43	101
Customer Service	22	13	8	8
Slamming	14	16	10	6
Directory	25	15	17	7
Carrier to Carrier	0	0	2	0
Area Code	0	0	0	9
TOTAL	984	1016	954	789

While the Commission lacks statutory authority over wireless telecommunications service and billing, we continue to receive and process wireless complaints. The Commission strives, utilizing existing resources, to resolve these complaints to benefit the wireless consumers of Nebraska.

Wireless Complaints By Carrier

Wireless Carriers	2007-2008		2008-2009		2009-2010	
	Complaints	%	Complaints	%	Complaints	%
Alltel Wireless	156	42.6	134	36.7	57	19.5
AT&T	0	0.0	20	5.5	7	2.4
Cellular One	0	0.0	1	0.3	0	0.0
Cingular Wireless	6	1.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Cricket	22	6.0	29	7.9	19	6.5
Qwest	0	0.0	14	3.8	1	0.3
Sprint	112	30.6	56	15.3	25	8.5
T-Mobile	6	1.6	4	1.2	0	0.0
TracFone Wireless	5	1.4	5	1.4	6	2.0
US Cellular	10	2.8	14	3.8	6	2.0
Verizon Wireless	26	7.1	44	12.0	155	52.9
Viaero Wireless	6	1.6	12	3.3	7	2.4
Virgin Mobile	0	0.0	5	1.4	1	0.3
Others	17	4.7	27	7.4	9	3.2
TOTAL	366	100.0%	365	100.0%	293	100.0%

Of the total 789 complaints received during the fiscal year, 222 were closed in a manner that reduced the complainant's obligations to the carriers by \$48,762.60. This represents an average savings of \$219.65 per complaint. During 2009-2010 Alltel Wireless became Verizon Wireless.

A. Local Exchange Carriers (LECs)

There are 44 incumbent local exchange carriers in Nebraska (including the cooperative telephone companies) and 102 competitive local exchange carriers. Qwest is the largest LEC with 196,149 access lines. The following table shows the LEC complaints by company. The largest number of complaints involved the two largest LECs, Windstream and Qwest.

LEC Complaints By Company

LECs	2008-2009				2009-2010			
	Comp	% of Total LEC Comp	Access Lines	% of Total Lines	Comp	% of Total LEC Comp	Access Lines	% of Total Lines
Windstream	95	25.4	195,947	22.4	80	25.7	187,871	22.4
Qwest	103	27.6	220,423	25.2	69	22.2	196,149	23.4
NT&T	17	4.5	28,182	3.1	11	3.5	23,526	2.8
Cox	17	4.5	169,148	19.3	18	5.8	172,164	20.6
Frontier	17	4.5	39,327	4.5	24	7.7	36,793	4.4

LEC Complaints By Company Continued:

LECs	2008-2009				2009-2010			
	Comp	% of Total LEC Comp	Access Lines	% of Total Lines	Comp	% of Total LEC Comp	Access Lines	% of Total Lines
CenturyLink	2	0.5	18,864	2.2	8	2.6	17,255	2.1
McLeodUSA	2	0.5	1,492	0.2	0	0	318	0.0
Great Plains	6	1.6	27,760	3.1	7	2.3	26,468	3.2
AT&T	8	2.2	49,185	5.6	6	1.9	38,332	4.6
MCI	2	0.5	1,677	0.2	3	1.0	1,127	0.1
Time Warner	15	4.0	21,761	2.5	8	2.6	24,013	2.9
NorthStar Telecom	4	1.1	720	0.1	3	1.0	467	0.0
Consolidated	3	0.8	2,657	0.3	0	0	2,622	0.3
OrbitCom	5	1.3	2,410	0.3	0	0	2,755	0.3
Others	78	21.0	96,507	11.0	76	24.2	107,743	12.9
TOTAL	374	100.0%	876,060	100.0%	311	100.0%	837,603	100.0%

B. Interexchange Carriers (IXCs)

The number of long distance companies certificated to operate in the state continues to grow. Currently, there are 241 companies authorized to provide long distance services in Nebraska. The following table shows the number of complaints filed against long distance companies. Customers can verify they have the long distance carrier of their choice by dialing the toll-free telephone number (700) 555-4141. The largest number of complaints involved telemarketing calls made by a local customer in Omaha. These telemarketing calls contacted customers all over the country. Those complaints are included in the miscellaneous numbers.

IXCs	2007-2008		2008-2009		2009-2010	
	Complaints	%	Complaints	%	Complaints	%
AT&T	26	14.2	29	20.9	8	5.3
MCI	14	7.7	12	8.6	7	4.7
Excel	2	1.1	3	2.1	1	0.7
Sprint	6	3.3	1	0.7	1	0.7
VarTec	8	4.4	1	0.7	1	0.7
ILD Teleservices	5	2.7	13	9.4	6	4.0
Integretal	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Windstream	19	10.4	11	7.9	7	4.7
Qwest	15	8.2	7	5.0	6	4.0
PowerNet Global	1	0.5	0	0.0	2	1.2
Evercom	3	1.6	0	0.0	2	1.2
Zero Plus Dialing, Inc.	7	3.8	13	9.4	7	4.7
ESBI	4	2.3	7	5.0	6	4.0
Reduced Rate Long Distance	8	4.4	2	1.5	1	0.7
Correctional Billing	3	1.6	2	1.5	1	0.7
Williams Communication	17	9.3	1	0.7	1	0.7
Misc.	44	24.0	37	26.6	93	62.0
TOTAL	183	100.0	139	100.0%	150	100.0%

C. Departmental Complaints

The following departmental complaint is pending with the Commission:

*DC-71/ Maurice Gene Hand, Director of the Communications Department of the Nebraska
NUSF-53 Public Service Commission and Jeffrey L. Pursley, Director of the Universal Service
Fund Department of the Nebraska Public Service Commission v. Qwest Corporation.*

On March 2, 2006, M. Gene Hand, Director of the Communications Department and Jeff Pursley, the then Director of the Universal Service Fund Department filed a complaint against Qwest alleging Qwest violated Commission rules and regulations by failing to provide adequate services to its telecommunications customers in the exchange serving Valentine and the surrounding area. A hearing was held on July 20, 2006, in Valentine. The Commission issued an order on September 19, 2006, requiring Qwest to conduct extensive testing of the underground cable in the Valentine exchange and replace any sections that failed to test within acceptable ranges. Further, Qwest was ordered to submit written monthly progress reports with the Commission and meet with Staff and Commissioners to deliver progress reports and go over the monthly report in person. Finally, Qwest was ordered to give certain credits to rural customers and inspect and replace any faulty interior wiring of rural customers at no charge to the customer. After two years of monthly reporting, upon mutual agreement with the Commission and Qwest, Qwest began submitting quarterly reports to the Commission on the status of the rural Valentine Exchange and Commissioners, Staff, and Qwest continued to meet on an as needed basis. Qwest replaced about half of the copper wire line in the longest loop in the Valentine exchange with fiber optic cable and continues to conduct testing throughout the exchange. The Commission held a town-hall meeting in Valentine on September 23, 2009, to seek community input on the telecommunications service quality throughout the exchange. The Commission sent informal data requests to Qwest requesting information based on the comments received at the town-hall meeting on September 28, 2009. Qwest submitted initial answers on October 16, 2009, and supplemental answers to the Commission's requests on November 16, 2009. The docket remains open and the Commission continues to monitor the service quality in the rural areas of the Valentine exchange.

D. Relay Service Complaints

Consumer complaints related to relay calls (excluding CapTel) totaled nine for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010, as compared to 33 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2009. Service complaints for traditional relay totaled four during this period. Complaints are disaggregated by type in the tables. Service complaints predominantly involve the quality of the service provided by the Communication Assistant ("CA"). There was one traditional relay billing issue, requiring updating of the customer's profile to properly reflect the customers long distance carrier of choice. Four complaints were categorized as technical and these generally involve the operation of the relay center network. Two of those complaints involved adding Windstream as a long distance carrier relay users could designate as their preferred long distance carrier.

The CapTel center in Madison, Wisconsin, also tracks complaints/inquires for captioned telephone service. The Madison Center logged 194 customer contacts with one service complaint, 56 technical complaints and 137 inquiries for fiscal year ending June 30, 2010. Since the CA during a captioned telephone call is transparent to both parties, there are few CA service related complaints. There was one service complaint addressing the accuracy of the captioning performed by the CA. The majority of the technical complaints pertained to equipment set up. Inquiries

consist mainly of educating the customer regarding equipment use, requests for information and referrals where the customer is directed to state distribution programs for equipment assistance.

The following tables reflect the category of relay complaints disaggregated by type for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010.

Service Complaints

Type	Complaints
CA Accuracy/Spelling/Verbatim	1
CA Didn't Follow Policy/Procedure	0
CA Misdialed Number	1
CA Rude	1
Fraudulent/Harassment Calls	0
Fraudulent/Harassment Calls (IP-related)	0
Ringin g/No Answer	0
Miscellaneous	1
Subtotal – Service Related	4

Long Distance/Billing Complaints

Type	Complaints
Billing – Traditional	1
Subtotal – Long Distance/Billing Related	1

Technical Complaints

Type	Complaints
Carrier of Choice Not Available/Other Equal Access	2
Busy Signal/Blockage	0
Connect Time	0
Dialing Difficulties	1
Miscellaneous – Internal Update	1
Subtotal – Technical Related	4

CapTel Complaints/Inquiries

Type	Complaints
Service Complaints:	
Accuracy of Captions	1
Subtotal – Service	1
Technical Complaints:	
Dialing / Set up – Call Waiting	5
Dialing / Set up – Dialing Prefix	3
Dial Tone – Not Heard	5
Disconnect / Reconnect During Calls	1
Set up General	39
Set up – Menu Procedures	3
Subtotal - Technical	56

CapTel Complaints/Inquiries Continued

Other	Inquiries
Consumer Education – General	58
Information	38
Referral	39
Compliments	2
Subtotal – Inquiries	137
Subtotal – Total Contacts	194

PART III

Review of the Availability of Diverse and Affordable Telecommunications Services to the People of Nebraska

1. Telecommunications Act of 1996

The Nebraska Public Service Commission implements key provisions of the 1996 Telecommunications Act (Act) that facilitate competition while maintaining quality and affordable service. Multiple factors contribute to increased consumer choice. First, competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) create local competition. CLECs serve over 37 percent of the state's access lines, as compared to over 35 percent over the same period last year. Cable providers, primarily in the Omaha and Lincoln areas, serve nearly half of the market share attributed to CLECs. Second, wireless companies continue to increase their presence, now accounting for over 60 percent of the combined wireline and wireless market. The additional choice has not reduced access.

During the previous year, the Commission addressed numerous issues involving consumer choice, service quality, safety, distance learning, universal service, and emerging technologies such as VoIP (Voice over Internet Protocol). The list below includes some of the major issues addressed by the Commission in the last fiscal year:

C-3554/
NUSF-70/
PI-112 *In the Matter of the Commission, on its own motion, seeking to investigate whether the zones established in Docket C-2516 are appropriate in light of NUSF-26 findings and conclusions.*

The Commission opened this docket, on its own motion, to reevaluate the rate zone methodology set out in Docket C-2516 in light of the UNE-L zoning areas described in NUSF-26. On October 10, 2007, the Commission released an order to deaverage UNE rates into four UNE zones while creating a universal service porting mechanism to complement the zones. Qwest challenged this order in the United States District Court for the District of Nebraska, which affirmed the Commission order. On December 29, 2009, the 8th Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the District Court decision and remanded to the District Court with instructions to remand to the Commission for further proceedings as to how Qwest would implement the order. Workshops were held to discuss the implementation of the October 10, 2007, order. It was agreed to give further consideration to the municipal tax code methodology suggested by Qwest. Qwest provided additional information regarding the mechanism to the Commission and the parties. In addition, a conference call was held July 27, 2010, to further discuss the mechanism. At present, a hearing is scheduled for September 15, 2010, in the Commission Hearing Room.

C-4145/
NUSF-74/
PI-147 *In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission on its own motion, to conduct an investigation on intrastate switched access charge policies and regulation codified in Neb. Rev. Stat. Section 86-140.*

On February 24, 2009, the Commission opened an investigation and sought comment from interested parties on access charge policies and the minimum evidentiary criteria required under Neb. Rev. Stat. Section 86-140 which governs access rate changes. The stated purpose of the investigation was to focus on overarching policy objectives and goals rather than specific instances

or rates. Initial comments were received on April 23, 2009, with reply comments received on June 10, 2009. On November 3, 2009, the Commission released a proposed order, set a hearing on the proposed order and establishing post-hearing comment and reply comment opportunities for interested parties. A hearing was held on January 6, 2010. Post-hearing comments were received on February 16, 2010. Reply comments on the post-hearing comments were received on February 26, 2010. The Commission entered a final order with its opinions and findings regarding the policies and procedures of access charge proceedings on April 20, 2010. On May 3, 2010, AT&T and Sprint/Nextel filed a motion for rehearing and requested oral arguments on the joint motion. Oral arguments were heard before the Commission on May 12, 2010. Prior to the Commission issuing an order on the motion for rehearing, AT&T filed an appeal of the order with the District Court on May 20, 2010. The appeal is still pending.

C-4150/
NUSF-76 *In the Matter of the Petition of TracFone Wireless, Inc., Miami, Florida, seeking designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Nebraska for the limited purpose of offering lifeline and link-up services to qualified households.*

On March 3, 2009, TracFone Wireless, Inc. (TracFone) filed an application with the Commission to be designated by the Commission as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC) for the limited purpose of providing Lifeline and Link-up services. The Nebraska Telephone Assistance Program (NTAP) encompasses the Federal Lifeline and Link-up programs and therefore TracFone submitted a supplemental application addressing the Nebraska specific requirements for designation as a Nebraska ETC on August 11, 2009. On October 2, 2009, TracFone through its counsel requested its application be put on hold while it did further analysis on the Nebraska market. A hearing officer order issued on October 6, 2009, granted TracFone's request and the application continues to be held in abeyance pending further action by TracFone.

C-4153/
PI-148 *In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, on its own motion, to investigate Windstream Nebraska, Inc.'s tariff provision regarding non-proration of charges billed one month in advance when a customer initiates account action.*

Rule & Reg.
No. 172 *In the Matter of the Commission, on its own motion, seeking to amend Title 29 Chapter 5, Telecommunications Rules and Regulations, to add rules regarding customer billing practices.*

On March 17, 2009, the Commission opened an investigation to review a tariff provision filed by Windstream Nebraska, Inc. (Windstream). The new provision modified the billing practices of Windstream and provided that Windstream would not prorate charges or provide credit for any partial periods when customers initiate service, or if a customer changes, adds or terminates their service on a date prior to the last day of their billing cycle.

The Commission held a workshop in the investigation on April 9, 2009. In an order issued on May 12, 2009, the Commission found that a rule or regulation mirroring the industry standard practice of prorating customer bills upon initiation, changes, or termination of service would be in the public interest and closed the docket. Accordingly, on May 19, 2009, the Commission initiated Rule and Regulation No. 172 to address the issues raised in the investigation. Interested parties were given until June 30, 2009, to file written comments. A workshop on the proposed rules was held on August 6, 2009. A second set of proposed rules were issued on September 1, 2009. Interested

parties were given until October 12, 2009, to file written testimony or comments. A hearing was held on the proposed rules on October 19, 2009. The Commission issued an order adopting a final set of rules on November 24, 2009. The rules were approved by the Governor and became effective on June 12, 2010. On June 10, 2010, Windstream and Windstream of the Midwest, Inc., filed an action for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief in District Court. The Commission agreed to stay the rule pending judicial review. Judicial review of the rule is pending.

C-4165/
PI-150 *In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, on its own motion, to investigate and determine whether it is appropriate for telecommunications companies to assess intrastate transit charges on Extended Area Service Traffic.*

On April 7, 2009, the Rural Telephone Companies filed a petition for declaratory ruling against Qwest, seeking a ruling that Qwest was not authorized to assess intrastate transit charges on Extended Area Service (EAS) traffic originated by customers of the Rural Companies. On April 28, 2009, the Commission opened this docket to investigate and determine whether this action is appropriate for Qwest or any other telecommunications company in the State to assess these charges. A hearing was held January 26, 2010. On August 10, 2010, the Commission entered an order finding that Qwest improperly imposed or sought to impose transit costs upon the Rural Companies, and that Section 259 agreements should not be used to establish transit rates for EAS traffic. The Commission held that companies were free to mutually agree to alter traditional compensation agreements to account for costs associated with network traffic so long as agreements are based on a reasoned application of network responsibilities.

FC-1332 *In the Matter of the Formal Complaint of Orbitcom, Inc., Sioux Falls, South Dakota, seeking a determination that AT&T Communications of the Midwest, Inc., Denver, Colorado, failed to pay intrastate access charges billed by Orbitcom in accordance with Orbitcom's intrastate switched access tariff.*

FC-1335 *In the Matter of the Formal Complaint of AT&T Communications of the Midwest, Inc., Denver, Colorado, seeking a determination that OrbitCom, Inc., Sioux Falls, South Dakota, failed to negotiate Intrastate Access Charges and that OrbitCom's tariffed Intrastate Switched Access Rates are unfair and unreasonable.*

On February 27, 2009, a formal complaint was filed with the Commission by OrbitCom, Inc., (OrbitCom) seeking a determination that AT&T Communications of the Midwest, Inc., (AT&T), failed to pay for intrastate access services provided by OrbitCom and billed to AT&T in accordance with OrbitCom's Nebraska Switched Access Services Tariff. The formal complaint was docketed by the Commission as Application No. FC-1332.

On April 30, 2009, AT&T filed a Formal Complaint against OrbitCom with the Commission, which was docketed as Application No. FC-1335, alleging OrbitCom's intrastate access rates contained in its Nebraska tariff were not negotiated and are not fair and reasonable pursuant to Nebraska law. AT&T further requested a Commission review of OrbitCom's intrastate access rates. On May 1, 2009, AT&T filed a motion to consolidate the formal complaint proceedings pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Procedure. The Commission granted the motion to consolidate in an order issued on May 12, 2009.

A hearing was held on September 16, 2009. The Commission issued an order on November 10, 2009, dismissing AT&T's complaint and request for a review of OrbitCom's intrastate access rates and sustaining OrbitCom's complaint, finding OrbitCom had a valid and effective switched access

services tariff in Nebraska and had been billing AT&T for access services pursuant to its Nebraska tariff and AT&T should pay the outstanding balance due OrbitCom for such services rendered from April, 2008. The Commission further found an investigation into unfiled, off-tariff, agreements between carriers to provide access services at other than tariffed rates should be initiated.

On December 10, 2009, AT&T filed an appeal of the Commission's order in District Court. The Commission's order was stayed by the court pending the outcome of the appeal. The appeal is pending.

C-4238/
PI-157 *In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, on its own motion, seeking to investigate unfiled agreements for Intrastate Switched Access Services at rates other than those contained in a carrier's tariff on file with the Commission.*

On January 10, 2010, the Commission opened an investigation into agreements and/or contracts between carriers operating in Nebraska, not filed with the Commission, to provide intrastate access services at rates, terms and conditions, other than those contained in a carrier's official tariff filed with the Commission.

During the hearing on formal complaints between Orbitcom and AT&T, (See FC-1332/FC-1335 above) the Commission received testimony regarding an unfiled or "non-tariffed" agreement between Orbitcom and AT&T. The Commission further received evidence that other such agreements exist and are operational in Nebraska. The agreements are purportedly kept confidential from the Commission, as well as, other competing carriers in the market.

The Commission ordered that a data request be sent to all local exchange and interexchange carriers operating in Nebraska seeking information regarding unfiled agreements for intrastate access services at rates, terms and conditions other than those contained in tariffs filed with the Commission. On February 22, 2010, data requests were sent to local and interexchange carriers in Nebraska. Responses were due on March 31, 2010. The investigation is pending.

C-4272/
PI-169 *In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, on its own motion, to investigate practices related to network reliability and requirements governing notification for service interruptions.*

On May 12, 2010, the Commission opened an investigation, on its own motion, to investigate practices related to network reliability and standards governing telephone service interruptions. This docket was in direct response to the April 1, 2010, Windstream service outage which interrupted wireline and wireless services in Lincoln and surrounding communities as well as the ability to reach emergency responders. The Commission conducted a workshop on July 13, 2010, to seek comment on issues related to notification of service outages, how carriers have implemented industry standards, what the Commission can do to ensure practices are being followed and service outage impacts on public safety. Comments have been filed. The docket remains open for further action.

C-4280 *In the Matter of the Application of Qwest Communications International, Inc., Denver, Colorado, and CenturyLink, Inc., Vancouver, Washington, seeking approval of indirect transfer of control of Qwest Corporation, Qwest Communications Company, LLC, and Qwest LD Corp.*

On June 4, 2010, Qwest Communications International, Inc. and CenturyLink, Inc. filed a joint application to approve an indirect transfer of control of Qwest Corporation, Qwest Communications Company, LLC and Qwest LD Corp. to CenturyLink. Petitions of formal intervention were filed by Cox Communications and Charter Fiberlink-Nebraska, LLC. A planning conference was held on August 3, 2010, where it was agreed that all parties would present a list of issues to each other and prefiled testimony would be filed with the Commission no later than August 27, 2010. A hearing on the application was held for September 21 and 22, 2010.

2. Local Competition

A. Competitive Local Exchange Carriers

The following companies received new authority during the 2009-2010 fiscal year to provide local service in the corresponding territories in Nebraska:

Carrier	Territory to be Served	Granted Authority
Velocity, The Greatest Phone Company Ever, Inc.	Statewide	9/22/09
tw telecom data services llc	Statewide	4/27/10
Broadview Networks, Inc.	Statewide	1/5/10
IntelePeer, Inc.	Statewide	1/26/10
NextGen Communications, Inc. d/b/a Maryland TeleCommunication Systems, Inc.	Statewide	1/5/10
Liberty-Bell Telecom, LLC	Qwest and Statewide	7/27/10
Crexendo Business Solutions, Inc.	Statewide	8/10/10

There are currently 102 carriers that have received Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity to provide competitive local exchange services in Nebraska; however, not all carriers are currently offering local service in Nebraska.

B. Interconnection Agreements

Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996, a company wanting to compete with a local exchange carrier (LEC) needs to enter into an interconnection agreement with the LEC in whose territory it wishes to offer service. A company may reach an interconnection agreement with a LEC in one of three ways: 1) It may voluntarily negotiate an interconnection agreement; 2) Request adoption of a Commission-approved interconnection agreement in accordance with Section 252(i) of the Act; or 3) Ask for mediation or arbitration if voluntary negotiations are not successful at reaching a mutually-acceptable interconnection agreement. All interconnection agreements that have been approved by the Commission can be found on the Commission's website at psc.nebraska.gov. The agreements are divided into the following three categories: 1) Voluntarily-negotiated; 2) Section 252(i) Interconnection Agreements; and 3) Arbitrated.

3. Service Testing & Outage Report

The Commission ensures Nebraskans are receiving quality telecommunications service by periodically reviewing performance data provided by telecom carriers and by monitoring consumer complaints for indications of potential problems requiring further investigation. All local exchange carriers are using digital switches designed to perform a series of self-diagnostic tests, which makes the monitoring and identification of service quality issues much easier. The Commission's technical staff offers assistance in identifying the source of service problems and is available to assist in resolving service complaints from consumers across the state.

The current service quality standards in the Commission's Telecommunications Rules and Regulations were developed for traditional copper based switched circuit technology. The Commission staff is conducting the final review of the existing service quality rules and will propose changes intended to update the rules consistent with current technologies.

Reports are required to be filed with the Commission by local exchange carriers when service outages are experienced. The report provides the date and time of the outage, the geographic area affected, the cause of the outage, if known, and an estimate of the access lines affected. Within five days of clearing the trouble, a final report must be filed showing the number of customer trouble reports received related to the outage and the corrective action taken. The following tables show the number of service outages by type, the total number of outages, access lines affected and the average number of access lines affected per outage as reported by carriers for the last ten years.

Historical Outage Causes

	Cable Cuts	Telephone Equipment Malfunction	Weather	Accidental	Maintenance	Unknown
2000-2001	60	22	5	4	12	70
2001-2002	47	30	3	2	6	40
2002-2003	31	29	5	5	0	28
2003-2004	42	26	2	2	3	23
2004-2005	18	23	2	1	1	8
2005-2006	87	37	5	7	2	6
2006-2007	94	35	12	5	1	2
2007-2008	72	40	9	3	1	2
2008-2009	79	43	5	7	8	1
2009-2010	51	29	30	6	1	5

Historical Access Lines Affected

	Total Service Outages	Total Affected Access Lines	Average Number of Access Lines Affected per Outage
2000-2001	173	300,276	1,746
2001-2002	127	280,447	2,208
2002-2003	100	201,659	1,027
2003-2004	98	103,571	1,057
2004-2005	63	75,175	1,193
2005-2006	144	88,969	618
2006-2007	149	71,869	482
2007-2008	127	62,641	493
2008-2009	143	77,260	540
2009-2010	123	116,656	948

4. Telecommunications Relay Services

Telecommunications Relay Services (TRS) was created by Title IV of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA). TRS allows a person who has a hearing or speech disability to access the nation’s telephone system to communicate with voice telephone users through a relay provider and a communications assistant (CA). Such a definition includes services that enable two-way communication between an individual who uses a text telephone (TTY or TT) or other nonvoice terminal device and an individual who does not have such a device. Communications Assistants (CAs) transmit (i.e. “Relay”) written communication from a text telephone or other nonvoice terminal device to a person using a standard telephone. The person using the standard telephone speaks to the CA who transmits the message to the hearing impaired individual.

Nebraska relay is funded through a monthly surcharge on all telephone numbers or functional equivalent, including wireless lines. Since 1991 the surcharge set by the Commission has been between a high of ten cents and a low of three cents, with the rate predominately set between the seven and five cent range. For the July 1, 2010, assessment year ending June 30, 2011, the surcharge was increased from three to five cents.

The definition of TRS applies to the following forms of TRS and their descriptions follow:

- 1) **Video Relay Services (VRS):** VRS is a form of TRS that allows the VRS user with hearing or speech disabilities to access the nation’s telephone system and communication in American Sign Language (ASL) by using a video-to-video link, with a communications assistant, rather than through text. VRS service presently requires a broadband internet connection;

- 2) **Internet Protocol Relay (IP):** IP relay is a form of TRS that allows individuals with hearing or speech disabilities to communicate in text messages via a computer (or other web-enabled device), rather than with a TTY and the Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN);

- 3) **Speech to Speech (STS):** This form of TRS is used by an individual with a speech disability. A CA, who is specially trained in understanding a variety of speech disorders, repeats what the caller says in a manner that makes the caller's words clear and understandable to the called party. STS relay does not require any specialized equipment;
- 4) **Shared Non-English Language Relay Services:** Due to the predominance of Spanish speakers in the United States the FCC mandates interstate TRS providers to offer Spanish-to-Spanish relay. Although Spanish language relay is not required intrastate (within a state), Nebraska offers both Spanish-to-Spanish as well as Spanish-to-English relay;
- 5) **Captioned Telephone Service:** This service, like VCO, is used by persons with a hearing disability but some residual hearing. The service utilizes a special telephone that has a text screen to display the captions of what the other party to the conversation is saying. A captioned telephone allows the user, on one line, to speak directly to the called party and to simultaneously listen and view what the other party is saying. There is also a "two-line" version that allows custom calling features, such as call-waiting, *69, call forwarding and direct dialing for 911 emergency service. Unlike traditional relay (in which the CA is typing what the called party says, the CA repeats or re-voices what the called party says. Speech recognition technology transcribes the CA's voice to text, which is transmitted to the captioned telephone text display;
- 6) **IP Captioned Telephone Service:** Internet Protocol captioned telephone service, one of the newest forms of TRS, combines element of IP Relay and captioned telephone. This service uses the internet – rather than the telephone network – to provide the link and captions between the caller with a hearing disability and the CA. Users make/receive calls with any telephone and view captions of the call on a computer through an Internet browser;
- 7) **Traditional TRS:** Generally, Text-to-Voice TTY-based, VCO (Voice Carry Over and HCO (Hearing Carry Over) services come under this category. VCO allows a person with a hearing disability to speak directly to the caller party and receive responses in text from the CA. HCO allows a person with a speech disability to listen to the called party and type his/her part of the conversation on a TTY.

In 1995, the Legislature created the Nebraska Specialized Telecommunications Equipment Program (NSTEP) which enables qualifying deaf, hard-of-hearing and/or speech-impaired citizens to obtain specialized telecommunications equipment at no expense, subject to certain program restrictions. Funded by the Relay Surcharge, telecommunications equipment, such as text telephones, amplifiers, signaling devices and speech-generating devices have been made available to deaf, hard-of-hearing and/or speech-impaired consumers. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010, \$320,711 was expended in the program compared to \$283,399 for the previous year. The program served 1,082 households during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010, compared to 915 for the previous year.

Summary of Significant Issues Impacting Telecommunications Relay Services State Level

- 1) Effective July 1, 2009, Sprint began providing relay service for the State of Nebraska, including CapTel service as a result of a competitive bid process. The contract is for a period of five (5) years effective July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2014, with the option to renew for two (2) additional two (2) year periods as mutually agreed upon by all parties.
- 2) The March 2010 order, C-4240, setting the surcharge for the fiscal year July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, resulted in the surcharge being increased from \$.03 to \$.05.

Summary of Significant Issues Impacting Telecommunications Relay Services Federal Level

A number of issues impacting Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau (CG) 03-123 (*“Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities”*) and the new docket CG 10-51 are as follows:

- 1) On August 12, 2009, Purple Communications filed a *Petition for Rulemaking to Clarify Relay Rules* that pertain to VRS. Specifically, to confirm that reimbursement of multi-party VRS calls, regardless of whether a hearing individual is on the call is compensable. The FCC reiterated its position that “point-to-point” calls, i.e. calls that permit persons with hearing disabilities to communicate directly with each other, are not relay calls and thus not compensable from the fund.
- 2) The FCC conducted a workshop on Ten-Digit Numbering and E911 Requirements for VRS and IP Relay on September 25, 2009. This workshop was held in the FCC Commission Meeting Room at the Washington, DC headquarters. It should be noted that the rules require VRS and IP Relay users to be able to make and receive calls using their new ten-digit numbers. The rules also require providers to route the ten-digit number and location information to the appropriate public safety answering point. As of November 12, 2009, all eligible VRS and IP Relay users must be registered with their default provider. The workshop’s aim was to find ways to more effectively inform consumers of their registration requirements.
- 3) In the *FCC Order released November 5, 2009*, the Commission clarified E911 Requirements for IP-Enabled Service Providers. In summary;
 - a) A provider would not be held as non-compliant in cases where a provider could not gain access to the ten-digit, North American Numbering Plan (NANP) numbers in the users’ rate centers;
 - b) Providers would still be in compliance if they were unable to route the Communications Assistant identification numbers (CA IDs), if the information was conveyed orally;
 - c) The FCC clarified certain aspects of the requirement that IP-based providers implement a system of call backs from emergency personnel to the telephone

number an IP-based TRS consumer following a 911 call receive priority queuing.

- 4) In the *FCC Declaratory Ruling* released on February 25, 2010, *CG 10-51* was created to address VRS Structure, reform, practices and all other VRS matters. Under this ruling the FCC emphasized the following issues:
 - a) VRS calls made by or to a VRS provider's employee or employee of the provider's subcontractor are not eligible for reimbursement;
 - b) VRS calls made for the express purpose of merely inflating compensable minutes are not reimbursable and;
 - c) 1) VRS Voice Carry Over used to connect two hearing uses and 2) VRS calls uses to connect two users who are both outside the United States are not compensable. VRS calls that both originate and terminate outside the United States are not compensable under Section 225. Also, it should be noted that as a part of the registration and verification requirements applicable to ten-digit, NANP assignment of telephone numbers to Internet-based TRS users, providers must verify that only those persons with hearing or speech disabilities *residing in the United States* may obtain from them, and be registered with, a ten-digit NANP number.

- 5) In the FCC media news release of May 27, 2010, the *FCC Takes Further Steps To Ensure That Video Relay Service Will Continue As A Vibrant Service*. The FCC sought comment on a number of issues, including:
 - a) Whether the FCC should implement whistleblower policies and procedures for alleged offenders;
 - b) Whether more stringent rules for auditing VRS providers should be implemented;
 - c) Whether the demand and cost data provided to the interstate fund should be made public;
 - d) Other issues addressing fraud and misuse of VRS calls.

- 6) States and Telecommunications Relay Services providers were required to submit their annual consumer complaint log summaries for the 12-month period ending May 31, 2010, on or before July 1, 2010. The FCC requires state TRS programs to maintain a log of consumer complaints that allege violations of the federal TRS mandatory minimum standards. The Public Service Commission satisfied this requirement by the deadline. A copy of this complaint summary is available by contacting the PSC or accessing the FCC's website.

- 7) In the *June 28, 2010, Notice of Inquiry, Structure and Practices of the Video Relay Service Program*, the FCC divided the NOI into two parts; Part 1 – Adjustments and Modifications to Improve the Current Video Relay Service Compensation Methodology and; Part 2 – Broader and Economic Issues Concerning Video Relay Service. Included in Part 1 were suggestions for a “Best Practices” system of accounting for VRS providers, establishment of reasonable ranges caps or maximum compensable amounts, outreach expenses and executive compensation. In Part 2, the FCC seeks comment on improving efficiencies in managing the program and ways to circumvent abuse and fraud. Issues regarding subsidizing and creating standards for

videophone equipment as well as video communication service functionalities are also addressed.

- 8) In its *Order released June 28, 2010*, the FCC adopts per-minute compensation rates from the Interstate Telecommunications Relay Services Fund for each of the forms of relay services for the July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011. These rates are as follows;
- a) For VRS; \$6.2390 for Tier I, \$6.2335 for Tier II, and \$5.0668 for Tier III;
 - b) \$2.0256 for interstate traditional TRS;
 - c) \$3.1566 for Speech-to-Speech (STS). This service includes a factor of 1.131 for outreach;
 - d) \$1.6951 for Captioned Telephone Service (CTS) and Internet Protocol (IP) CTS and;
 - e) \$1.2985 for IP Relay.

The following table displays historical statistics that reflect the operation of the Nebraska Relay System and Nebraska Specialized Telecommunications Equipment Program.

A. Telecommunications Relay Service

Historical Statistics (Session Minutes/CapTel Conversation Minutes)

	Monthly Activity Cost											
	Total	Ave Call	Total			CapTel	TRS Prg.	Total	Equip. Prg.	Total		
	Calls	Length	Minutes	Interstate	Intrastate	Intrastate	CapTel	TRS	CapTel	Equipment	Srchrg	Srchrg
	(Outbound)	(Outbound)	Of Use	Minutes	Minutes	CM	(\$Amt.)	Program	(\$Amt.)	Program	Revenue	Rate
Jan-05	21,410	4.28	94,407	14,679	79,728	3,673	5,326	76,683	7,581	43,431	121,987	\$0.07
Feb-05	18,650	4.35	83,425	13,855	69,570	3,386	4,909	67,174	1,995	8,695	122,531	\$0.07
Mar-05	20,390	4.32	90,305	13,792	76,514	4,534	6,575	75,054	6,783	39,101	122,158	\$0.07
Apr-05	18,809	4.31	83,704	13,207	70,497	4,259	6,175	69,270	5,586	52,662	122,007	\$0.07
May-05	19,963	4.17	85,993	12,216	73,777	3,875	5,619	71,650	3,192	21,515	122,282	\$0.07
Jun-05	20,599	4.22	89,983	13,018	76,964	4,483	6,501	75,384	4,389	21,739	123,615	\$0.07
Jul-05	18,564	4.39	83,937	14,022	69,916	4,765	6,910	69,485	1,596	7,465	123,540	\$0.07
Aug-05	19,723	4.32	87,778	12,765	75,014	4,529	6,567	73,704	2,793	35,635	123,142	\$0.07
Sep-05	17,516	4.41	79,807	11,955	67,852	6,443	9,343	70,070	5,985	42,092	123,452	\$0.07
Oct-05	16,927	4.46	78,111	12,192	65,919	7,924	11,490	70,488	1,995	22,350	123,434	\$0.07
Nov-05	17,988	4.36	80,904	13,063	67,840	8,689	12,598	73,316	4,389	46,767	125,036	\$0.07
Dec-05	17,691	4.43	80,932	12,619	68,313	9,272	13,445	74,585	5,985	40,804	125,256	\$0.07
Jan-06	16,921	4.56	79,449	12,800	66,649	9,650	13,992	73,643	3,990	21,013	133,531	\$0.07
Feb-06	16,002	4.63	76,064	11,833	64,231	8,147	11,812	69,299	3,192	27,589	133,765	\$0.07
Mar-06	17,543	4.64	84,014	12,929	71,084	9,273	13,446	77,066	3,192	52,294	126,924	\$0.07
Apr-06	15,844	4.37	71,442	10,762	60,680	8,719	12,642	66,951	1,995	17,020	128,425	\$0.07
May-06	16,664	4.42	75,850	11,533	64,317	8,341	12,094	69,658	798	8,788	127,816	\$0.07
Jun-06	15,621	4.40	71,034	9,997	61,036	7,107	10,305	64,933	0	243	127,113	\$0.07
Jul-06	15,021	4.50	69,916	10,040	59,876	8,165	11,839	65,428	0	937	95,721	\$0.05

A. Telecommunications Relay Service

Historical Statistics (Session Minutes/CapTel Conversation Minutes)

	Monthly Activity Cost											
	Total	Ave Call	Total			CapTel	TRS Prg.	Total	Equip. Prg.	Total		
	Calls	Length	Minutes	Interstate	Intrastate	Intrastate	CapTel	TRS	CapTel	Equipment	Srchrg	
	(Outbound)	(Outbound)	Of Use	Minutes	Minutes	CM	(\$Amt.)	Program	(\$Amt.)	Program	Revenue	
Aug-06	16,294	4.26	71,781	9,432	62,349	8,277	12,001	67,803	5,187	67,654	94,204	\$0.05
Sep-06	13,602	4.45	62,933	8,790	54,143	8,553	12,402	60,860	2,793	38,388	92,098	\$0.05
Oct-06	13,629	4.54	64,171	9,661	54,510	10,350	15,008	63,794	798	15,264	92,819	\$0.05
Nov-06	13,085	4.85	65,578	9,431	56,148	10,443	15,142	65,394	1,661	45,437	92,893	\$0.05
Dec-06	13,496	4.63	64,760	10,008	54,752	10,733	15,563	64,566	1,596	31,865	92,519	\$0.05
Jan-07	14,868	4.70	71,813	10,589	61,224	10,783	15,635	70,430	798	22,399	93,529	\$0.05
Feb-07	12,768	4.76	62,553	9,616	52,936	9,744	14,129	61,507	0	20,974	94,544	\$0.05
Mar-07	14,055	4.56	66,143	9,936	56,207	10,547	15,293	65,598	3,192	16,208	93,565	\$0.05
Apr-07	12,554	4.68	60,962	8,820	52,142	9,805	14,218	60,885	798	2,971	95,793	\$0.05
May-07	13,690	4.41	62,705	10,216	52,489	11,270	16,341	63,319	0	28,060	92,885	\$0.05
Jun-07	12,116	4.31	54,172	8,723	45,449	9,805	14,217	54,894	3,990	40,127	93,608	\$0.05
Jul-07	12,233	4.25	54,136	8,873	45,262	9,085	13,173	55,720	3,192	32,598	95,656	\$0.05
Aug-07	13,045	4.32	58,904	10,041	48,863	10,398	15,077	61,008	399	13,795	100,452	\$0.05
Sep-07	11,681	4.37	52,931	8,409	44,522	9,225	13,376	55,227	798	33,422	98,642	\$0.05
Oct-07	12,505	4.37	56,737	8,426	48,311	10,344	14,999	60,412	1,596	19,066	99,983	\$0.05
Nov-07	12,459	4.24	55,046	8,561	46,485	9,850	14,282	57,978	2,793	25,373	98,673	\$0.05
Dec-07	12,705	4.54	59,970	9,480	50,490	11,910	17,269	64,730	863	19,342	101,810	\$0.05
Jan-08	12,712	4.41	57,932	9,276	48,657	12,310	17,849	63,586	1,197	33,475	98,698	\$0.05
Feb-08	11,779	4.41	53,882	8,450	45,432	10,249	14,862	57,567	2,793	17,908	97,945	\$0.05

A. Telecommunications Relay Service

Historical Statistics (Session Minutes/CapTel Conversation Minutes)

	Monthly Activity Cost											
	Total	Ave Call	Total			CapTel	TRS Prg.	Total	Equip. Prg.	Total		
	Calls	Length	Minutes	Interstate	Intrastate	Intrastate	CapTel	TRS	CapTel	Equipment	Srchrg	
	(Outbound)	(Outbound)	Of Use	Minutes	Minutes	CM	(\$Amt.)	Program	(\$Amt.)	Program	Revenue	
Mar-08	11,178	4.20	48,894	7,097	41,797	8,382	12,153	51,443	2,394	27,461	97,103	\$0.05
Apr-08	10,628	4.34	48,142	7,846	40,296	8,065	11,694	49,572	1,197	17,804	98,470	\$0.05
May-08	9,716	4.28	43,484	6,708	36,776	9,289	13,469	48,038	1,197	31,178	96,308	\$0.05
Jun-08	9,474	4.31	42,828	6,597	36,231	9,301	13,486	47,544	3,192	33,209	98,333	\$0.05
Jul-08	9,781	4.35	44,703	6,496	38,207	10,257	14,873	50,788	399	35,613	81,744	\$0.04
Aug-08	8,289	4.40	38,068	6,307	31,761	8,769	12,715	42,570	399	17,039	81,255	\$0.04
Sep-08	8,596	4.64	41,625	7,556	34,069	8,862	12,850	44,874	399	15,598	80,035	\$0.04
Oct-08	9,037	4.58	43,313	7,006	36,307	11,024	15,985	50,114	399	21,100	80,920	\$0.04
Nov-08	7,522	4.54	35,700	5,874	29,827	11,581	16,792	44,829	1,596	25,166	80,105	\$0.04
Dec-08	7,844	4.61	37,763	6,203	31,560	12,704	18,421	48,087	798	12,400	79,781	\$0.04
Jan-09	8,096	4.79	40,341	6,358	33,983	14,183	20,566	52,510	798	32,269	82,416	\$0.04
Feb-09	6,860	4.56	32,691	5,134	27,557	12,822	18,592	44,496	798	20,795	82,134	\$0.04
Mar-09	7,642	4.60	36,561	5,926	30,634	14,862	21,550	50,346	1,262	18,502	82,652	\$0.04
Apr-09	7,077	4.76	35,191	6,173	29,018	13,301	19,286	46,563	3,192	32,068	82,083	\$0.04
May-09	7,313	4.53	34,715	6,814	27,901	14,100	20,445	46,673	3,591	24,313	82,690	\$0.04
Jun-09	6,608	4.46	31,034	5,257	25,777	15,838	22,964	47,195	2,394	28,536	83,169	\$0.04
Jul-09	7,080	4.44	33,553	5,023	28,530	14,681	26,279	58,233	0	10,076	64,195	\$0.03
Aug-09	6,474	4.38	30,198	4,138	26,060	16,319	29,210	58,398	5,187	37,867	59,572	\$0.03
Sep-09	6,185	4.48	29,697	4,101	25,597	14,174	25,371	54,039	1,596	31,983	63,122	\$0.03

A. Telecommunications Relay Service

Historical Statistics (Session Minutes/CapTel Conversation Minutes)

	Monthly Activity Cost												
	Total	Ave Call	Total			CapTel	TRS Prg.	Total	Equip. Prg.	Total			
	Calls (Outbound)	Length (Outbound)	Minutes Of Use	Interstate Minutes	Intrastate Minutes	Intrastate CM	CapTel (\$Amt.)	TRS Program	CapTel (\$Amt.)	Equipment Program	Srchrg Revenue		
Oct-09	6,349	4.91	33,470	4,625	28,845	20,147	36,064	68,370	1,596	20,638	62,728	\$0.03	
Nov-09	5,411	4.64	26,903	3,889	23,014	20,141	36,053	61,828	4,282	20,969	62,614	\$0.03	
Dec-09	6,937	4.54	33,527	4,585	28,942	24,750	44,302	76,717	2,920	23,466	63,583	\$0.03	
Jan-10	5,651	4.69	28,333	3,113	25,220	21,831	39,078	67,325	13,445	41,998	63,673	\$0.03	
Feb-10	5,391	4.70	26,965	2,965	24,000	17,983	32,190	59,070	4,279	15,799	64,673	\$0.03	
Mar-10	5,556	5.13	30,621	3,657	26,963	18,411	32,955	63,154	8,560	40,329	65,673	\$0.03	
Apr-10	5,778	5.38	32,929	3,665	29,262	17,648	31,590	64,363	6,110	41,329	66,673	\$0.03	
May-10	5,776	5.35	32,727	3,366	29,360	17,100	30,609	63,492	3,660	23,048	67,673	\$0.03	
Jun-10	5,234	5.10	28,595	2,693	25,902	19,251	34,459	63,470	2,220	13,211	68,673	\$0.03	

A. Telecommunications Relay Service

Supplemental Information - Historical Statistics (CapTel Conversation Minutes)

						Monthly Activity Cost	
	CapTel	CapTel	Ave Call	CapTel	TRS Prg.	Equip. Prg.	
	Total CM (Completed)	Total Calls (Completed)	Length (Completed)	Intrastate CM	CapTel (\$Amt.)	CapTel (\$Amt.)	
Jan-05	4,046	1,031	3.92	3,673	5,326	7,581	
Feb-05	3,753	1,060	3.54	3,386	4,909	1,995	
Mar-05	5,121	1,522	3.36	4,534	6,575	6,783	
Apr-05	4,740	1,466	3.23	4,259	6,175	5,586	
May-05	4,452	1,319	3.38	3,875	5,619	3,192	
Jun-05	5,338	1,495	3.57	4,483	6,501	4,389	
Jul-05	5,449	1,707	3.19	4,765	6,910	1,596	
Aug-05	5,640	1,848	3.05	4,529	6,567	2,793	
Sep-05	8,460	2,720	3.11	6,443	9,343	5,985	
Oct-05	10,407	3,178	3.27	7,924	11,490	1,995	
Nov-05	11,194	3,176	3.52	8,689	12,598	4,389	
Dec-05	12,243	3,564	3.44	9,272	13,445	5,985	
Jan-06	12,033	3,587	3.35	9,650	13,992	3,990	
Feb-06	10,333	3,098	3.34	8,147	11,812	3,192	
Mar-06	11,876	3,551	3.34	9,273	13,446	3,192	
Apr-06	10,969	3,245	3.38	8,719	12,642	1,995	
May-06	10,643	3,282	3.24	8,341	12,094	798	
Jun-06	9,028	2,929	3.08	7,107	10,305	0	
Jul-06	10,204	3,112	3.28	8,165	11,839	0	
Aug-06	10,483	3,303	3.17	8,277	12,001	5,187	
Sep-06	11,116	3,220	3.45	8,553	12,402	2,793	
Oct-06	12,589	3,537	3.56	10,350	15,008	798	
Nov-06	12,714	3,833	3.32	10,443	15,142	1,661	
Dec-06	13,785	3,625	3.80	10,733	15,563	1,596	
Jan-07	13,723	3,503	3.92	10,783	15,635	798	
Feb-07	12,697	3,183	3.99	9,744	14,129	0	
Mar-07	13,267	3,563	3.72	10,547	15,293	3,192	
Apr-07	11,952	3,116	3.84	9,805	14,218	798	
May-07	13,612	3,957	3.44	11,270	16,341	0	
Jun-07	12,624	3,507	3.60	9,805	14,217	3,990	
Jul-07	11,171	3,346	3.34	9,085	13,173	3,192	
Aug-07	13,066	3,808	3.43	10,398	15,077	399	
Sep-07	11,556	3,506	3.30	9,225	13,376	798	
Oct-07	12,514	3,920	3.19	10,344	14,999	1,596	

A. Telecommunications Relay Service

Supplemental Information - Historical Statistics (CapTel Conversation Minutes)

						Monthly Activity Cost	
	CapTel	CapTel	Ave Call	CapTel	TRS Prg.	Equip. Prg.	
	Total CM (Completed)	Total Calls (Completed)	Length (Completed)	Intrastate CM	CapTel (\$Amt.)	CapTel (\$Amt.)	
Nov-07	11,896	3,760	3.16	9,850	14,282	2,793	
Dec-07	14,339	4,027	3.56	11,910	17,269	863	
Jan-08	14,769	4,071	3.63	12,310	17,849	1,197	
Feb-08	12,698	3,956	3.21	10,249	14,862	2,793	
Mar-08	10,149	3,322	3.06	8,382	12,153	2,394	
Apr-08	10,052	3,240	3.10	8,065	11,694	1,197	
May-08	10,932	3,627	3.01	9,289	13,469	1,197	
Jun-08	12,118	3,983	3.04	9,301	13,486	3,192	
Jul-08	13,831	4,032	3.43	10,257	14,873	399	
Aug-08	11,965	3,571	3.35	8,769	12,715	399	
Sep-08	11,892	3,616	3.29	8,862	12,850	399	
Oct-08	15,076	4,232	3.56	11,024	15,985	399	
Nov-08	15,322	4,336	3.53	11,581	16,792	1,596	
Dec-08	17,387	4,962	3.50	12,704	18,421	798	
Jan-09	19,071	4,780	3.99	14,183	20,566	798	
Feb-09	16,846	4,386	3.84	12,822	18,592	798	
Mar-09	20,803	5,284	3.94	14,862	21,550	1,262	
Apr-09	18,503	5,420	3.41	13,301	19,286	3,192	
May-09	19,624	5,492	3.57	14,100	20,445	3,591	
Jun-09	20,858	5,616	3.71	15,838	22,964	2,394	
Jul-09	19,623	7,610	2.58	14,681	26,279	0	
Aug-09	21,395	7,715	2.77	16,319	29,210	5,187	
Sep-09	18,481	6,954	2.66	14,174	25,371	1,596	
Oct-09	26,360	8,672	3.04	20,147	36,064	1,596	
Nov-09	26,017	8,949	2.91	20,141	36,053	4,282	
Dec-09	31,175	11,210	2.78	24,750	44,302	2,920	
Jan-10	27,411	9,447	2.90	21,831	39,078	13,445	
Feb-10	22,863	8,166	2.80	17,983	32,190	4,279	
Mar-10	23,909	9,337	2.56	18,411	32,955	8,560	
Apr-10	23,000	9,658	2.38	17,648	31,590	6,110	
May-10	23,707	9,496	2.50	17,100	30,609	3,660	
Jun-10	25,423	10,227	2.49	19,251	34,459	2,220	

5. Extended Area Service

Extended Area Service (EAS) allows customers in one exchange to place calls to and receive calls from another exchange, without paying additional long distance charges. The key points of the basic rules and regulations established by the Commission relating to EAS are:

- A petition seeking to establish EAS must contain the signatures of either 750 or 25 percent of the customers of the exchange, whichever is less.
- To determine if sufficient traffic exists to establish EAS, certain traffic criteria must be met in at least two of the three most recent months for which data is available.
- A telephone company may file an Optional Enhanced Area Calling Plan (OEACP) as an alternative to EAS.
- If the minimum required signatures are obtained, and there is sufficient traffic to meet the requirements, informational meetings must be held in the petitioning exchange to inform the public of the proposed rates for EAS and to assess the public's interest in receiving EAS.
- Following an unsuccessful attempt at implementing EAS, at least 12 months must lapse before additional attempts for EAS are made.
- When put to a vote, EAS must receive the support of more than 50 percent of the customers in the exchange who vote.

6. Numbering Issues

Area Code Conservation Efforts

Background

In May of 1999, the Commission received information from the North American Numbering Plan Administrator (NANPA) that the number of assignable prefixes (otherwise known as central office NXX codes) available for the 402 Area Code was in danger of being depleted in less than two years. The 402 Area Code covers the eastern third of the state and includes the cities of Omaha, Bellevue and Lincoln.

The Commission opened a public investigation and determined that employing number conservation methods could significantly delay the need for area code relief measures. Possible area code relief measures include area code boundary changes, splitting an area code, or introducing a new area code overlaid on an existing area code. In September of 1999, the Commission filed a petition with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) requesting authority to implement area code conservation methods within Nebraska, with special attention on the 402 Area Code. Specifically, the Commission requested authority for thousands-block number pooling, reclaiming unused central office codes, auditing number assignments and reviewing distribution activities of service providers. Thousands-block number pooling allows telecommunications carriers that require new numbering resources to receive numbers in blocks of 1,000 rather than an entire central office code, which contains a block of 10,000 numbers.

On July 20, 2000, the FCC released an order granting the Commission's petition. The Commission set July 1, 2001, as the deadline for implementation of thousands-block number pooling in the Omaha Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) rate center. The cumulative effect of the conservation measures, and the voluntary efforts of the telecommunications carriers in Nebraska, resulted in extending the forecasted exhaust date for numbering resources in the 402 Area Code from the second quarter of 2001 to the first quarter of 2004.

On a national level the FCC set November 24, 2003, as the date at which all wireless carriers must be capable of supporting thousands-block pooling regardless of the geographical area served. The FCC also set May 24, 2004, as the date at which all wireline carriers serving rate centers in the top 100 MSA's must be capable of supporting thousands-block number pooling and local number portability. The only Nebraska MSA in the top 100 is Omaha-Council Bluffs. The effect of these pooling requirements, combined with continued efforts at the state level, resulted in new forecast being issued in June of 2004 setting the forecasted exhaust date for the 402 Area Code at the second quarter of 2006.

The National Pooling Administrator has in place all necessary tools and processes to enable thousands-block pooling by carriers within the same rate center in both the 308 and 402 Area Codes. At the request of the Commission, the Pooling Administrator classified all Nebraska rate centers as voluntary pooling capable. This allows any capable carrier to donate to or use numbering resources from the thousands-block number resource pool instead of opening a new central office code of 10,000 numbers.

On November 13, 2003, the Commission opened Docket C-3049 to conduct an investigation of various proposed solutions for extending the life of the 308 and 402 Area Codes. The Commission held hearings and workshops while staff worked with various industry groups to identify and evaluate alternative methods which could extend the life of numbering resources in Nebraska. It was the conclusion of the participants that implementing the use of thousands-blocks numbering resources without implementing thousands-block number pooling was technically feasible and while there were unresolved issues related to the routing of calls and appropriate carrier compensation they concluded implementing thousands-block number pooling was the only viable conservation method.

There are two primary methods of extending the life of numbering resources in an area code; thousands-block number pooling and rate-center consolidation. Both techniques can be applied on a rate-center by rate-center basis to achieve specific objectives.

Thousands Block Number Pooling

As part of the efforts under Docket C-3049, the Commission requested the North American Numbering Plan Administrator to conduct a pro forma evaluation of the impact of mandatory pooling on the forecasted exhaust date for Area Code 402. The evaluation considered only those exchanges in which there are currently two or more carriers. The evaluation indicated that mandatory pooling could move the forecasted exhaust date for area code 402 from the second quarter of 2006 to the third quarter of 2010.

Under the rules and regulations the implementation of mandatory pooling can occur only if the FCC issued specific authority to Nebraska. On November 11, 2004, Nebraska submitted a petition to the FCC for delegated authority to implement mandatory thousands-block number pooling in selected rate centers within the 402 Area Code. Seven other states submitted similar petitions and all comments submitted to the FCC were in support of the petitions.

On February 24, 2006, the FCC released “ORDER AND FIFTH FURTHER NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING”, granting Nebraska’s petition for authority to implement mandatory thousands-block number pooling in the 402 Area Code. The Commission used the authority granted to implement mandatory pooling in two steps.

On March 14, 2006, the Commission issued an order under Docket C-3049 implementing mandatory pooling in the rate centers served by Alltel (now Windstream Communications), Qwest and Frontier Communications (Citizens). Because these three carriers had been voluntarily participating in thousands block pooling they were able to make the transition from voluntary pooling to mandatory pooling in the 155 rate centers they serve without any delay.

On May 3, 2006, the Commission conducted a workshop during which the NeuStar Pooling Administrator provided the remaining carriers in the 402 Area Code with information concerning the steps and typical time-line used when a rate center changes from voluntary pooling to mandatory pooling. Carriers were asked to review the proposed time line and provide feedback to the Commission by May 22, 2006. The Commission held a hearing on June 1, 2006, and sought comments on the prospect of designating all rate centers in the 402 Area Code as mandatory and a proposed implementation schedule for mandatory pooling of the remaining 94 rate centers. No parties submitted comments or testimony against designating the remaining 94 rate centers as mandatory or proposed an alternate implementation date.

On June 27, 2006, the Commission issued an order under Docket C-3049 implementing mandatory pooling in the remaining 94 rate centers effective November 1, 2006. All carriers have completed the upgrade of their switches, have donated the excess blocks from their exchanges in the 402 Area Code, and are fully participating in thousands block number pooling.

The NANPA reports indicate the following status of Nebraska’s numbering resources as of September 3, 2010.

Area Code	NXX Codes Assigned	NXX Codes Available
308	324	450
402	735	44

Reports from the Pooling Administrator identify that voluntary pooling in Nebraska has resulted in the following donation and utilization of thousands-blocks in Nebraska as of September 3, 2010.

Area Code	Number of Rate Centers	Rate Centers with Pooling	Thousands – Blocks Retained	Thousands - Blocks Assigned	Thousands - Blocks Available	Estimated Full NPA-NXX Codes Saved
308	168	65	448	114	599	34
402	281	275	1,909	901	2,070	121

The Pooling Administrator estimates that 34 full NPA-NXX codes in area code 308 have been saved since the first thousands-blocks were donated in April 25, 2003, and 121 full NPA-NXX codes in area code 402 have been saved by thousands-block number pooling since the first thousands-blocks were donated to the pool on October 31, 2001.

The cumulative effect of the proactive conservation measures taken by the Commission have resulted in the North American Numbering Plan Administrator extending the forecasted exhaust date for the 402 Area Code from the second quarter of 2011, reported last year, to the fourth quarter of 2011. The forecasted exhaust date for the 308 Area Code has remained at the second quarter of 2033. However, with the advent of new technologies such as Voice over Internet Protocol, free Voice Mail services, and the unknown demand upon numbering resources, forecasted exhaust dates remain very dynamic.

While the number conservation measures taken by the Commission in the 402 Area Code have been successful in delaying the need for area code relief measures, numbering resources in an area code are finite and at some point they will all be assigned. On February 19, 2009 NeuStar, the neutral third party numbering administrator, notified the Commission that based upon the latest semi-annual forecast, the exhaust date for the 402 Area Code was projected to be inside the time frame the industry needed for implementing a relief plan. It was therefore, important for the Commission to select a specific relief plan.

At the request of the Commission, NeuStar also provided an updated assessment of the Commission's four NPA relief plans proposed in Docket C-2057 in 1999 as well as projected exhaust information for each of the plans. Three of the four initial alternatives were geographic splits while the fourth alternative was an all-services overlay. When implementing a geographical split as a relief plan the FCC requires that the difference in projected life between the two area codes be no greater than ten years to avoid the necessity of consumers undergoing another relief plan in a short period of time. Two of the proposed geographical splits exceed ten years and one is ten years. Based upon recent information from NeuStar, the overlay method of providing relief is the most widely used method among states at the present time.

A public workshop was held on April 20, 2009, to facilitate the collection of information and opinions on a preferred relief plan. All participants in the workshop supported an all-services overlay plan. The Commission again requested comments from interested parties and scheduled the issues for public hearing. A public hearing was held in Lincoln, Nebraska, on May 27, 2009 and the comments received by the Commission were incorporated into the record.

On June 30, 2009, the Commission adopted an all service overlay as the area code relief plan for the 402 Area Code and requested the industry to provide a plan and recommended dates for

the steps necessary to implement the relief plan. NeuStar has assigned 531 as the new area code implements the overlay relief plan. The adoption of an overlay will require 10 digit dialing for all calls in the 402 Area Code beginning February 26, 2011. The industry also recommended that permissive 10 digit dialing be implemented in the 308 Area Code to provide simplicity and consistency for dialing calls in Nebraska.

The first round of customer education and the start of permissive ten-digit dialing have been completed. Based upon the volume of calls to the Commission, and the type of questions being asked by consumers, there did not seem to be a significant concern or confusion about the 402/531 overlay. Additional customer education and notification will occur towards the end of the year. On August 31, 2010 the Commission issued a letter to the industry clarifying that no central office codes from the new 531 Area Code would be assigned until there are 10 or fewer assignable central office codes remained in the 402 Area Code.

Key Dates of 402 Area Code Relief Plan		
	Time	Date
Start of Customer Education		January 2010
Start of permissive 10-digit dialing	12:01 AM Central	June 26, 2010
Second Customer Notification and Education		December 2010 – January 2011
End of permissive dialing and start of mandatory 10-digit dialing in 402 and 531	12:01 AM Central	February 26, 2011
Earliest new NPA central office code activation date (Effective date of the new 531 NPA)		March 26, 2011
Earliest date central office codes in the new NPA may be ordered through NANPA		TBD

Local Number Portability

One of the benefits of thousands-block number pooling is the capability of implementing Local Number Portability (LNP). As carriers have upgraded their switching software to use numbering resources in blocks of a thousand they have also gained the ability to support the porting of a customer’s number between carriers. All Nebraska exchanges are capable of supporting LNP.

The ability to port a number between traditional wireline carriers and between wireless carriers is called intra-modal portability. Porting a number between a wireline carrier and a wireless carrier is called inter-modal portability. For wireline and interconnected Voice over IP carriers telephone numbers can be ported from one carrier to another in the same rate center. You cannot port a number assigned in one rate center to a carrier in another rate center.

Because the coverage area assigned to wireless carriers by the FCC is different than the wireline rate centers, you can port your wireline number to a wireless carrier if their coverage area overlays the rate center your number is associated with. You could even physically move to a rate center other than your original rate center and kept the same wireline phone number as long as the wireless carrier you port your number to has authority to provide coverage in that area. However,

you can only port your wireless number to a wireline carrier in a rate center that both carriers have resources.

On May 13, 2009, the FCC released Report & Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking FCC 09-41, Local Number Portability Porting Intervals and Validation Requirements; Telephone Number Portability. In the order the FCC stated “Specifically, we require all entities subject to our LNP rules to complete simple wireline-to-wireline and simple intermodal port requests within one business day.” They also affirmed that providers may require no more than four information fields to “validate” a simple port (ten digit telephone number, account number, five digit zip code, and pass code if applicable). In actuality there may be additional data required to implement a port.

In issuing the report and order the FCC also directed the North American Numbering Council (NANC) to work with the industry to develop new LNP provisioning process flows that take into account this shortened porting interval. In developing these flows, the NANC must address how a “business day” should be construed for purposes of the porting interval, and generally how the porting time should be measured. The NANC must submit recommendations on how to meet the one day interval to the FCC by December 2, 2009. Nebraska Public Service Commission Commissioner Anne Boyle is one of six NARUC representatives to the NANC.

NANC’s Local Number Portability Administration (LNPA) Working Group, and its’ sub-groups, have been conducting both face-to-face and telephonic meetings since May 28, 2009 developing recommendations for the NANC. Based on the results of those meetings, recommendations from the NANC, LNPA Working Group, and other industry members, the FCC issued Report and Order FCC 10-85 on May 20, 2010 which established that effective August 1, 2010 all simple ports should be completed within one business day, or later if requested by the customer or new carrier. Complex ports may take longer but should be completed within four business days.

7. N11 Dialing Codes

Background

The FCC designated various three-digit dialing, or “N11,” codes for specific purposes in CC Docket 92-105, *In the Matter of the Use of N11 Codes and Other Abbreviated Dialing Arrangements*. State commissions, in turn, are delegated the responsibility of implementing the assigned dialing codes. Nebraska has assigned the use of “211”, “511”, “711 and “811”.” By tradition 911 has been reserved for access to emergency services, typically through a Public Service Answering Point (PSAP). For each code, carriers seeking cost recovery are required to make an application to the Commission for reimbursement. To date, no carriers have applied for cost recovery.

Specific information on the N11 dialing codes implemented in Nebraska is provided in the following sections.

211 Dialing Code

The Commission assigned “211” to United Way of the Midlands for access to “First Call for Help.” First Call for Help connects people in need of health and human services assistance with the appropriate providers of such services. Dialing “211” does not result in any additional telephone charges for the consumer.

In 1999, the Commission opened Docket C-2138 to determine what authority it may have to establish an information and referral service using 211 as the abbreviated dialing code. On July 31, 2000, the FCC issued FCC 00-256 Third Report & Order and Order on Reconsideration assigning the use of the abbreviated dialing code 211 for access to community information and referral agencies.

Nebraska established the first use of 211 on February 20, 2002, under Docket C-2621 which established 211 as the abbreviated dialing code for access to the community information and referral services provided by United Way of the Midlands in Douglas and Sarpy Counties. Through a succession of orders (211 Table) the Commission authorized the use of 211 on a county-by-county basis as United Way of the Midlands entered into agreements with individual counties and identification of the necessary funding.

On May 21, 2010, Hooker County became the final county to be authorized to use 211 as the abbreviated dialing code for access to the community information and referral services provided by United Way of the Midlands.

211 Table


Order Number	Counties/Areas	Order Date	Implementation Date
C-2621	Douglas & Sarpy Counties	02/20/02	05/01/02
C-2732	Dodge & Cass Counties	07/23/02	10/01/02
C-3061	Hall, Hamilton, Howard, Merrick Counties	02/10/04	07/01/04
C-3066	Saunders & Washington Counties	02/10/04	07/01/04
C-3099	City of Lincoln, Lancaster County	03/30/04	07/01/04
C-3221	Dawson, Phelps, Kearney, Harlan, Franklin, Buffalo, Gosper Counties	09/21/04	12/21/04
C-3256	Scotts Bluff County	11/03/04	02/01/05
C-3277	Dakota County	02/01/05	05/01/05
C-3327	Adams, Clay, Nuckolls, Webster Counties	03/22/05	06/22/05
C-3344	Boone, Nance, Platte, Colfax Counties	03/29/05	06/29/05
C-3403	Polk & Butler Counties	05/17/05	08/15/05
C-3446	Dixon & Wayne Counties	08/30/05	12/02/05
C-3454	Cuming, Burt, Thurston Counties	09/21/05	12/23/05
C-3464	Thayer County	09/21/05	12/23/05
C-3489	Cedar County	11/08/05	02/08/06
C-3505	Jefferson County	01/11/06	04/11/06
C-3869	Fillmore County	12/11/07	03/11/08
C-3870	Seward County	12/11/07	03/11/08

Order Number	Counties/Areas	Order Date	Implementation Date
C-3903	City of York, York County	03/18/08	06/18/08
C-3998	York County	02/10/09	05/11/09
C-4187	Saline County	08/25/09	12/28/09
C-4192	Antelope, Boyd, Holt, Knox, Madison, Pierce, Stanton, Dawes, Box Butte, Cherry, Sioux, Sheridan, Keya Paha, Rock, Brown Counties	09/15/09	01/15/10
C-4215	Greeley, Loup, Wheeler, Garfield, Furnas, Lincoln, Garden, Keith, Deuel, Cheyenne Counties	11/24/09	03/24/10
C-4231	Gage, Otoe, Johnson, Blaine, Sherman, Valley, Red Willow, Hitchcock, Dundy, Chase, Hayes, Western Frontier Counties	02/23/10	06/23/10
C-4248	Richardson County	03/09/10	07/09/10
C-4250	Custer, Pawnee, Banner, Kimball, Morrill Counties	04/13/10	08/13/10
C-4253	Arthur, Grant, Perkins Counties	04/13/10	08/13/10
C-4254	Nemaha County	04/20/10	08/20/10
C-4262	McPherson, Logan, Thomas Counties	05/12/10	09/13/10
C-4265	Hooker County	05/12/10	09/13/10

A. Nebraska 211 Coverage

The map on the next page shows the final status of 211 in Nebraska.

Nebraska 211 Coverage - May 12, 2010



311 Dialing Code

311 is the nationally assigned access code for non-emergency police and government services but has not been implemented in Nebraska at this time.

411 Dialing Code

411 is the nationally assigned access code to local directory services. When a consumer dials 411 they will be connected with the directory services group for their service provider (local exchange carrier, wireless carrier, or if a 1+ is dialed first their long distance carrier). Charges may be incurred for use of these services and are established by tariff filings with the Commission for local and long distance carriers.

511 Dialing Code

Weather reports and route specific road conditions can be obtained 24 hours a day for interstate and state highways throughout Nebraska by dialing 511 from any telephone in Nebraska. There is no charge to callers. The Nebraska State Patrol and Department of Roads manage this program.

611 Dialing Code

611 is assigned nationally as the access code to a carriers repair service organization.

711 Dialing Code

In CC Docket 92-105, *In the Matter of the Use of N11 Codes and Other Abbreviated Dialing Arrangements* the FCC designated 711 as the dialing for access to all telecommunication relay services (TRS). The Nebraska Public Service Commission opened Docket C-2417 to examine issues related to the implementation of three-digit, 711, for access to all TRS in Nebraska. The FCC order further established an October 1, 2001, deadline for all telecommunications carriers, including wireline, wireless, PBX and payphone providers. On January 9, 2001, the Commission approved Docket C-2417 and established June 29, 2001, as the implementation deadline for 711.

The Nebraska Relay Service is designed to enable persons who are deaf, hard of hearing or speech disabled to communicate on the telephone with the general public.

The current status of the Nebraska Relay Service can be found in Part III, Section 4 of this report.

811 Dialing Code

Nebraska Statute (76-2301 – 76-2330) established the One-Call Notification System Act for the purpose of preventing injury to persons and damage to property and the interruption of utility services resulting from accidents caused by damage to underground facilities. Since 1995, Diggers Hotline has been the link between people who want to excavate and the underground facility owner/operators. Access to the Diggers Hotline center, located in Omaha, has been through either

the local number (402) 344-3565 or the toll free number (800) 331-5666. The State Fire Marshal's Office is the oversight organization for the One-Call Notification System.

On March 14, 2005, under the Sixth Report and Order in CC Docket 92-105, *In the Matter of the Use of N11 Codes and Other Abbreviated Dialing Arrangements*, the FCC designated 811 as the national abbreviated code to be used by states and delegated the authority to states to address the technical and operation issues associated with the implementation of the 811 code. This national abbreviated code does not change the numbers currently used to access the One-Call Notification System – it will simply provide an easily recognized n11 code to establish the connection to the One-Call Notification System. Per the FCC order 811 April 13, 2007, was set as the implementation date.

An 811 Task Force was established by the Nebraska Public Service Commission and consisted of the Chairman and Director of Diggers Hotline and representatives of both the wireline and wireless carriers in Nebraska. Because the implementation of 811 would be accomplished in the same manner as previous n11 dialing codes, it was determined that there were no technical implementation issues.

One operational issue raised was how to handle a misdialed 911 call. It was recommended by Diggers Hotline, and approved by the Task Force, that when a person dials 811 a simple message would be given stating if they were trying to reach 911 for an emergency they should hang up and redial.

On December 19, 2006, the Nebraska Public Service Commission issued Docket C-3479/PI-107 setting April 13, 2007, as the deadline for all carriers to implement the routing of 811 to the toll free number provided by Diggers Hotline (888-827-9309) and all carriers were to include 811 information in all directories published after April 1, 2007.

811 has been implemented in all Nebraska telephone exchanges.

911 Dialing Code

911 is the nationally designated access code to Emergency Services. Detailed information on the state of 911 in Nebraska is found in Part VIII, Section A of this report.

8. Nebraska Internet Enhancement Fund

The Nebraska Internet Enhancement Fund (NIEF) was established to provide financial assistance to install and deliver broadband or other advanced telecommunications infrastructure and service throughout the state. A third round of grant applications were solicited in the fall of 2008 and grants were awarded by the Commission in March of 2009, to the Village of Bushnell, the Village of Elsie, and Banner County. Two of the three grant projects were successfully completed in the summer of 2009, with the final project being completed in the spring of 2010. The Commission staff, with the assistance of the NIEF Advisory Board, evaluates grant applications and awards grants for projects meeting grant requirements.

9. The Nebraska Competitive Telephone Marketplace Fund

The Competitive Marketplace Fund consists of voluntary payments made by Qwest for failure to comply with the requirements of a Quality Performance Assurance Plan (QPAP). The QPAP became effective once Qwest was approved by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to provide long distance service in Nebraska. Voluntary payments consist both of Tier 1 and Tier 2 payments with Tier 1 being remitted directly to Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (CLECs) and Tier 2 remitted to the Public Service Commission (Commission). For fiscal year 2009-10, voluntary payments (Tier 2) deposited to the fund totaled \$10,900 as compared to \$7,000 for the fiscal year 2008-09.

Since the QPAP had been in effect in most of the states in the Qwest region since 2002, the regulatory Commissions in 11 of the states executed a service agreement with Liberty Consulting Group, Inc., in November of 2008, to conduct a review and analysis of the Qwest Performance Assurance Plan. The purpose of the review was to determine the effectiveness, value, and usefulness of the QPAP. Their analysis included a re-examination of the structure of the QPAP, whether some or all of the performance plan or performance standards may no longer be necessary and possible modifications to the performance plan and the performance measures. To perform these responsibilities Liberty sought input from Qwest, the Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (CLECs), and the staffs from each of the 11 states. Their conclusions and recommendations are contained in a final report which was filed with this Commission in June of 2009. The report now has been published for public comment, and is pending before the Commission at this time.

Costs charged to the program for fiscal year 2009-10 were \$2,621 of which \$2,033 represents payments to Liberty Consulting for the Nebraska portion of the multi-state review mentioned above. In previous years, Nebraska joined a multi-state effort to have an independent audit of the QPAP and the payments made to the CLECs and the states.

In 2008, the Legislature passed LB 755 which lowered the maximum balance in the fund from \$100,000 to \$30,000. See Neb. Rev. Stat. 86-127 (LB755, 2008.) If the fund balance exceeds \$30,000, the Commission is responsible for remitting such excess to the Nebraska Internet Enhancement Fund. Transfers for the fiscal year of 2009-10 amounted to \$8,500.69.

PART IV

Review of the Level of Rates of Local Exchange And Interexchange Companies

This section of the report provides current local rates and historical information on local rate changes of carriers, in addition to discussing some changes that are taking place in the long distance market. Due to the level of competition in some local exchanges, financial information – specifically the financial status of local exchange companies, has been omitted from this report.

1. Local Exchange Rates

Local exchange rates for Nebraska incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs), and competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs), are listed in Table B following. The rates listed provide basic dial tone service and exclude subscriber line charges, extended area service rates, and optional charges. Table A following shows the change to basic local rates which have taken place over the past ten years.

Since the last report, there were two telephone companies who have increased their local exchange rates. In December 2009, Hamilton Telecommunications proposed increases in their local rates. Under the proposal business and residential rates would increase from \$13.75 to \$17.25 per month. The Company held a public meeting for interested customers in January 2010, and since the required signatures for review of the proposal were not received by the Commission, the rates went into effect in April of this year.

Hemingford Telephone Company notified their customers in January of a proposal for residential rates to increase from \$17.50 to \$19.90 per month. Business rates were unaffected under the plan. A public meeting was held in February to discuss the reasons for the increase. It was determined in April that there were not enough customer signatures to have the Commission review the proposal and the rate increase went into effect in May 2010.

Plainview Telephone notified its customers in July of a rate proposal which would increase residential rates for \$17.50 to \$19.95. The company held a public informational meeting in August to explain the increase and answer questions, and the rate proposal is pending at this time.

The implementation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 allowed for companies to compete for local service customers using their own facilities or leasing the facilities of incumbent local carriers. These CLECs are certified by the Commission to provide service and their rates and charges are on file at the Commission. In the larger communities of the State, the CLECs have had a large impact on the incumbent customer base and with the additional competition from wireless carriers; many incumbent carriers have experienced a loss in their access lines in the past few years.

The Commission has authorized 73 CLECs to compete for local service in the State. As of December 31, 2009, 117 were serving Nebraska subscribers. Approximately 759,765 of the Nebraska subscribers now have a competitive alternative for local landline service. CLECs in Nebraska serve 37.3% of the total access lines, compared to a 35.5% share of the market on December 31, 2008. Total landline access lines in service in Nebraska on December 31, 2009, were 837,603 compared to 876,060 at the end of the previous year, a reduction of 4.4%. The following table provides more details of the statistics for the last two years:

	2008	2009	Change
Total Access Lines Served in the State	876,060	837,603	-4.4%
Total Access Lines Served by ILECs	565,481	525,013	-7.2%
Total Access Lines Served by CLECs	310,579	312,590	0.6%
Percentage of Total Access Lines Served by CLECs	35.5%	37.3%	1.8%
Percent of Access Lines Having Competitive Alternatives	90.9%	90.9%	0%
Number of Access Lines Without Competitive Alternatives	79,994	77,838	-2.7%
Total Number of Exchanges	469	469	0%
Total Number of Exchanges Without Competitive Alternatives	210	213	1.4%

2. Financial Statistics

The financial information related to local exchange company earnings is not being reported for 2010. Competition is being introduced into this market and company-specific data may reveal competitively sensitive information. Thus, the annual reports filed by the local exchange companies remain available at the Commission for use by Commissioners and Commission staff. Portions of the reports that are not proprietary are available for public inspection.

3. Long Distance Telephone Rates/Access Charges

A number of long distance carriers are available to customers in Nebraska for their choice of long distance service. Approximately 230 interexchange carriers are certified to compete in the long distance market in the State. To provide long distance service, these carriers pay wholesale rates or access charges to originate and terminate calls on the network. Access charges represent expenses to long distance carriers and revenue to companies who own the long distance facilities.

On February 24, 2009, the Commission opened an investigation and sought comment from interested parties on access charge policies and the minimum evidentiary criteria required under Neb. Rev. Stat. Section 86-140 which governs access rate changes. (See C-4145/NUSF-74/PI-147 on Page 10.)

4. Telephone Billing Changes

Services of telephone companies once considered free are now appearing as separate charges on customers' bills. The charges appear on local and long distance bills and may be identified as Paper Invoice Fees, Bill Statement Fees, State and Federal Recovery Fees, Carrier Cost Recovery Fees, Administrative Service Fees and Convenience Fees. These fees range from \$1.95 up to \$10.00 per month and have been the issue in a number of complaints the Commission has received. The companies charging the fees indicate that they have been implemented to help recover increasing costs, such as printing costs, postage, management of paper invoices, maintaining administration and system support and customer service.

B. Basic Local Rate Changes										
Local Exchange Companies										
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
Arapahoe									X	X
Arlington										
Benkelman										X
Blair										
Cambridge										X
Citizens										
Clarks										
Consolidated									X	X
Consolidated Telco										X
Cozad									X	X
Curtis										
Dalton		X							X	X
Diller									X	X
Eastern										
Elsie		X							X	X
Eustis									X	X
Glenwood									X	X
Great Plains			X						X	X
Hamilton	X									
Hartington										
Hartman									X	X
Hemingford	X									X
Henderson										X
Hershey										X
Home									X	X
Hooper									X	X
K & M										
Keystone-Arthur									X	X
NEBCOM										
Nebraska Central										X
Northeast									X	X
Pierce										
Plainview										
Qwest		2								1
Rock County										
Sodtown								X		X
Southeast NE										
Stanton										
Three River										X
United (Centurylink)										
Wauneta										X
Windstream			2						X	1

(1)Business line rate reduction only. (X) Indicates a rate change
(2)Business line rate increase only

**B. NEBRASKA LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIERS
BASIC LOCAL EXCHANGE RATES
Effective September 1, 2010**

Company	Exchange	Business	Residential
AT&T Communication of the Midwest		\$31.20	N/A
Allo Communications		\$31.00	\$18.50
American Fiber Network, Inc.		\$27.55	\$18.15
Applied Communications Technology Inc.		\$44.80	\$21.40
Arapahoe Telephone Company	Group 1: Arapahoe, Hendley, Holbrook	\$27.50	\$17.50
	Group 2: Arapahoe, Brule, Farnam, Loomis, Overton	\$37.55	\$17.50
Arlington Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Benkelman Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Blair Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Bullseye Telecom, Inc.		\$27.55	N/A
Cambridge Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Charter Fiberlink-Nebraska LLC		\$21.99	\$24.99
Citizens Telecommunications Co of Nebr. (d/b/a Frontier)		\$27.50	\$17.50
Clarks Telephone Co.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Comtel Telecom Assets LP d/b/a VarTec Telecom, d/b/a Excel Telecommunications		N/A	\$19.95
Consolidated Telco, Inc.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Consolidated Telephone Company	Anselmo	\$27.50	\$17.50
	Arthur	\$27.50	\$17.50
	Ashby	\$27.50	\$19.25
	Bingham	\$27.50	\$19.25
	Brewster	\$27.50	\$17.50
	Brownlee	\$27.50	\$19.25
	Dunning	\$27.50	\$17.50
	Halsey	\$27.50	\$17.50
	Hyannis	\$27.50	\$17.50
	Merna	\$27.50	\$17.50
	Mullen	\$27.50	\$17.50
	Purdum	\$27.50	\$17.50
	Seneca	\$27.50	\$17.50
	Theford	\$27.50	\$17.50
	Whitman	\$27.50	\$19.25

Company	Exchange	Business	Residential
Cox Nebraska Telecom LLC	(A) Flat Rate	\$26.89	\$17.65
	Add'l. Line	\$26.89	\$17.65
	(B) Comb. Ser.	\$26.89	\$15.89
	Second Line	\$26.89	\$11.99
	Add'l. Line	\$26.89	\$15.89
Cozad Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Curtis Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Dalton Telephone Company		\$29.95	\$19.25
Digital ISP Group	Group 2	\$28.66	\$17.88
	Group 5	\$35.21	\$19.59
	Group 7	\$40.44	\$20.11
	Group 12	\$50.89	\$20.58
Diller Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Eastern Nebraska Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Elsie Telecom, Inc.		\$29.95	\$19.25
Ernest Communications, Inc.		\$28.95	N/A
FiberComm, Inc.		\$21.25	\$19.00
First Communications, LLC		\$27.55	\$31.00
France Telecom Corporation Solutions Inc.		\$66.00	N/A
Glenwood Telephone Membership Corporation		\$27.50	\$17.50
Granite Telecommunications		\$27.55	\$18.15
Great Plains Communications		\$29.95	\$19.20
Hamilton Telephone Company		\$17.25	\$17.25
Hartington Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Hartman Telephone Exchange		\$27.50	\$17.50
Hemingford Cooperative Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$19.90
Hershey Cooperative Telecom, Inc.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Hooper Telephone d/b/a Westel Systems		\$27.50	\$17.50
Houlton Enterprise, Inc. d/b/a Guaranteed Phone Service		\$69.95	\$17.50
Huntel Cablevision, Inc. d/b/a American Broadband Nebraska Communications, Inc.		\$24.59	\$15.59
Huntel, Inc. d/b/a American Broadband Nebraska Communications, Inc.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Ionex Communications North, Inc.	Qwest	\$37.55	\$20.00
K&M Telephone Company		\$17.50	\$17.50
Keystone-Arthur Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Lightyear Network Solutions, LLC		\$29.00	\$32.99
	Add'l Line		\$27.99
Long Lines Siouxland LLC		\$25.00	\$10.00

Company	Exchange	Business	Residential
MCImetro Access Transmission Services		\$30.99	\$20.99
Mainstay Technologies, LLC		\$27.50	\$17.50
Matrix Telecom, Inc. d/b/a Trinsic Communication		\$43.75	N/A
Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska Inc.		\$27.55	\$18.15
Mobius Communications Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Nebraska Technology & Telecommunications, Inc. (NT&T)	Group 1	\$27.55	\$18.15
	Group 2	\$27.50	\$17.50
	Group 3	\$30.10	\$17.50
	Group 4	\$30.10	\$17.50
	Group 5	\$30.10	\$17.50
	Group 6	\$30.10	\$17.50
Nebraska Central Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Northstar Telecom, Inc.		\$27.49	\$19.99
NOS Communications d/b/a Blueridge Telecom System		\$32.84	\$18.15
OrbitCom, Inc.		\$27.00	\$27.00
Pierce Telephone Company, Inc.		\$20.45	\$17.50
Pinpoint Communications Inc.		\$27.95	\$17.50
Plainview Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Quantumshift Communications, Inc.		\$32.84	N/A
Qwest Communications Corp.	First Line	\$30.00	\$18.15
	Add'l Line	\$30.00	\$16.35
Rock County Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Sodtown Telephone Company		\$17.50	\$17.50
Southeast Nebraska Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$17.50
Stanton Telephone Company, Inc.		\$27.50	\$17.50
TCG Omaha		\$36.40	N/A
Three River Telco		\$27.50	\$17.50
Time Warner Cable		N/A	\$49.95
Transnational Communications International, Inc.		\$22.00	N/A
United Telephone Co. of the West (d/b/a CenturyLink)		\$27.50	\$17.50
Wauneta Telephone Company		\$27.50	\$19.95
Windstream Communications of the Midwest, Inc.		\$29.99	\$17.50

C. Explanation of Charges Which May Appear on Your Telephone Bill

Basic Residential Service - The monthly rate charged by companies to provide telecommunications service to a residence (home or apartment) within their local calling area.

Extended Area Service - A monthly flat fee charged by telecommunications companies that allows users to place an unlimited number of calls to or receive calls from nearby communities with no additional charges.

Number Portability Charge - A charge set by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to recover costs associated with allowing customers to retain their telephone number when changing from one local company to another.

Federal Subscriber Line Charge - A charge set by the FCC that customers pay to their local phone company to cover part of the cost of connecting customers to the telephone network. It is currently capped at \$6.50 per month for the first residential line and single business lines. The monthly charge can vary by company.

Telecommunications Relay Service Surcharge - A surcharge set by the Nebraska Public Service Commission (NPSC) to operate the statewide telecommunications system for the hearing and speech impaired. The program also provides financial aide to eligible Nebraskan's for the purchase of specialized telephone equipment necessary to use relay services. Equipment program beneficiaries may apply for equipment vouchers no more than once every five years. The charge is reviewed annually by the NPSC and is currently \$.05 per/month per telephone number in service.

911 Service Surcharge - A charge assessed by and remitted to the city or county to provide funding to operate emergency service centers. Typically this charge ranges from \$.50 to \$1.00 per month per telephone number.

Wireless E911 Surcharge - A charge assessed by the state and remitted to the NPSC to fund the implementation of the Wireless E911 program which allows emergency responders to accurately locate wireless devices that have placed 911 calls. Currently the charge is \$.50 per month on each telephone number in service.

Nebraska Universal Service Surcharge - A surcharge set by the NPSC on in-state telecommunications charges. It is disbursed to local phone companies, wireless companies, and Nebraska hospitals to defray a portion of the cost of providing services in high-cost areas, to low-income customers, and to critical access hospitals which provide medical services over the statewide Nebraska Tele-Health Network. The charge has not exceeded the current level of 6.95% since it was established in 1999.

Federal Tax (Excise Tax) - A 3% tax which funds general federal government operations.

State Sales Tax - A tax assessed by and remitted to the state (currently 5.5%) on local and in-state long distance telecommunications charges. It funds general state government obligations.

City Sales Tax (if applicable) - A tax assessed by and remitted to the city to fund general municipal obligations. City sales taxes, if they exist, vary by city.

City Occupation or Franchise Tax (if applicable) - A tax assessed to telephone companies (passed on to customers) by the city for the right to do business in the community. Occupation or Franchise taxes, if they exist, vary by city.

Federal Universal Service - All telecommunications service providers must contribute to the Federal Universal Service Fund based on a percentage of their interstate end-user revenues. The fund supports the following four programs: Lifeline/Linkup, High-cost, Schools and Libraries and Rural Health Care. The rate is recalculated by the FCC quarterly, is usually passed on to consumers and varies by company.

PART V

Recommendations for the 2011 Legislative Session

The Commission, as of the date of this Annual Report, recommends the Legislature consider the following legislation relating to telecommunications for the 2011 Session:

- The Commission directed staff at the conclusion of a recent investigation to seek legislation to clarify the applicability of the Telecommunication Relay System Act provisions to wireless prepaid carriers in the 2011 Legislative Session. (See Docket No. C-4281/PI-171).

PART VI

The Changing Face of Communications

1. Broadband

Broadband is a generic term commonly used to refer to internet access through some means other than dial-up. For years, "broadband" has referred to a high-speed connection, but the actual speed threshold has varied. On June 12, 2008, the FCC released a Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FCC 08-89) which established new broadband connection speed categories and changed the geographic reporting level.

- (1) First Generation Data – greater than 200 kbps but less than 768 kbps;
- (2) Basic Broadband Tier 1 – equal to or greater than 768 kbps but less than 1.5 mbps;
- (3) Broadband Tier 2 – equal to or greater than 1.5 mbps but less than 3.0 mbps;
- (4) Broadband Tier 3 – equal to or greater than 3.0 mbps but less than 6.0 mbps,
- (5) Broadband Tier 4 – equal to or greater than 6.0 mbps but less than 10.0 mbps;
- (6) Broadband Tier 5 – equal to or greater than 10.0 mbps but less than 25.0 mbps;
- (7) Broadband Tier 6 – equal to or greater than 25.0 mbps but less than 100.0 mbps; and
- (8) Broadband Tier 7 – equal to or greater than 100 mbps

Under the Report and Order the FCC required service providers to report their broadband capabilities and the number of service connections based upon census tract geography rather than the current five-digit zip code geography.

Both the FCC and the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) have now adopted a new set of categories and speeds that better represent today's technologies. The following speed categories will be used by the Commission in the collection of data for the broadband mapping initiative see Section 2 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

Code	Name
1	Less than or equal to 200 kbps
2	Greater than 200 kbps and less than 768 kbps
3	Greater than or equal to 768 kbps and less than 1.5 mbps
4	Greater than or equal to 1.5 mbps and less than 3 mbps
5	Greater than or equal to 3 mbps and less than 6 mbps
6	Greater than or equal to 6 mbps and less than 10 mbps
7	Greater than or equal to 10 mbps and less than 25 mbps
8	Greater than or equal to 25 mbps and less than 50 mbps
9	Greater than or equal to 50 mbps and less than 100 mbps
10	Greater than or equal to 100 mbps and less than 1 gbps
11	Greater than or equal to 1 gbps

The typical forms of technology used as transport for broadband are digital subscriber loop (DSL), cable-modem, wireless, optical fiber and satellite technologies.

DSL broadband service uses the installed telephone wiring and is typically provided over the incumbent local exchange carriers' facilities. It is limited to a distance of three to five miles from the central office depending upon the equipment utilized. Remote or cable modem is typically provided by the local cable company and requires connection to the cable provider. Depending upon the data transmission speed desired, DSL and cable modem service are usually similar in cost and effectiveness.

Wireless and satellite technologies continue to gain broadband market shares and provide coverage to areas that are beyond the maximum distance for DSL or where cable service is not available. While wireless and satellite service is typically more costly than DSL or cable modem, the competition and equipment technology are bringing the price points closer together.

Broadband over Power Line (BPL) is a technology used to deliver high-speed data to end-users over existing electric power networks and lines. BPL, also known as power-line communication, utilizes electric power distribution wires for the high-speed transmission of data by transmitting high-frequency data signals through the same power distribution network used for carrying electric power to household users. In a common form of BPL, the broadband connection is provided over the electrical wires that enter a house; a customer can obtain internet access by plugging a BPL modem into any residential electric outlet served by the BPL system. In another form of BPL, internet access is provided using a wireless device (such as a WiFi access point) connected to a BPL distribution system outside of the home that communicates with the customer's computer or other equipment inside the home. It is important to note that BPL technology, in its current form, is not suitable for carrying broadband signals over long distances. A typical BPL signal will only propagate along a power line for 1,000 to 3,000 feet before it becomes too weak or distorted to be useful.

While innovation and technology continue to expand the capability of BPL, in its current form it does not appear to be a cost effective method of providing ubiquitous broadband in Nebraska.

2. American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009

On February 17, 2009, President Obama signed the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) into law. The essential goal of the ARRA is to provide a "direct fiscal boost to help lift our Nation from the greatest economic crisis in our lifetimes and lay the foundation for future growth." The ARRA is being administered by the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) and the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA). Consistent with the purposes described in the ARRA; RUS and NTIA were appropriated \$7.2 billion to expand access to broadband services in the United States.

The ARRA designated \$2.5 billion for RUS to establish the Broadband Initiatives Program (BIP). Through this program RUS may extend loans, grants and loan/grant combinations to facilitate broadband deployment in rural areas where at least 75 percent of a specific RUS-funded project is in a rural area that lacks sufficient access to high speed broadband service to facilitate rural economic development.

The ARRA also designated \$4.7 billion for the NTIA to provide grants for broadband initiatives throughout the United States, including unserved and underserved areas. The NTIA program is titled Broadband Technology Opportunities Program (BTOP) and its goal is to spur job creation, stimulate long-term economic growth and opportunity and narrow gaps in broadband deployment and adoption. Consistent with its appropriation, BTOP is divided into three categories of projects: (1) Broadband Infrastructure, (2) Public Computer Centers, and (3) Sustainable Broadband Adoption.

Applications for BTOP grants undergo a three step process: (1) preliminary review by NTIA for compliance with the grant application process, documents and forms, (2) a period of 20 days during which the Governor can review and rank the applications from their state and (3) a final review and award decision by the NTIA.

The ARRA also appropriated \$350 million for the NTIA to establish a comprehensive nationwide inventory map of existing broadband service capability and availability in the United States that depicts the geographic extent to which broadband service capability is deployed and available from a commercial provider or public provider throughout each state. While the ARRA placed this mapping obligation on the NTIA and not the states the NTIA has sought help from the states to complete the mapping project. The NTIA is providing the opportunity for each state to apply for a 20% match grant of up to \$3.8 million to fund that states' broadband mapping project. Each state that made a broadband mapping application may also seek an additional \$500,000 for broadband planning. The Governor designated the Public Service Commission (Commission) as the single entity to apply to the NTIA for funding in Nebraska under the State Broadband Data and Development Grant Program for the mapping and planning funds.

Upon notification by the Governor that the Commission was the designated State entity, the staff began simultaneously developing the NTIA grant application and a request for proposal (RFP) to solicit bids from qualified vendors to conduct the collection and mapping of data necessary to comply with the NTIA Notice of Funds Availability (NOFA). The RFP was released by the Nebraska Department of Administrative Services on August 13, 2009.

Proposals were submitted by various vendors in response to the RFP, individually reviewed and evaluated by a panel of subject matter experts and a vendor was selected. On January 21, 2010, a contract was executed with Apex CoVantage (Apex) of Herndon, Virginia, and work on the project began. The project consists of four phases:

1. Identification of Nebraska broadband providers and establishment of non-disclosure agreements.
2. Development of data models, data collection tools, and the collection of data from the providers that have agreed to participate.

3. Verifying and plotting the data provided in a Graphical Information System (GIS).
4. Periodic updates of the data.

Identification of Broadband Providers –

Using information from the FCC and the Commission's databases, an initial list of 283 companies were identified as potential providers of broadband services in Nebraska. After extensive research using the internet and telephone interviews, 155 of the companies on the original list were identified as either subsidiary companies or companies that did not provide internet service in Nebraska. 124 of the remaining companies were sent information about the Nebraska's efforts and asked to complete a non-disclosure agreement (NDA) to protect the confidentiality of the data they were being asked to share with us. The project team was unable to make contact with four companies.

Through the NDA process, 81 companies were identified as potential providers of data. (75 companies completed and returned the NDA, six agreed to provide the requested data without the use of an NDA). Two companies refused to participate in the process and the remaining 41 were determined to be resellers of internet services and their data would not be used since it would be duplicative of the actual provider of the services.

Development of data models, data collection tools and the collection of data -

Apex and their sub-contractor, California State University – Chico (Chico), developed data models and input tools to comply with the requirements set forth in the NOFA issued by the NTIA. This was an especially challenging task as the exact requirements were modified as the NTIA gained experience and understanding of both the data they desired and how providers could respond to those requests. A secure internet portal was established by Apex to allow providers to upload data and download the resulting maps of the service areas for provider review and approval.

Of the 81 entities that the Commission anticipated receiving data from 66 did in fact provide the requested data. The remaining 15 determined that after reviewing the data submission requirements they did not have any data to submit at this time.

Verifying and plotting the data provided in a Graphical Information System -

After the data was processed by Apex and Chico, a graphical representation was made available to each provider for their review. A final review was conducted by the Commission of all data and the required data set was transmitted to the NTIA on June 15, 2010.

Periodic updates of the data -

To both improve the quality of the data and reduce the burden on providers for future data submissions, the Commission continues to have individual meetings with the providers of broadband service in Nebraska and conduct workshops with these providers, Apex and Chico.

Subsequent data sets will be submitted on October 1, 2010, and every six months after that. Although the exact date has not been established, a national map will be made available sometime during the first quarter of 2011 and a state specific map should be available in the same time frame.

3. Voice over Internet Protocol

Another use of broadband technology is Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP). This technology provides a voice communication service by transmitting the conversation between two parties as discrete digital packages of information over a dedicated data network instead of the traditional public switched telephone network (PSTN).

In just a few short years VoIP has moved from a novelty used by hobbyists to a mainstream commercial product. The major difference between a traditional telephone call and a VoIP call is the conversion of the customer's analog voice signal into a digital signal and the way in which the data is then transmitted to the called party. Equipment at the consumer's location is used to convert the analog voice signal to a stream of digital information. The digital information is divided into a series of individual "packets" that are sent over a dedicated transmission facility to the termination point. The method of transmission used is called "internet protocol" giving rise to the name of the service; Voice Over Internet Protocol. At the receiving end the packets of digital information are converted back into an analog signal for use on the called party's telephone.

Another way of visualizing this process is to consider that the conversation is occurring as a written exchange between two people through a series of individual letters, each letter having just a few words of the conversation. Depending upon which digital data packets are missing, out of order, or corrupted, the voice conversation may either have gaps or be hard to understand. Due to Quality of Service (QoS) issues most commercial VoIP services do not use the traditional internet networks to transmit the voice packets; instead dedicated data networks are used to ensure the required transmission qualities.

Typically a voice conversation between two VoIP customers from the same service provider will occur over the providers dedicated network and never reaches the PSTN much like a call between two telephones in an office that is served by a PBX (Private Branch eXchange). A voice conversation between a VoIP customer and a customer of a traditional telephone service provider is different in that the call must travel on the PSTN at some point. In the traditional telephone service environment when telephone calls are passed from the customers of one company to the customers of another company, there are charges associated with the communication called "access" and "transmission". Because of the network design employed by VoIP providers the amount of "transmission" charges are minimized when the call is between two of their customers, even if the parties are located in different cities, the "access" charges do not apply.

Because broadband is traditionally used to access the internet, and internet-related services, broadband service has been defined by the FCC as "information services" and therefore is not under the regulatory authority of states. As more and more of the internet-related services offered to the citizens of Nebraska require broadband connectivity; broadband availability will become a larger issue.

PART VII

Applications and Tariffs

The Commission received a total of 109 applications during the period of July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010. Much of the activity involved competition in local markets where additional carriers applied for local authority and sought approval of necessary interconnection agreements. Following is a summary of the applications received during this period:

Type of Application	Number of Applications Filed	Type of Application	Number of Applications Filed
Local Certification	7	ETC	0
Reseller Certification	14	Withdrawal	3
Amend Certification	15	Transfer of Control	9
Boundary/Customer	1	Name Change	2
Depreciation	0	Trade Name	2
Loan	5	EAS	0
Commission-Initiated	5	Rate Increase	2
Interconnection	32	Other	2
211	10		

There were 330 tariff changes filed with the Commission during this period. Individual applications may be reviewed in our offices while tariff revision listing is available on our website.

PART VIII

Nebraska Telecommunication Infrastructure & Public Safety Department

1. Nebraska Universal Service Fund

Purpose

To ensure that all Nebraskans have access to quality telecommunications and information services at affordable and comparable rates. To accomplish this goal, the Commission has created four programs within the Nebraska Universal Service Fund (NUSF).

1. High Cost Program, which seeks to make telecommunications and information rates generally affordable and comparable across Nebraska.
2. Nebraska Telephone Assistance Program (NTAP), which was formerly known as the Lifeline/Link-Up Program. This program provides discounted rates to qualifying low-income Nebraskans.
3. Rural Tele-Health Program, which supports the provision of telecommunications services to a statewide Tele-Health network.
4. Dedicated Wireless Fund Program, which supports the provision of wireless telecommunications infrastructure in rural unserved and underserved areas of the State.

Legislative History

In 1997, the Legislature passed LB 686, authorizing the Commission to create the NUSF. The goal of the NUSF in conjunction with federal universal service funds, is to ensure that all Nebraskans have comparable access to telecommunications services at affordable prices. In 1999, the Legislature passed LB 514, exempting persons receiving support from the Lifeline/Link-Up program from any NUSF surcharge. In 2001 and 2002, the Legislature passed LB 389 and LB 1211, respectively, clarifying the Commission's NUSF authority regarding wireless companies. Also, in 2002, the Legislature passed LB 1105, which re-codified the applicable NUSF statutes from §§ 86-1401 to 86-1411 to §§ 86-316 to 86-329. LB 37, passed in 2002, during the special session, allows the State to borrow monies from the Universal Service Fund with the following caveats: a 60-day reserve must be maintained in the fund and an interest of five percent must be paid on any monies borrowed for more than 30 days. The borrowing provisions sunset on June 30, 2007. In 2004, LB 1004 changed the name of the Lifeline/Link-Up Program to the Nebraska Telephone Assistance Program.

In 2007, LB 661 provided that consistent with the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act) all providers of telecommunications may be assessed the NUSF surcharge which is currently applicable to a percentage of intrastate telecommunications. The FCC had found that there is a distinction between providers of telecommunications and those who offer telecommunications services. Accordingly, the Nebraska Telecommunications Universal Service Fund Act was modified to clarify the intent that the Commission has the flexibility to assess the same providers consistent with the 1996 Act.

In addition, LB 661 extended the date by which the Commission is required to hold and complete a hearing after an access rate investigation or complaint is opened. Prior to LB 661 the Commission had sixty (60) days to complete a hearing in an access rate proceeding leaving little time for a protest period, a prehearing conference, discovery, and the filing of testimony. LB 661 changed this time period from sixty (60) to ninety (90) days.

Assessment

The Commission, by order and after public hearing, maintained the NUSF surcharge at 6.95 percent of in-state retail telecommunications revenue through June 30, 2010. Interstate and Internet services are not subject to the NUSF surcharge. The Commission determines assessable services through the use of FCC federal universal service definitions in order to reduce the amount of duplicate administrative work for telecommunications providers. Specific categories of services subject to the NUSF surcharge are:

- Local service, including connection charges, enhanced service, such as Caller ID and extended area services (EAS).
- Wireless services, including cellular, PCS and paging.
- In-state long distance services, including prepaid calling card, operator-assisted, collect, calling card and private line.
- Fixed Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) service.

NUSF Remittances and Payments

The Commission projected the NUSF surcharge would generate \$54.7 million during the July 2009 through June 2010 fiscal year. During this period, the NUSF collected \$50.2 million, a variance of -8.2 percent. However, this decrease in the value of surcharge collected is mostly due to a shift in how the surcharge revenues are recorded by the department due to the implementation of a new online remittance system. The Commission projected during this same period the NUSF would pay out \$54.3 million. During this period, \$53.4 million was actually paid to telecommunication providers, a variance of -1.65 percent. During the 2009-2010 fiscal year, the NUSF processed 1,835 disbursements.

As of the beginning of the fiscal year July 2009, the NUSF balance was \$15.03 million. As of June 30, 2010, the balance had increased slightly to \$15.7 million. Previously, the NUSF was estimated to have a fund balance of \$11.1 million as of June 30, 2010, compared to an actual balance of \$15.7 million. The current balance includes \$3.3 million which is earmarked for

dedicated wireless program support. This support will be paid as carriers complete projects for which they were awarded funding and submit the proper documentation.

Significant Issues

1. The Commission continues to work with the Nebraska Hospital Association to develop a Statewide Tele-Health network. The Commission has reserved \$900,000 a year for this purpose. These monies will be distributed only to eligible telecommunication companies that provide the connections for this network.
2. On July 16, 2009, the Commission and the Kansas Corporation Commission jointly filed a petition with the FCC seeking a declaratory ruling to clarify that states are not preempted from requiring interconnected VoIP service providers to contribute to state universal service funds. In 2006, the FCC issued an order which required interconnected VoIP service providers to contribute to the federal universal service fund. In April of 2007, the Commission found that consistent universal service remittance standards should be applied to all voice communication providers utilizing the public switched telephone network and entered an order requiring interconnected VoIP providers as defined by the FCC to contribute to the NUSF based upon the intrastate portion of revenues. Vonage Holdings Corp. Inc. (Vonage) challenged the Commission's contribution requirement in the United States District Court for the District of Nebraska and on March 3, 2008, the federal court enjoined the Commission from requiring Vonage to remit to the NUSF. The Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals upheld the District Court's decision. These decisions were based on an interpretation of an FCC order. The Commission is seeking clarification that the FCC order did not preempt states from requiring interconnected VoIP providers to contribute to state universal service funds.
3. The Commission continues to implement its December 7, 2004, NUSF-2 order revising the NTAP eligibility standards and program requirements. This has resulted in the need to re-certify more than 20,000 individuals on a regular basis.
4. The Commission previously determined that it should establish a dedicated wireless program to promote access to wireless service in rural areas. In the first support year, the Commission granted dedicated wireless support to N.E. Colorado Cellular d/b/a Viaero Wireless (Viaero) to construct towers in rural areas in the amount of \$2,023,063. The Commission granted support to Long Lines in the amount of \$450,000. In 2009, Viaero received \$5 million in dedicated wireless program support. For the 2010 calendar year, the Commission allocated \$5 million for the dedicated wireless fund program. In June 2010, the Commission received requests for wireless support from three carriers: Long Lines, Viaero and U.S. Cellular. These applications are currently being considered by the Commission.
5. In August 2009, the Commission implemented a new online system for telecommunication companies to file their remittance worksheets. The new online system has aided in processing the receipt of over 200 monthly remittance worksheets more efficiently and provides the Commission with the ability to better track the filings by telecommunication companies and the tools to research the information being filed.

6. In January 2010, the Commission received a petition from the Nebraska Telecommunications Association (NTA) to conduct a review of the high-cost fund program. The Petition focused on issues related to deployment of broadband-capable networks throughout the state. The Commission sought comment on the petition and requested that interested parties brief the issue of whether supporting broadband was included in the statutory authority granted to the Commission in the Nebraska Telecommunications Universal Service Fund Act. The Commission is reviewing those briefs and comments and intends to issue a series of progression orders resolving these issues raised by the NTA and other parties.

Nebraska Tele-Health Program

In September 2004, the Commission approved funding for the Nebraska Statewide Tele-Health Network. This network connects 68 rural and critical access hospitals across the state to hub hospitals in Grand Island, Kearney, Lincoln, Norfolk, North Platte, Omaha and Scottsbluff. This network connects the rural and critical access hospitals to specialists in areas such as trauma, radiology and endocrinology, providing expanded healthcare services to rural communities. Tele-Health has become a vital part of healthcare in Nebraska, saving patients in rural areas the time, cost and inconvenience of traveling long distances for their specialized healthcare needs.

From July 2009 to June 2010, the Commission provided funding of \$725,606.95 for the Tele-Health Network. Since its inception in 2004, the Commission has funded 76 hospitals and expended \$3,726,539.47 for this program.

Nebraska Telephone Assistance Program

In 2003, the Commission changed the name of the Nebraska Lifeline and Link-Up programs to the Nebraska Telephone Assistance Program or NTAP. This was done to distinguish the program from the Lifeline medical alert service. NTAP assists qualifying low-income individuals with obtaining and keeping telephone services by lowering monthly service and connection rates. The Commission has adopted a policy to maximize the amount of federal support for the NTAP. At a minimum, federal support is available to waive the federal subscriber line charge (SLC), which ranges between \$3.50 per month and \$6.50 per month, and reduce basic local exchange rates by \$1.75 per month. Additional federal matching support is available, equal to one-half of any state support, up to a maximum of \$1.75 per month. The NUSF provides support of \$3.50 per month so that the NTAP can receive this additional \$1.75 per month in federal support. As a result, an additional \$5.25 per month in support is available to qualifying Nebraska telephone subscribers. Customers receive a total credit of between \$10.00 and \$13.50 on their bill. To qualify for the NTAP, a consumer must participate in one of the following programs:

- 1) Medicaid;
- 2) Food Stamps;
- 3) Supplemental Security Income (SSI);
- 4) Federal Public Housing Assistance;
- 5) Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP); and
- 6) Children's Health Insurance Programs (Kids Connection, SAM, MAC, E-MAC)

The NTAP also provides a credit of 50 percent, up to \$30, for one-time connection on a single line of service, free toll blocking and a deferred payment schedule for charges to establish service on which the consumer does not pay interest. This is provided solely through federal support, although the NUSF does cover administrative costs; and eligibility is based on the same criteria list above. Federal support is now available to low-income consumers living on tribal lands up to an additional \$25 per month. This increased support cannot bring the basic local exchange rate below \$1 per month. Additionally, federal support of up to \$100 is available to consumers living on tribal lands to reduce the initial connection and line extension charges. Further, eligibility criteria for consumers living in tribal areas have been expanded to include the following additional federal assistance programs:

- 1) Bureau of Indian Affairs General Assistance;
- 2) Tribally-administered Temporary Assistance for Needy Families;
- 3) Head Start (only those meeting its income qualifying standard); or
- 4) National School Lunch Program's free lunch program.

Currently, approximately 18,481 Nebraskans are enrolled in the NTAP program and receive support from the NUSF. This represents a decrease of 25% since June 2009. This 25% decrease is a result of the re-certification process. The Commission is currently involved in a cooperative work effort with Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to mail pre-approved NTAP applications to persons that are currently enrolled in the Medicaid, Food Stamps and Children's Health Insurance programs, but not enrolled in the NTAP. Since September 2003, 218,739 pre-approved applications have been mailed to eligible persons. More than 32,873 of those applications have been returned and processed.

The Commission continues to work with the HHS offices, area aging agencies, housing authorities and others across the state to provide information about the NTAP.

The Commission has also implemented verification and re-certification process to ensure that participant information is up to date and that participants still qualify for the underlying programs. The Commission works with HHS and other sources to obtain information about whether an NTAP enrollee continues to qualify for the underlying programs. If it is determined the customer should no longer be receiving NTAP assistance the customer is notified and given an opportunity to re-qualify or if nothing is received the individual is removed from the Commission's database. The re-certification process began in March 2007, with 1,000 participants per month being selected for re-certification. To date, 23,174 participants have been re-certified.

In 2007, the Commission approved adding basic cell phone service to the eligible services to receive NTAP assistance. Those basic cell phone plans are offered through Verizon Wireless, US Cellular and Viaero.

Nebraska Telephone Assistance Program Implementation

The following is a statistical summary of applications processed for each fiscal year ending June 30 since program inception of January 1, 1998:

	Fiscal Year Total		Cumulative to Date	
	Total Applications Processed	One-Time Connection Applications	Total Applications Processed	One-Time Connection Applications
FY 97-98	11,355	435	11,355	435
FY 98-99	4,294	798	15,649	1,233
FY 99-00	4,607	829	20,256	2,062
FY 00-01	3,851	1,716	24,107	3,778
FY 01-02	3,726	1,594	27,833	5,372
FY 02-03	4,117	1,989	31,950	7,361
FY 03-04	7,298	2,226	39,248	9,587
FY 04-05	8,102	4,357	47,350	13,944
FY 05-06	7,460	629	54,810	14,573
FY 06-07	6,128	2,329	60,575	16,902
FY 07-08	6,632	1,712	65,215	18,614
FY 08-09	5,713	1,776	70,928	21,989
FY 09-10	9,173	1,400	80,101	23,389

2. Wireless E911 Fund

What is Wireless 9-1-1?

In most areas of North America, citizens have basic or enhanced 9-1-1 service from their landline, or wireline, phones in their homes or workplaces. Basic 9-1-1 means that if someone dials 9-1-1, no location or identifying information is automatically transmitted. This information must be communicated by the calling party to the call taker/dispatcher in the local public safety answering point (PSAP) or 9-1-1 center. In areas with Enhanced 9-1-1, the telecommunications network transmits location or identifying information automatically and the local 9-1-1 center has equipment and database information that allow the call taker to see the caller's phone number and address on a display. This allows the 9-1-1 center to quickly dispatch emergency help, even if the caller is unable to communicate where they are or the nature of the emergency.

Three Phases of Wireless 9-1-1

There are three phases of Wireless 9-1-1. The most basic of these, sometimes called "Wireless Phase 0," means that when you dial 9-1-1 from your cell phone a call taker at a PSAP answers. The call taker may be at the state highway patrol or at a city or county PSAP up to hundreds of miles away, or at a local PSAP, depending on how the wireless 9-1-1 call is routed. The call taker doesn't receive the call-back phone number or the location of the caller. This presents

life threatening problems due to lost response time if callers are unable to speak, don't know where they are, or if they don't know their wireless phone callback number and the call is dropped.

Wireless Phase I is the first step in providing better emergency response service to wireless 9-1-1 callers. When Phase I has been implemented, a wireless 9-1-1 call will come into the PSAP with the wireless phone's call-back number and the location of the wireless tower that received the call. This allows the PSAP to determine the general location of the calling party, usually within a few square miles. This is important in the event the cell phone call is dropped. This may even allow PSAP employees to work with the wireless company to identify the wireless subscriber.

To more effectively locate wireless 9-1-1 callers, Phase II must be implemented by local 9-1-1 systems and wireless carriers. Phase II allows call-takers to receive both the caller's wireless phone number and their specific location by latitude and longitude.

9-1-1 Technologies

Wireless carriers have two types of location technologies available from which to choose. They are network-based and handset-based. Of the carriers offering service in Nebraska; Alltel, Cricket, Sprint Nextel, US Cellular and Verizon utilize a handset-based solution. AT&T/Cingular, I Wireless, T-Mobile and Viaero utilize a network-based solution.

When a wireless phone is turned on, whether or not it is being used, it periodically transmits signals so the wireless network knows where to deliver calls. With the network-based solution, special radio intercept equipment is installed on the cellular towers. When a call is placed, the towers can compare signals from any active cell phone and pinpoint the call using triangulation (the difference in time between the arrival of the signal at different receiving stations or by the signal's angle of arrival at each tower.) It takes at least three towers to get a good location. This solution is called network-based because the signal measurements and location calculations are performed in the cellular network.

The handset-based solution utilizes a cell phone equipped with Global Positioning System (GPS) equipment that can measure the time of arrival of signals transmitted from GPS satellites in order to calculate its position.

Carriers that use network-based technologies are required to provide location information that is accurate to within 100 meters 67% of the time and accurate within 300 meters 95% of the time. Carriers that use handset-based technologies must provide greater location accuracy: 50 meter accuracy 67% of the time and 150 meter accuracy 95% of the time.

Once a carrier using a handset-based technology receives a Phase II request, they have six months to install the necessary equipment and begin delivering the service to the requesting PSAP. Carriers using network-based solutions must provide Phase II for at least 50 percent of the PSAP's coverage area or population within six months after the request and for 100 percent of the PSAP's coverage area within 18 months.

Wireless E911 Fund Remittances and Payments

Effective July 1, 2001, a 50-cent surcharge has been collected for each subscriber with a billing address in Nebraska. Wireless carriers remit the surcharge to the Public Service Commission 60 days after the last day of the month. From July 2009 through June 2010, the fund collected \$7,023,026.58 and distributions were made in the amount of \$ 5,736,677.48. As of July 1, 2010, the balance of the Wireless 911 Fund was \$ 14,347,982.33.

The Commission recently adopted a permanent funding mechanism for wireless 911 service pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. 86-465 (1)(e). All counties applied for funding under the cost model with the exception of Sheridan County. Four wireless carriers applied for funding: Alltel Wireless, Sagir d/b/a Indigo, Sprint Nextel and US Cellular. Payments to PSAPs and wireless carriers pursuant to the funding mechanism commenced July 2010.

Status of 911 in Nebraska

There have been 83 counties which have implemented Phase II with at least one wireless carrier. There is one county that has implemented Phase I and will be proceeding to Phase II in the near future. There are two counties that have implemented landline enhanced 911 and have requested Phase I and Phase II. Finally, there are seven counties with basic 911. All of the seven basic counties are actively taking steps to reach landline enhanced 911 and will proceed to Phase II soon after they have reached enhanced landline E911. It is the belief of the Commission that all counties in the State of Nebraska will have reached Phase II Wireless E911 by the end of the 2010-2011 fiscal year.

C. Wireline 911 Information

The table beginning on the next page is Wireline E911 surcharge information reported to the Commission by incumbent and competitive local exchange carriers:

Legislative Changes

No significant legislative changes were made during the 2010 legislative session to either the Enhanced Wireless 911 Services Act or the Emergency Telephone Communications Systems Act.

Summary of Significant Dockets Relating to Enhanced Wireless 911

The following is a description of significant pending dockets related to enhanced wireless 911.

911-019/
PI-118 *In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, on its own motion, to implement provisions of LB 1222[2006] and to establish a permanent funding mechanism for wireless enhanced 911 service.*

The Commission adopted a funding mechanism pursuant to LB 1222 [2006] on February 20, 2010. Payments to PSAPs and wireless carriers pursuant to the funding mechanism commenced July 2010.

911-024 *In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, on its own motion, seeking to develop policies and process requests for access to GIS data and the GIS Repository.*

This docket was opened to develop and manage GIS data for the statewide 911 system. The statewide GIS repository is available to all PSAPs to update and access GIS data for the purposes of providing Phase II 911 service. Requests for access to the Repository have also been made by entities other than PSAPs. The Commission is working with a GIS Steering Committee in order to ensure that state-wide street centerline data is available to all agencies and the public.

911-031.01 *Jeffrey L. Pursley, Director of the Nebraska Telecommunications Infrastructure and Public Safety Department of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, Complainant, v. United Cellular Operating Company of Greater Iowa, Inc., d/b/a US Cellular, Respondent.*

In response to Docket 911-031, TracFone filed a request to use an alternative method for collection and remittance of E911 surcharges. The Commission rejected TracFone's alternative method in an order dated April 1, 2008, and rejected another alternate method in an order dated April 22, 2008. The Commission's decision was affirmed by the Lancaster County District Court. The District Court order was affirmed by the Nebraska Supreme Court on February 12, 2010. TracFone has filed its prepaid election and is currently remitting the surcharge as required by Docket 911-031.

911-032 *In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, on its own motion, seeking to investigate reimbursement of costs from the Enhanced Wireless 911 Fund related to the consolidation of Public Safety Answering Points.*

The Commission is investigating possible reimbursement of costs related to the consolidation of PSAPs from the Enhanced 911 Fund. This docket was closed as the issues presented were superseded by the establishment of a permanent funding mechanism.

911-035/
PI-133 *In the Matter of the Commission, on its own motion, seeking to investigate cost recovery by wireless carriers directly from Public Safety Answering Points for the implementation of enhanced wireless 911 service.*

The Commission opened this docket to explore possible cost recovery by wireless carriers directly from PSAPs for the implementation of Enhanced 911 service. This docket was closed as the issues presented were superseded by the establishment of a permanent funding mechanism.

911-036 *In the Matter of the Commission, on its own motion, seeking to investigate and establish procedures for the designation of public safety answering points for the receipt and dispatch of wireless 911 service calls from counties without enhanced 911 service.*

This docket was opened to establish procedures for the designation of PSAPs to receive and dispatch wireless 911 service calls from counties who fail to implement landline E911 service prior to 2010. The Commission adopted a policy on May 19, 2009. Currently, all counties have or are in the process of implementing landline E911. Once all implementations are complete the docket will be closed.

911-038/
PI-142 *In the Matter of the Commission, on its own motion, seeking to investigate the reimbursement of wireless carrier's Phase II costs from the Enhanced Wireless 911 Fund.*

The Commission opened the docket on November 18, 2008, on its own motion, in order to investigate the reimbursement of wireless carrier Phase II costs from the Enhanced Wireless 911 Fund (Fund) through the permanent funding mechanism after the Commission denied a funding request submitted by Viaero Wireless. This docket was closed as the issues presented were superseded by the establishment of a permanent funding mechanism.

911-039/
PI-145 *In the Matter of the Commission, on its own motion, seeking to investigate issues associated with Viper 911 equipment.*

The Commission opened this docket on December 16, 2008, to investigate issues associated with Viper 911 equipment. The Docket was closed once all issues were addressed.

911-040/
PI-152 *In the Matter of the Commission, on its own motion, seeking to coordinate federal grants through the Ensuring Needed Help Arrives Near Callers Employing 911 Act of 2004 (ENHANCE 911 Act).*

The Commission opened this docket in order to coordinate federal grants through the Ensuring Needed Help Arrives Near Callers Employing 911 Act of 2004 (ENHANCE 911 Act). The grant application for costs related to equipment and software for Pierce County, Morrill County, Garden County and Region 24; as well as the completion of intertandem trunking was approved. All projects are currently in process.

911-045/
PI-166 *In the Matter of the Commission, on its own motion, seeking to investigate the requirements, costs and impact of the implementation of Next Generation 911 in Nebraska relating to the provision of Enhanced Wireless 911 Services.*

The Commission opened this docket to investigate the requirements, costs and impact of the implementation of IP based Next Generation 911 in Nebraska relating to the provision of Enhanced Wireless 911 Service. To date, the Commission has received written comments.

911-046/
PI-167 *In the Matter of the Commission, on its own motion, seeking to investigate costs and options for the statewide maintenance of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Data necessary for the provision of Enhanced Wireless 911 Service.*

The Commission opened this docket to investigate costs and options for the statewide maintenance of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Data necessary for the provision of Enhanced Wireless 911 Service. Written comments have been received and a hearing is scheduled to take place in October.

911-047/
PI-168 *In the Matter of the Commission, on its own Motion, seeking to investigate and establish standards and guidelines for Geographic Information Systems (GIS) data necessary for the provision of Enhanced Wireless 911 Services.*

The Commission opened this docket to investigate and establish standards and guidelines for GIS Data necessary for the provision of Enhanced Wireless 911 Service. Written comments have been received.

C. Wireline 911 Information

The table beginning on the next page is Wireline E911 surcharge information reported to the Commission by incumbent and competitive local exchange carriers:

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Glenvil	Windstream			X	\$0.75	Adams County	\$140.50	\$107,681.97
Glenvil	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Adams County	\$75.00	
Hansen	Windstream			X	\$0.75	Adams County	\$1,875.50	
Hansen	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Adams County	\$111.00	
Hastings	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$0.75	Adams County	\$273.25	
Hastings	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$0.75	Adams County	\$144.75	
Hastings	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.75	Adams County	\$0.74	
Hastings	Windstream			X	\$0.75	Adams County	\$85,104.25	
Hastings	Windstream/Guaranteed Phone Service			X	\$0.75	Adams County	\$24.75	
Hastings	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.75	Adams County	\$3,479.75	
Hastings/Juniata	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$0.75	Adams County	\$9,311.17	
Juniata	Windstream			X	\$0.75	Adams County	\$3,343.63	
Juniata	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.75	Adams County	\$264.00	
Kenesaw	Windstream			X	\$0.75	Adams County	\$3,225.69	
Kenesaw	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.75	Adams County	\$308.00	
Alliance	Allo Communications			X	\$0.50	Alliance, City of	\$4,027.12	
Alliance	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$0.50	Alliance, City of	\$45.50	
Alliance	Ernest Communications			X	\$1.00	Alliance, City of	\$3.00	
Alliance	Mobius Communications Company			X	\$0.50	Alliance, City of	\$4,038.67	
Alliance	Qwest			X	\$0.50	Alliance, City of	\$30,537.61	
Alliance	TCG Omaha			X	\$0.50	Alliance, City of	\$0.00	
Hemingford	Hemingford Cooperative Telephone Company			X	\$0.50	Alliance, City of	\$5,232.53	
Albion	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Antelope County	\$2.00	\$35,486.04
Brunswick	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Antelope County	\$2,243.00	
Clearwater	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Antelope County	\$5,314.54	

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911				
Columbus	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Antelope County	\$5.00	
Elgin	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Antelope County	\$8,014.00	
Leigh	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Antelope County	\$75.00	
Neligh	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Antelope County	\$12,830.00	
Neligh	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Antelope County	\$18.00	
Neligh	NT&T			X	\$0.50	Antelope County	\$66.50	
Oakdale	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Antelope County	\$1,996.00	
Orchard	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Antelope County	\$4,192.00	
Tilden	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Antelope County	\$730.00	
Arthur	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Arthur County	\$1,618.73	
Keystone	Keystone-Arthur			X	\$0.60	Arthur County	\$100.73	\$13,587.46
Ashland	Windstream			X	\$0.50	Ashland, City of	\$11,868.00	
Ashland	Windstream/Guaranteed Phone Service			X	\$0.50	Ashland, City of	\$5.50	
Ashland	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.50	Ashland, City of	\$592.50	\$12,466.00
Beatrice	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Beatrice, City of	\$6,070.03	
Beatrice	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Beatrice, City of	\$203.50	
Beatrice	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Beatrice, City of	\$84.00	
Beatrice	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Beatrice, City of	\$65,095.00	
Beatrice	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Beatrice, City of	\$4,660.00	\$76,112.53
Albion	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$15,429.00	
Albion	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$48.00	
Albion	NT&T			X	\$0.50	Boone County	\$215.50	
Alma	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$6.00	
Cedar Rapids	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$3,821.00	\$31,796.50

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Columbus	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$6.00	
Genoa	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$2.00	
Kearney	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$8.00	
Leigh	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$4.00	
Monroe	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$5.00	
Neligh	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$2.00	
Newman Grove	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$180.00	
Palmer	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$2.00	
Petersburg	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$4,437.00	
Primrose	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$1,086.00	
Saint Edward	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$6,534.00	
Tilden	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Boone County	\$11.00	
Alliance	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$0.50	Box Butte Co.	\$1,625.35	
Alliance	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$0.50	Box Butte Co.	\$28.00	
Alliance	First Communications, LLC			X	\$0.50	Box Butte Co.	\$33.50	
Alliance	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$0.50	Box Butte Co.	\$51.50	
Alliance	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$0.50	Box Butte Co.	\$8.50	
Alliance	NT&T			X	\$0.50	Box Butte Co.	\$3,232.00	
Alliance	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$0.50	Box Butte Co.	\$227.50	\$5,206.35
Sumner	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Broken Bow, City of	\$168.00	\$168.00
Ainsworth	OrbitCom Inc	X			\$1.00	Brown County	\$170.00	
Ainsworth	Three River Communications	X			\$1.00	Brown County	\$9,713.20	
Johnstown	Three River Telco	X			\$1.00	Brown County	\$1,124.00	
Long Pine	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company	X			\$1.00	Brown County	\$2,872.64	\$13,879.84

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Albion	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$3.90	\$136,535.49
Alma	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$5.55	
Amherst	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$1,864.95	
Amherst	NT&T			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$40.75	
Amherst/Kearney/Pleasanton	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$10,264.39	
Bertrand	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$4.55	
Columbus	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$728.10	
Elm Creek	Allo Communications			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$55.60	
Elm Creek	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$8.15	
Elm Creek	NT&T			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$1,126.60	
Elm Creek	Qwest			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$4,262.07	
Franklin	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$19.50	
Gibbon	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$7,237.79	
Hildreth	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$2.60	
Kearney	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$8.80	
Kearney	BullsEye			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$13.05	
Kearney	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$80,066.75	
Kearney	First Communications, LLC			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$7.80	
Kearney	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$227.25	
Kearney	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$95.50	
Kearney	NT&T			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$1,512.10	
Kearney	Windstream of the Midwest			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$11,351.45	
Miller	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$842.40	
Miller	NT&T			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$27.70	

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Naponee	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$1.95	\$40,360.05
Neligh	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$2.60	
North Platte	Windstream of the Midwest			X	\$1.00	Buffalo County	\$187.00	
Palmer	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$1.30	
Pleasanton	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$2,419.50	
Pleasanton	NT&T			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$38.80	
Ravenna	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$7,174.45	
Riverdale	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$2,131.00	
Riverdale	NT&T			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$18.90	
Shelton	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$4,143.74	
Sodtown	Sodtown Telephone Company			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$635.05	
Sumner	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$0.65	Buffalo County	\$3.90	
Craig	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$2,972.71	
Decatur	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$4,152.88	
Lyons	HunTel CableVision dba HunTel Communications			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$1,703.00	
Lyons	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$5,241.49	
Oakland	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$37.00	
Oakland	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$25.00	
Oakland	HunTel CableVision dba HunTel Communications			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$2,734.00	
Oakland	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$1,418.00	
Oakland	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$6,580.68	
Tekamah	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$23.00	
Tekamah	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$12.00	
Tekamah	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$25.00	

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Tekamah	HunTel CableVision dba HunTel Communications			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$8,552.00	
Tekamah	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$56.00	
Tekamah	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$1,843.00	
Tekamah	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Burt County	\$4,984.29	
Bellwood	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$3,184.00	
Bellwood	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$225.00	
Brainard	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$3,857.00	
Brainard	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$230.00	
Bruno	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$1,753.00	
Bruno	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$206.00	
David City	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$72.00	
David City	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$2,601.88	
David City	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$14,011.00	
David City	Windstream/Guaranteed Phone Service			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$4.00	
David City	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$1,839.00	
Dwight	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$1,640.50	
Dwight	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$63.00	
Linwood	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$1,064.51	
Octavia	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$835.00	
Octavia	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$94.00	
Rising City	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$2,838.75	
Rising City	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$178.00	
Surprise	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$745.75	
Surprise	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$72.00	
Ulysses	Clarks Telecom			X	\$1.00	Butler County	\$2,152.07	\$37,666.46
Avoca	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$1,895.00	\$56,321.33

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911				
Avoca	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$99.00	
Eagle	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$1,611.75	
Eagle	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$244.00	
Elmwood	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$3,997.00	
Elmwood	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$206.00	
Greenwood	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$2,825.50	
Greenwood	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$80.00	
Greenwood/Louisville /Plattsmouth	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$4,631.55	
Louisville	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$11,513.00	
Louisville	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$578.00	
Murdock	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$2,782.00	
Murdock	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$200.00	
Murray	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$12,341.00	
Murray	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$263.00	
Nehawka	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$1,977.25	
Nehawka	Windstream/Guaranteed Phone Service			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$6.00	
Nehawka	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$184.00	
Plattsmouth	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$244.00	
Plattsmouth	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$390.03	
Union	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$2,950.00	
Union	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$104.00	
Weeping Water	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$6,717.25	
Weeping Water	Windstream/Guaranteed Phone Service			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$12.00	
Weeping Water	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cass County	\$470.00	
Belden	Eastern Nebraska Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$1,045.00	\$51,668.53
Bloomfield	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$67.00	

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911				

Coleridge	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$4,986.98	
Crofton	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$1,832.00	
Dixon/Concord	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$2,916.35	
Hartington	Hartington Telecommunications Company, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$16,214.23	
Hartington	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$478.00	
Hartington	Northstar Telecom Inc.			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$24.00	
Laurel	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$12.00	
Laurel	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$1,947.00	
Laurel	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$5,508.20	
Obert/Maskell	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$1,319.42	
Randolph	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$15.00	
Randolph	Comtel Telcom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$21.00	
Randolph	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$2,115.00	
Randolph	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$532.00	
Randolph	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$4,763.35	
Wausa	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$878.00	
Wynot /Fordyce/ Saint Helena	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Cedar County	\$6,994.00	
Chadron	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Chadron, City of	\$551.51	
Chadron	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Chadron, City of	\$24.00	
Chadron	BullsEye			X	\$1.00	Chadron, City of	\$64.00	
Chadron	Great Plains Broadband			X	\$1.00	Chadron, City of	\$4,879.00	
Chadron	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Chadron, City of	\$3,855.00	
Chadron	Mobius Communications Company			X	\$1.00	Chadron, City of	\$1,216.44	
Chadron	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Chadron, City of	\$24,584.76	
Crawford	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Chadron, City of	\$90.50	
Crawford/ Whitney	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Chadron, City of	\$5,127.11	\$42,886.40

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Crawford/Whitney	Mobius Communications Company			X	\$1.00	Chadron, City of	\$944.85	
Harrison	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Chadron, City of	\$72.00	
Harrison	Mobius Communications Company			X	\$1.00	Chadron, City of	\$90.00	
Harrison	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Chadron, City of	\$1,375.22	
Whitney	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Chadron, City of	\$12.00	
Imperial	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Chase County	\$20,703.00	\$26,750.00
Wauneta	Wauneta Telephone			X	\$1.00	Chase County	\$5,907.00	
Wauneta	Wauneta Telephone			X	\$1.00	Chase County	\$140.00	
Cody	Great Plains Communications	X			\$1.00	Cherry County	\$2,418.00	\$7,694.00
Crookston	Great Plains Communications	X			\$1.00	Cherry County	\$1,030.00	
Kilgore	Great Plains Communications	X			\$1.00	Cherry County	\$1,053.00	
Merriman	Great Plains Communications	X			\$1.00	Cherry County	\$1,721.00	
Valentine	Allo Communications	X			\$1.00	Cherry County	\$12.00	
Valentine	Granite Telecommunications	X			\$1.00	Cherry County	\$47.00	
Valentine	Mobius Communications Company	X			\$1.00	Cherry County	\$0.00	
Valentine	OrbitCom Inc	X			\$1.00	Cherry County	\$258.00	
Woodlake	Great Plains Communications	X			\$1.00	Cherry County	\$1,155.00	
Dalton	Dalton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Cheyenne County	\$3,167.00	
Gurley	Dalton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Cheyenne County	\$2,121.00	
Lodgepole	Dalton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Cheyenne County	\$3,082.00	
Sidney	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Cheyenne County	\$1,375.52	
Sidney	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Cheyenne County	\$46.00	
Sidney	BullsEye			X	\$1.00	Cheyenne County	\$33.00	
Sidney	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Cheyenne County	\$56.00	
Sidney	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Cheyenne County	\$379.00	

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Sidney	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Cheyenne County	\$28.00	
Sidney	Mobius Communications Company			X	\$1.00	Cheyenne County	\$53.88	
Sidney	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cheyenne County	\$6,231.00	
Sidney	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Cheyenne County	\$1,889.00	
Sidney	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Cheyenne County	\$33,861.69	
South Sidney	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Cheyenne County	\$11.00	
Clay Center	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$90.00	
Clay Center	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$0.50	Clay County	\$159.95	
Clay Center	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$4,358.50	
Clay Center	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$332.00	
Deweese	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$1,147.25	
Deweese	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$134.00	
Edgar	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$3,057.00	
Edgar	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$205.00	
Fairfield	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$2,600.75	
Fairfield	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$326.00	
Glenvil	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$2,550.75	
Glenvil	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$181.00	
Harvard	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$4,090.00	
Harvard	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$468.00	
Ong	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$466.50	
Ong	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$57.00	
Sutton	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$9,495.00	
Sutton	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Clay County	\$964.00	\$30,682.70
Battle Creek	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$2.00	\$43,046.61

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County	
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911					
Clarkson	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$48.00		
Clarkson	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$11.00		
Clarkson	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$1,657.00		
Clarkson	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$3,711.74		
Columbus	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$303.00		
Duncan	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$2.00		
Greeley	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$3.00		
Howells	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$1,501.00		
Howells	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$3,540.74		
Leigh	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$3,985.00		
Schuyler	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$12.00		
Schuyler	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$56.00		
Schuyler	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$526.00		
Schuyler	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$428.00		
Schuyler	Northstar Telecom Inc.			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$6.00		
Schuyler	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$3,711.00		
Schuyler	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$136.00		
Schuyler	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Colfax County	\$23,407.12		
Albion	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$8.00		\$128,696.64
Brunswick	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$46.00		
Columbus	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$12.00		
Columbus	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$3,429.00		
Columbus	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$23,289.95		
Columbus	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$89,288.00		
Creston	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$763.00		

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County	
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911					
Creston/Humphrey	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$7,067.22		
Duncan	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$512.00		
Duncan	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$86.00		
Genoa	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$32.00		
Genoa	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$72.00		
Humphrey	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$60.00		
Humphrey	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$2,618.00		
Leigh	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$2.00		
Leigh	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$13.00		
Lindsay	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$16.00		
Lindsay	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$104.00		
Madison	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$1.00		
Monroe	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$303.00		
Neligh	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$92.00		
Newman Grove	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$10.00		
Newman Grove	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$24.00		
Orchard	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$14.00		
Platte	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$394.47		
Platte Center	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$407.00		
Platte Center	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Columbus, City of	\$33.00		
Crete	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Crete, City of	\$272.00		\$29,500.00
Crete	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$1.00	Crete, City of	\$3,411.00		
Crete	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Crete, City of	\$24,062.00		
Crete	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Crete, City of	\$1,717.00		

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Wilber	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Crete, City of	\$38.00	
Bancroft	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Cuming County	\$4,940.00	
Beemer	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Cuming County	\$5,707.00	
West Point	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Cuming County	\$12.00	
West Point	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Cuming County	\$11.00	
West Point	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Cuming County	\$52.00	
West Point	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Cuming County	\$1,349.00	
West Point	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cuming County	\$5,070.00	
West Point	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Cuming County	\$43.00	
West Point	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Cuming County	\$21,888.20	
Wisner	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Cuming County	\$11,427.00	\$50,499.20
Anselmo	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$2,219.91	
Arnold	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$6,411.00	
Broken Bow	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$1,108.84	
Broken Bow	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$22.00	
Broken Bow	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$12.00	
Broken Bow	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$50.00	
Broken Bow	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$73.00	
Broken Bow	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$3,524.00	
Broken Bow	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$41.00	
Broken Bow	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$24,160.93	
Callaway	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$5,869.00	
Comstock	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$1,140.50	
Mason City	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$1,909.84	
Merna	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$3,690.61	
Oconto	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$1,877.00	
Sargent	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Custer County	\$4,922.61	\$57,032.24

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Dakota City	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$47.00	
Dakota City	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$5,007.00	
Dakota City/ South Sioux City	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$35,061.34	
Emerson	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$6.00	
Emerson	HunTel CableVision dba HunTel Communications			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$788.00	
Emerson	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$1,079.00	
Emerson	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$3,475.32	
Homer	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$60.00	
Homer	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$11.00	
Homer	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$929.00	
Homer	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$2,357.49	
Jackson/Hubbard	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$7,513.89	
Kearney	Matrix Telecom, Inc. d/b/a Trinsic Communications			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$109.00	
South Sioux City	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$198.00	
South Sioux City	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$241.00	
South Sioux City	BullsEye			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$22.00	
South Sioux City	Ernest Communications			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$24.00	
South Sioux City	FiberComm, L.C.			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$10,215.00	
South Sioux City	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$59.00	
South Sioux City	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$633.00	
South Sioux City	Long Lines Siouxland			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$20,768.00	
South Sioux City	Northstar Telecom Inc.			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$210.00	
South Sioux City	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$3,971.00	
Waterbury	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Dakota County	\$40.20	\$92,825.24
Chadron	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Dawes County	\$11.00	
Chadron	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Dawes County	\$241.00	\$7,414.00

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Chadron	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Dawes County	\$4,327.00	
Chadron	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Dawes County	\$277.00	
Crawford	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Dawes County	\$2,546.00	
Lexington	BullsEye			X	\$1.00	Dawes County	\$12.00	
Cozad	Cozad			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$25,779.00	
Eddyville	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$987.00	
Elwood	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$2,068.00	
Gothenburg	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$66.00	
Kearney	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$8.00	
Lexington	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$447.39	
Lexington	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$84.00	
Lexington	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$494.49	
Lexington	Ernest Communications			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$9.00	
Lexington	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$32.00	
Lexington	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$397.00	
Lexington	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$68.00	
Lexington	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$136.00	
Lexington	Matrix Telecom, Inc. d/b/a Trinsic Communications			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$12.00	
Lexington	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$7,602.00	
Lexington	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$1,022.00	
Lexington	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$32,157.30	
Miller	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$3.00	
Overton	Arapahoe			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$4,473.90	
Sumner	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Dawson County	\$1,581.00	\$77,427.08
Dawson	Windstream			X	\$0.50	Dawson, City of	\$892.75	
Dawson	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.50	Dawson, City of	\$148.00	\$1,040.75

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911				

South Big Springs	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Deuel County	\$11.00	
Allen	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Dixon County	\$12.00	
Allen	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Dixon County	\$3,623.03	
Allen	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Dixon County	\$58.00	
Dixon-Concord	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Dixon County	\$306.72	
Emerson	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Dixon County	\$101.00	
Martinsburg	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Dixon County	\$1,003.35	
Newcastle	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Dixon County	\$3,588.19	
Obert/Maskell	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Dixon County	\$153.00	
Ponca	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Dixon County	\$8,077.00	
Wakefield	HunTel CableVision dba HunTel Communications			X	\$1.00	Dixon County	\$1,325.00	
Wakefield	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Dixon County	\$4,221.37	
Waterbury	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Dixon County	\$1,029.54	\$23,509.20
Fremont	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Dodge County	\$184.00	
Fremont	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Dodge County	\$276.00	
Fremont	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Dodge County	\$966.00	
Fremont	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Dodge County	\$203.00	
Fremont	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Dodge County	\$248.00	\$1,877.00
Bennington	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$15.00	
Bennington	Qwest			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$7,134.32	
Carter Lake	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$152.50	
Council Bluffs	Windstream of the Midwest			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$6.50	
Bennington/ Waterloo/ Valley/Ralston/Elkhorn	Cox			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$234,987.00	
Elkhorn	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$6.50	
Elkhorn	TCG Omaha			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$13.00	\$2,363,474.42

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911				

Elkhorn/ Waterloo	Qwest			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$22,918.43
Fremont	Windstream of the Midwest			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$6.50
Omaha	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$4,366.00
Omaha	BullsEye			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$2,614.00
Omaha	Cox			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$641,173.00
Omaha	Ernest Communications			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$81.00
Omaha	First Communications, LLC			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$507.00
Omaha	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$13,015.00
Omaha	iNetworks Group, Inc			X	*	Douglas County	\$3.00
Omaha	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$205.00
Omaha	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$7,235.00
Omaha	Matrix Telecom, Inc. d/b/a Trinsic Communications			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$754.50
Omaha	MCImetro Access			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$3,508.50
Omaha	Northstar Telecom Inc.			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$1,174.50
Omaha	NT&T			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$5,693.50
Omaha	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$1,176.50
Omaha	TCG Omaha			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$25,526.00
Omaha	Windstream of the Midwest			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$32,522.50
Omaha/ Ralston/ Boys Town	Qwest			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$1,218,764.90
Omaha/Douglas	Trans National Communications International, Inc			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$535.00
Ralston	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$95.50
Unincorporated	Qwest			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$126,279.40
Valley	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$6.50
Valley	Qwest			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$11,921.62
Waterloo	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$13.00
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$108.10

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$5.16	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$35.03	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$19.45	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$43.38	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$60.40	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$72.83	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$80.08	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$35.39	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$11.82	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$22.05	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$128.83	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$91.77	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$37.40	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$26.73	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$38.75	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$5.73	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$5.76	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$12.92	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$19.37	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$5.16	

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$7.69	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$18.08	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$33.36	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$10.33	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$58.12	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$45.21	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$7.35	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$6.46	
Omaha	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$0.50	Douglas County	\$11.03	
Benkelman	Benkelman Telephone Co., Inc.			X	\$1.00	Dundy County	\$12,950.00	
Haigler	Hartman Telephone Exchanges, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Dundy County	\$2,344.00	
Wauneta	Wauneta Telephone			X	\$1.00	Dundy County	\$643.00	
Falls City	Southeast Nebraska Communications	X			\$1.00	Falls City, City of	\$34,788.42	\$41,229.42
Falls City	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC	X			\$1.00	Falls City, City of	\$147.00	
Tri City	Southeast Nebraska Communications	X			\$1.00	Falls City, City of	\$6,294.00	
Exeter	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$4,293.00	\$33,585.80
Exeter	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$305.00	
Fairmont	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$3,444.50	
Fairmont	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$254.00	
Geneva	Ernest Communications			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$5.00	
Geneva	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$26.00	
Geneva	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$418.30	
Geneva	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$15,406.00	

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Geneva	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$1,033.00	
Grafton	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$1,054.50	
Grafton	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$73.00	
Milligan	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$2,312.00	
Milligan	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$502.00	
Ohioa	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$1,044.00	
Ohioa	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$244.00	
Shickley	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$2,729.50	
Shickley	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Fillmore County	\$442.00	
Alma	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Franklin County	\$5.00	
Bertrand	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Franklin County	\$6.00	
Bloomington	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Franklin County	\$1,075.00	
Franklin	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Franklin County	\$7,757.00	
Franklin	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Franklin County	\$36.00	
Hildreth	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Franklin County	\$2,756.00	
Kearney	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Franklin County	\$23.00	
Naponee	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Franklin County	\$998.00	\$12,656.00
Franklin	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Franklin, City of	\$9.00	\$9.00
Dodge	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$6,774.00	
Fremont	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$7.97	
Fremont	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$881.00	
Fremont	BullsEye			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$143.00	
Fremont	Ernest Communications			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$13.00	
Fremont	MCImetro Access			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$68.00	
Fremont	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$7.70	\$154,484.65

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Fremont	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$71.68	
Fremont	Northstar Telecom Inc.			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$2,220.00	
Fremont	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$11,455.00	
Fremont	Qwest			X	\$0.75	Fremont, City of	\$77,791.16	
Fremont	TCG Omaha			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$1.00	
Fremont	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$16,901.00	
Fremont	Trans National Communications International, Inc			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$113.00	
Fremont	Windstream of the Midwest			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$6,348.00	
Hooper (Dodge Co.)	Hooper Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$8,577.17	
Hooper (Washington Co.)	Hooper Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$768.03	
Inglewood	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$110.00	
North Bend	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$9,264.00	
Scribner	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$7,369.00	
Snyder	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$3,365.00	
Uehling (Burt Co.)	Hooper Telephone Company			X	\$0.75	Fremont, City of	\$58.16	
Uehling (Cuming Co.)	Hooper Telephone Company			X	\$0.75	Fremont, City of	\$80.42	
Uehling (Dodge Co.)	Hooper Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Fremont, City of	\$2,097.36	
Curtis	Curtis Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Frontier County	\$7,646.78	
Eustis	Consolidated Telecom, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Frontier County	\$4,600.31	
Farnam	Arapahoe Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Frontier County	\$2,173.57	
Indianola	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Frontier County	\$328.00	
Maywood	Consolidated Telco, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Frontier County	\$2,687.75	
Wellfleet	Consolidated Telco, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Frontier County	\$1,623.17	\$19,059.58
Alma	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$5.00	
Arapahoe	Arapahoe Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$8,622.79	\$31,810.11

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Beaver City	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$4,040.00	
Beaver City	Northstar Telecom Inc.			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$28.00	
Beaver City	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$104.00	
Cambridge	Cambridge Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$9,455.00	
Edison	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$1,559.00	
Edison	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$36.00	
Edison	Pinpoint Communications, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$10.00	
Hendley	Arapahoe Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$602.17	
Holbrook	Arapahoe Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$2,109.09	
Kearney	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$7.00	
Orleans	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$9.00	
Oxford	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$24.00	
Oxford	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$390.00	
Oxford	Pinpoint Communications, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$1,322.00	
Oxford	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$2,197.06	
Stamford	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$210.00	
Wilsonville	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$1,030.00	
Wilsonville	Pinpoint Communications, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Furnas County	\$50.00	
Adams	Windstream			X	\$0.50	Gage County	\$2,280.63	
Adams	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.50	Gage County	\$190.00	
Barneston	Windstream			X	\$0.50	Gage County	\$685.75	
Barneston	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.50	Gage County	\$34.50	
Beatrice	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Gage County	\$20.75	
Claytonia	Windstream			X	\$0.50	Gage County	\$892.50	
Claytonia	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.50	Gage County	\$38.00	

\$13,411.00

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Cortland	Windstream			X	\$0.50	Gage County	\$2,205.00	
Cortland	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.50	Gage County	\$101.50	
Filley	Windstream			X	\$0.50	Gage County	\$965.50	
Filley	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.50	Gage County	\$84.50	
Liberty	Windstream			X	\$0.50	Gage County	\$694.75	
Liberty	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.50	Gage County	\$57.00	
Odell	Diller Telephone Co.			X	\$0.75	Gage County	\$2,903.75	
Pickrell	Windstream			X	\$0.50	Gage County	\$1,284.63	
Pickrell	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.50	Gage County	\$135.50	
Virginia	Diller Telephone Company			X	\$0.75	Gage County	\$836.75	
Oshkosh	EMBARQ			X	\$1.00	Garden County	\$12,186.38	\$12,186.38
Elwood	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Gosper County	\$60.00	
Elwood	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Gosper County	\$12.00	
Elwood	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Gosper County	\$11.00	
Elwood	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Gosper County	\$8.00	
Elwood/Lexington	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Gosper County	\$65.55	\$168.43
Elwood	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Gosper County	\$11.88	
Gothenburg	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Gothenburg, City of	\$632.18	
Gothenburg	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Gothenburg, City of	\$1,486.76	
Gothenburg	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Gothenburg, City of	\$57.00	\$2,609.94
Grand Island	Trans National Communications International, Inc			X	\$1.00	Grand Island, City of	\$434.00	\$434.00
Ashby	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Grant County	\$919.86	
Bingham	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Grant County	\$429.93	
Hyannis	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Grant County	\$3,890.89	
Whitman	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Grant County	\$1,287.04	\$6,527.72

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911				

Greeley	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Greeley County	\$2,683.00	
Kearney	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Greeley County	\$28.00	
Ord	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Greeley County	\$3.00	\$2,714.00
Guide Rock	Windstream	X			\$1.00	Guide Rock, City of	\$1,990.00	
Guide Rock	Windstream/NT&T	X			\$1.00	Guide Rock, City of	\$159.00	\$2,149.00
Alda	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$36.00	
Aurora	Hamilton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$3.55	
Cairo	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$36.00	
Cairo	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$149.00	
Cairo	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$1,479.00	
Cairo	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$3,666.90	
Cairo/Grand Island/ Wood River	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$31,139.76	
Doniphan	Hamilton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$8,660.14	
Grand Island	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$234.00	
Grand Island	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$125.13	
Grand Island	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$1,108.00	
Grand Island	BullsEye			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$464.00	
Grand Island	Ernest Communications			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$13.00	
Grand Island	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$2,629.00	
Grand Island	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$731.00	
Grand Island	Matrix Telecom, Inc. d/b/a Trinsic Communications			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$131.00	
Grand Island	Northstar Telecom Inc.			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$768.00	
Grand Island	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$17,125.00	
Grand Island	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$4,334.00	
Grand Island	TCG Omaha			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$156.00	
Grand Island	Windstream of the Midwest			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$29,921.00	\$264,880.55

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County	
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911					
Grand Island/Alda	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$154,131.63		
Hansen	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$194.00		
Hansen	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$89.00		
Phillips	Hamilton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$78.00		
Wood River	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$40.87		
Wood River	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$54.00		
Wood River	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$1,753.00		
Wood River	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$5,598.82		
Grand Island	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$7.59		
Grand Island	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Hall County	\$24.16		
Aurora	Hamilton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Hamilton County	\$36,437.06		\$57,841.25
Aurora	Hamilton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Hamilton County	\$24.00		
Central City	Qwest			X	\$0.75	Hamilton County	\$763.39		
Doniphan	Hamilton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Hamilton County	\$36.98		
Giltner	Hamilton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Hamilton County	\$3,479.28		
Hampton	Hamilton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Hamilton County	\$4,386.73		
Hordville	Hamilton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Hamilton County	\$1,497.90		
Marquette	Hamilton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Hamilton County	\$3,347.28		
Marquette	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Hamilton County	\$144.00		
Phillips	Hamilton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Hamilton County	\$4,658.54		
Stockham	Hamilton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Hamilton County	\$1,339.30		
Trumbull	Hamilton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Hamilton County	\$1,726.79		
Alma	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Harlan County	\$7,656.00	\$15,380.00	
Alma	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Harlan County	\$328.00		
Beaver City	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Harlan County	\$11.00		
Bertrand	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Harlan County	\$3.00		

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Edison	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Harlan County	\$6.00	
Franklin	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Harlan County	\$3.00	
Kearney	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Harlan County	\$12.00	
Orchard	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Harlan County	\$2.00	
Orleans	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Harlan County	\$3,104.00	
Orleans	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Harlan County	\$89.00	
Oxford	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Harlan County	\$236.00	
Republican City	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Harlan County	\$2,336.00	
Stamford	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Harlan County	\$1,594.00	
Holdrege	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Harlan/Phelps Counties	\$27.00	
Huntley	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Harlan/Phelps Counties	\$745.00	
Alma	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Harlan/Phelps Counties	\$2.00	
Alma	Pinpoint Communications, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Harlan/Phelps Counties	\$286.00	
Atlanta	Pinpoint Communications, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Harlan/Phelps Counties	\$40.00	
Atlanta	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Harlan/Phelps Counties	\$597.82	
Bertrand	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Harlan/Phelps Counties	\$5,371.00	
Bertrand	Pinpoint Communications, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Harlan/Phelps Counties	\$36.00	
Edison	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Harlan/Phelps Counties	\$4.00	
Holdrege	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Harlan/Phelps Counties	\$1,834.98	
Holdrege	Pinpoint Communications, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Harlan/Phelps Counties	\$212.00	
Holdrege	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Harlan/Phelps Counties	\$24,738.37	\$66,487.95

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Culbertson	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Hitchcock County	\$5,752.00	\$21,054.00
Hayes Center	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Hitchcock County	\$3,118.00	
Palisade	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Hitchcock County	\$3,419.00	
Stratton	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Hitchcock County	\$3,535.00	
Trenton	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Hitchcock County	\$5,230.00	
Bertrand	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Holdrege, City of	\$40.00	\$11,325.78
Funk	Glenwood Telephone Membership Corporation			X	\$1.00	Holdrege, City of	\$2,729.38	
Holdrege	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Holdrege, City of	\$3,167.40	
Holdrege	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Holdrege, City of	\$5,389.00	
Atkinson	Matrix Telecom, Inc. d/b/a Trinsic Communications			X	\$1.00	Holt County	\$214.00	\$610.00
O'Neill	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Holt County	\$342.00	
O'Neill	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Holt County	\$42.00	
O'Neill	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Holt County	\$12.00	
Atkinson	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$10.00	
Atkinson	Comtel Telcom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$66.00	\$79,058.87
Atkinson	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$4,048.00	
Atkinson	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$9,624.59	
Bristow	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$982.73	
Butte	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$3,877.13	
Chambers	K&M Telephone			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$5,025.16	
Clearwater	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$37.47	
Ewing	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$3,819.00	
Inman	K&M Telephone			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$1,643.51	
Lynch	Three River Telco			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$4,041.00	
Naper	Three River Telco			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$1,963.00	
O'Neill	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$5,720.00	

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
O'Neill	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$25,007.38	
Page	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$2,221.00	
Spencer	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$4,796.23	
Stuart	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Holt/Boyd Counties	\$6,176.67	
Mullen	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Hooker County	\$6,196.77	\$6,196.77
Boelus	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$1,921.27	
Cotesfield	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$812.00	
Dannebrog	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$3,816.05	
Elba	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$1,406.59	
Farwell	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$12.00	
Farwell	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$531.00	
Farwell	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$840.73	
Saint Libory	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$48.00	
Saint Libory	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$11.00	
Saint Libory	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$1,143.00	
Saint Paul	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$110.47	
Saint Paul	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$0.50	Howard County	\$52.00	
Saint Paul	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$11.00	
Saint Paul	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$2,529.00	
Saint Libory	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$2,268.95	
Saint Libory/ Saint Paul	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$1,655.64	
Saint Paul	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Howard County	\$10,838.31	\$28,007.01
Atlanta	NT&T	X			\$1.00	Humboldt, City of	\$112.00	
Humboldt	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC	X			\$0.50	Humboldt, City of	\$531.59	
Humboldt	Windstream	X			\$0.50	Humboldt, City of	\$3,382.50	
Humboldt	Windstream/NT&T	X			\$0.50	Humboldt, City of	\$259.50	\$4,285.59

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Chester/Hubbell/Reynolds/Jefferson County	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$796.00	
Daykin	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$1,698.75	
Daykin	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$206.00	
Diller	Diller Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$3,160.75	
Fairbury	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$54.00	
Fairbury	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$3,018.02	
Fairbury	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$22,531.00	
Fairbury	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$2,332.00	
Harbine	Diller Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$1,177.00	
Jansen	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$1,124.75	
Jansen	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$145.00	
Plattsmouth	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$37,030.00	
Plattsmouth	Windstream/Guaranteed Phone Service			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$6.00	
Plattsmouth	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$2,244.00	
Plymouth	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$3,622.75	
Plymouth	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$450.00	
Steele City	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$595.75	
Steele City	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Jefferson County	\$123.00	\$80,314.77
Burr	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$973.25	
Burr	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$48.00	
Cook	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$2,534.00	
Cook	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$99.00	
Crab Orchard	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$620.25	
Crab Orchard	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$99.00	
Elk Creek	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$883.00	
Elk Creek	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$172.00	\$22,504.50

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Sterling	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$3,985.75	
Sterling	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$394.00	
Tecumseh	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$72.00	
Tecumseh	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$38.00	
Tecumseh	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$1,356.00	
Tecumseh	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$10,344.25	
Tecumseh	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Johnson County	\$886.00	
Albion	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$66.00	
Axtell	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$11.13	
Axtell	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$1,007.00	
Axtell	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$3,029.57	
Axtell/Minden	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$1,387.82	
Heartwell	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$1,007.00	
Heartwell	Pinpoint Communications, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$10.00	
Hildreth	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$36.00	
Kearney	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$1,701.00	
Kearney	Ernest Communications			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$6.00	
Minden	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$587.89	
Minden	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$8.00	
Minden	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$3.00	
Minden	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$40.00	
Minden	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$24.00	
Minden	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$3,920.00	
Minden	Pinpoint Communications, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$178.00	
Minden	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$11,566.60	
Minden	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$14.00	\$27,974.01

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Ord	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$16.00	
Ragan	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$619.00	
Wilcox	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Kearney County	\$2,736.00	
Big Springs	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$178.50	
Big Springs	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$6.00	
Big Springs	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$690.00	
Brule	Applied Communications Technology			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$26.83	
Brule	Arapahoe			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$3,369.36	
Lewellen	EMBARQ			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$120.00	
Keystone	Keystone-Arthur			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$2,185.00	
Lemoyne	Keystone-Arthur			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$3,201.67	
Ogallala	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$4,149.41	
Ogallala	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$39.00	
Ogallala	BullsEye			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$94.00	
Ogallala	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$3,419.79	
Ogallala	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$33.00	
Ogallala	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$145.00	
Ogallala	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$24.00	
Ogallala	Mobius Communications Company			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$0.00	
Ogallala	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$3,679.00	
Ogallala	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$468.00	
Ogallala	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$24,824.85	
Paxton	Consolidated Telco, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$5,124.85	
Stapleton	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$4,283.00	
Tryon	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Keith County	\$2,812.00	\$58,873.26
Springview	Three River Telco	X			\$1.00	Keya Paha County	\$5,231.04	
Bushnell	Dalton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Kimball County	\$2,002.00	\$8,866.04

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Dix	Dalton Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Kimball County	\$1,621.00	
Kimball	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Kimball County	\$12.00	
Bloomfield	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Knox County	\$13,036.00	
Center	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Knox County	\$1,676.00	
Creighton	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Knox County	\$11,164.00	
Crofton	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Knox County	\$8,401.00	
Niobrara	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Knox County	\$6,931.00	
Verdel	Three River Telco			X	\$1.00	Knox County	\$1,518.00	
Verdigre	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Knox County	\$5,640.00	
Walnut	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Knox County	\$698.00	
Wausa	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Knox County	\$6,296.00	
Winnetoon	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Knox County	\$1,340.00	\$56,700.00
Bennet	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$7,226.00	
Bennet	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$396.00	
Cortland	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$251.00	
Cortland	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$89.00	
Davey	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$4,248.00	
Davey	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$257.00	
Denton	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$4,891.00	
Denton	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$143.00	
Eagle	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$6,910.75	
Eagle	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$176.00	
Firth	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$6,058.75	
Firth	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$295.00	
Greenwood	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$187.00	
Greenwood	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$52.00	
Hallam	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$1,690.00	\$1,195,810.54

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911				

Hallam	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$129.00
Hickman	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$10,015.75
Hickman	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$412.00
Lancaster	BullsEye			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$39.28
Lincoln	iNetworks Group, Inc			X	*	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$24.00
Lincoln	Ernest Communications			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$6.00
Lincoln	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$3,552.00
Lincoln	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$292.00
Lincoln	Northstar Telecom Inc.			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$687.00
Lincoln	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$200,119.67
Lincoln	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$874,619.00
Lincoln	Windstream/Guaranteed Phone Service			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$20.00
Lincoln	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$29,393.00
Malcolm	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$5,843.50
Malcolm	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$197.00
Martell	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$3,727.00
Martell	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$217.00
Panama	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$2,689.25
Panama	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$135.00
Pleasant Dale	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$2,727.50
Pleasant Dale	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$161.00
Raymond	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$5,258.75
Raymond	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$239.00
Valparaiso	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$5,579.50
Valparaiso	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$313.00
Waverly	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$357.84
Waverly	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$15,308.00

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Waverly	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Lancaster-Lincoln	\$878.00	
North Platte	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Lincoln County	\$1,806.81	
North Platte	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Lincoln County	\$290.00	
North Platte	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Lincoln County	\$1,514.00	
North Platte	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Lincoln County	\$1,509.00	
Grand Island*	iNetworks Group, Inc			X	*	Lincoln County*	\$8.00	\$5,127.81
Albion	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$6.00	
Battle Creek	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$8,632.00	
Battle Creek	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$145.00	
Brunswick	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$2.00	
Columbus	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$26.00	
Madison	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$100.00	
Madison	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$11,165.00	
Madison	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$6.00	
Madison	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$1,451.10	
Madison	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$282.00	
Madison	Trans National Communications International, Inc			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$80.92	
Meadow Grove	Eastern Nebraska Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$2,830.00	
Newman Grove	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$3,739.00	
Norfolk	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$744.00	
Norfolk	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$11,237.00	
Norfolk	TCG Omaha			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$132.00	
Tilden	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$5,903.00	
Tilden	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Madison County	\$45.00	\$46,526.02
Bartley	Cambridge Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	McCook, City of	\$2,144.00	\$58,335.16

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Danbury	Hartman Telephone Exchanges, Inc.			X	\$1.00	McCook, City of	\$1,515.00	
Indianola (Red Willow Co)	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	McCook, City of	\$4,628.00	
Lebanon	Hartman Telephone Exchanges, Inc.			X	\$1.00	McCook, City of	\$783.00	
McCook	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	McCook, City of	\$3,659.57	
McCook	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	McCook, City of	\$8.00	
McCook	Ernest Communications			X	\$1.00	McCook, City of	\$3.00	
McCook	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	McCook, City of	\$13.00	
McCook	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	McCook, City of	\$36.00	
McCook	NT&T			X	\$1.00	McCook, City of	\$4,847.00	
McCook	Pinpoint Communications, Inc.			X	\$1.00	McCook, City of	\$8,122.00	
McCook	Qwest			X	\$1.00	McCook, City of	\$32,576.59	
Keystone	Keystone-Arthur			X	\$1.00	McPherson County	\$72.00	\$72.00
Archer	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$948.00	
Central City	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$48.00	
Central City	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$142.33	
Central City	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$14.00	
Central City	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$43.00	
Central City	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$60.00	
Central City	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$1,149.00	
Central City	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$4,228.00	
Central City	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$15,682.25	
Chapman	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$3,639.00	
Clarks	Clarks Telecom			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$5,403.10	
Grand Island	Windstream of the Midwest			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$71.00	
Greeley	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$1.00	
Palmer	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$4,254.00	\$39,786.11

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Palmer	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$141.00	
Silver Creek	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$78.00	
Silver Creek	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$818.00	
Silver Creek	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Merrick County	\$3,066.43	
Norman/Holstein/Roseland/Bladen/Lawrence./Blue Hill/Upland/Campbell	Glenwood Telephone Membership Corporation	X			\$1.00	Mid-Rivers 911	\$23,331.75	
Red Cloud	Great Plains Communications	X			\$1.00	Mid-Rivers 911	\$11,131.00	\$34,462.75
Bridgeport	Allo Communications	X			\$1.00	Morrill County	\$428.57	
Bridgeport	Comtel Telecom Assets LP	X			\$1.00	Morrill County	\$27.00	
Bridgeport	Granite Telecommunications	X			\$1.00	Morrill County	\$71.00	
Bridgeport	Ionex Communications North, Inc.	X			\$1.00	Morrill County	\$18.00	
Bridgeport	Mobius Communications Company	X			\$1.00	Morrill County	\$53.85	
Bridgeport	NT&T	X			\$1.00	Morrill County	\$2,692.00	
Bridgeport	OrbitCom Inc	X			\$1.00	Morrill County	\$496.00	\$3,786.42
Albion	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Nance County	\$16.00	
Belgrade	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Nance County	\$1,454.00	
Columbus	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Nance County	\$22.00	
Fullerton	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Nance County	\$84.00	
Fullerton	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Nance County	\$52.00	
Fullerton	BullsEye			X	\$1.00	Nance County	\$0.00	
Fullerton	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Nance County	\$31.00	
Fullerton	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Nance County	\$12.00	
Fullerton	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Nance County	\$908.00	
Fullerton	NT&T			X	\$0.50	Nance County	\$1,126.50	
Genoa	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Nance County	\$5,124.00	\$8,853.50

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Palmer	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Nance County	\$24.00	
Auburn	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$216.00	
Auburn	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$52.00	
Auburn	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$4,158.42	
Auburn	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$19,306.00	
Auburn	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$1,253.00	
Brock	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$1,131.25	
Brock	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$86.00	
Brownville	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$1,946.00	
Brownville	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$108.00	
Dubois	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$96.00	
Johnson	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$3,088.75	
Johnson	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$424.00	
Julian	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$723.00	
Nemaha	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$1,146.00	
Nemaha	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$95.00	
Peru	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$2,648.00	
Peru	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Nemaha County	\$140.00	\$36,617.42
Hoskins	Pierce Telephone Co. Inc.			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$4,123.00	
Madison	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$15,352.00	
Norfolk	BullsEye			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$265.00	
Norfolk	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$161.00	
Norfolk	Ernest Communications			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$15.00	
Norfolk	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$213.00	
Norfolk	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$1,274.00	
Norfolk	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$381.00	\$137,294.54
Norfolk	Northstar Telecom Inc.			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$701.00	

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Norfolk	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$16,526.00	
Norfolk	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$77,625.69	
Pilger	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$39.00	
Pilger	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$849.00	
Pilger	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$6,903.85	
Stanton	Northstar Telecom Inc.			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$1.00	
Stanton	Stanton Telecom, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Norfolk, City of	\$12,865.00	
Brady	Consolidated Telecom, Inc.			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$4,580.29	\$199,325.43
Gothenburg	NT&T			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$3,334.00	
Gothenburg	Qwest			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$12,840.28	
Hershey	Hershey Cooperative Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$7,534.32	
Maxwell	Consolidated Telecom, Inc.			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$2,867.75	
North Platte	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$2,214.08	
North Platte	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$846.00	
North Platte	BullsEye			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$430.00	
North Platte	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$235.00	
North Platte	Ernest Communications			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$17.00	
North Platte	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$153.00	
North Platte	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$29,787.07	
North Platte	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$257.00	
North Platte	Matrix Telecom, Inc. d/b/a Trinsic Communications			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$327.00	
North Platte	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$0.63	

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
North Platte	NT&T			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$13,978.00	
North Platte	Pinpoint Communications, Inc.			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$10.00	
North Platte	Qwest			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$96,905.68	
North Platte	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$0.00	North Platte, City of	\$0.00	
North Platte	Trans National Communications International, Inc			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$97.00	
North Platte	Windstream of the Midwest			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$11,583.00	
Sutherland	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$7,938.00	
Wallace	Consolidated Telco, Inc.			X	\$1.00	North Platte, City of	\$3,390.33	
Hardy	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Nuckolls County	\$1,403.25	
Hardy	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Nuckolls County	\$120.00	
Nelson	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Nuckolls County	\$4,191.00	
Nelson	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Nuckolls County	\$586.00	
Ruskin	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Nuckolls County	\$1,170.00	
Ruskin	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Nuckolls County	\$130.00	
Superior	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Nuckolls County	\$12,946.00	
Superior	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Nuckolls County	\$1,197.00	
Superior	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Nuckolls County	\$72.00	\$21,815.25
Osmond	Eastern Nebraska Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Osmond, City of	\$6,433.00	\$6,433.00
Cook	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$60.00	
Cook	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$6.00	
Douglas	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$1,900.00	
Douglas	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$168.00	
Dunbar	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$2,110.25	
Dunbar	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$136.00	\$72,720.50

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Nebraska City	Windstream/Guaranteed Phone Service			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$6.00		
Nebraska City	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$192.00		
Nebraska City	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$197.00		
Nebraska City	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$7,831.00		
Nebraska City	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$34,183.00		
Nebraska City	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$1,175.00		
Otoe	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$1,082.00		
Otoe	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$141.00		
Palmyra	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$4,831.25		
Palmyra	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$292.00		
Syracuse	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$12,934.25		
Syracuse	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$939.00		
Talmage	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$1,778.25		
Talmage	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$153.00		
Unadilla	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$2,379.50		
Unadilla	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Otoe County	\$226.00		
Burchard	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Pawnee County	\$1,447.00		\$12,847.28
Burchard	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Pawnee County	\$272.00		
Dubois	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Pawnee County	\$1,300.25		
Dubois	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Pawnee County	\$147.00		
Pawnee City	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Pawnee County	\$12.00		
Pawnee City	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$0.60	Pawnee County	\$639.53		
Pawnee City	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Pawnee County	\$5,559.00		
Pawnee City	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Pawnee County	\$607.00		
Steinauer	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Pawnee County	\$972.50		
Steinauer	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Pawnee County	\$79.00		

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Table Rock	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Pawnee County	\$1,606.00	
Table Rock	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Pawnee County	\$206.00	
Elsie	Elsie Communications, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Perkins County	\$2,288.00	
Grant	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Perkins County	\$24.00	
Grant	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Perkins County	\$11,114.00	
Madrid	Consolidated Telco, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Perkins County	\$2,974.83	
Venango & West Venango, CO	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Perkins County	\$1,579.00	\$17,979.83
Holdrege	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Phelps County	\$192.00	
Holdrege	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Phelps County	\$24.00	
Holdrege	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Phelps County	\$46.00	
Kearney	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Phelps County	\$124.00	
Loomis	Arapahoe			X	\$1.00	Phelps County	\$3,155.81	\$3,541.81
Pierce	Pierce Telephone Co. Inc.			X	\$1.00	Pierce County	\$8,696.00	
Plainview	Plainview Telephone Co. Inc.			X	\$1.00	Pierce County	\$4,061.34	\$12,757.34
Plainview	Plainview Telephone Co. Inc.			X	\$0.50	Plainview, City of	\$4,611.10	\$4,611.10
Albion	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$5.00	
Columbus	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$87.00	
Columbus	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$0.00	
Columbus	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$94.00	
Columbus	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$34,203.00	
Duncan	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$3,038.00	
Genoa	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$516.00	
Humphrey	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$63.00	
Leigh	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$843.00	\$53,407.00

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Lindsay	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$4,202.00	
Madison	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$14.00	
Monroe	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$2,918.00	
Neligh	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$14.00	
Newman Grove	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$2,113.00	
Platte Center	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$5,295.00	
Wilsonville	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Platte County	\$2.00	
Osceola	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Polk County	\$6,776.00	
Osceola	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Polk County	\$595.00	
Osceola	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Polk County	\$22.00	
Polk	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Polk County	\$3,075.00	
Polk	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Polk County	\$354.00	
Shelby	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Polk County	\$4,427.25	
Shelby	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Polk County	\$327.00	
Stromsburg	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Polk County	\$7,953.00	
Stromsburg	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Polk County	\$705.00	\$24,234.25
McCook	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Red Willow Co.	\$502.00	
McCook	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Red Willow Co.	\$1,667.00	\$2,169.00
Ansley	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$4,758.26	
Arcadia	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$3,196.27	
Ashton	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$1,908.67	
Bartlett	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$2,180.78	
Brewster	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$1,207.17	
Brownlee	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$994.70	
Burwell	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$12,881.90	\$65,926.78

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Clearwater	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$58.64	
Dunning	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$1,618.71	
Ericson	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$1,740.44	
Greeley	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$143.00	
Halsey	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$1,066.32	
Litchfield	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$2,237.78	
Loup City	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$23.40	
Loup City	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$2,411.00	
Loup City	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$5,444.85	
North Burwell	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$1,136.94	
North Loup	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$3,067.94	
Ord	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$220.00	
Purdum	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$1,108.55	
Rockville	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$649.11	
Scotia	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$2,906.80	
Seneca	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$636.26	
Spalding	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$5,494.00	
Taylor	Nebraska Central Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$2,681.30	
Thedford	Consolidated Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$3,571.99	
Wolbach	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Region 26	\$2,582.00	
Bassett	Rock County Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Rock County	\$8,283.00	
Newport	Rock County Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Rock County	\$1,959.00	\$10,242.00
DeWitt	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Saline County	\$3,851.00	
DeWitt	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.75	Saline County	\$210.25	
Dorchester	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Saline County	\$3,169.75	
Dorchester	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.75	Saline County	\$142.25	
Friend	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Saline County	\$6,112.25	\$28,915.00

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911				

Friend	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.75	Saline County	\$496.00		
Swanton	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Saline County	\$901.25		
Swanton	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.75	Saline County	\$81.00		
Tobias	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Saline County	\$1,201.75		
Tobias	Windstream/Guaranteed Phone Service			X	\$0.75	Saline County	\$11.25		
Tobias	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.75	Saline County	\$92.50		
Western	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Saline County	\$1,603.00		
Western	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.75	Saline County	\$194.25		
Wilber	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$0.75	Saline County	\$46.75		
Wilber	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Saline County	\$10,176.50		
Wilber	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.75	Saline County	\$625.25		
Bellevue	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$40,051.58		\$673,807.66
Bellevue	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$523.00		
Bellevue	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$108.00		
Bellevue	TCG Omaha			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$1,404.00		
Gretna	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$72.00		
Gretna	MCImetro Access			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$703.00		
Gretna	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$11,032.74		
La Vista	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$201.00		
La Vista	TCG Omaha			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$628.00		
LaVista/ Papillion/ Millard	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$127,093.34		
Offutt	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$440.00		
Offutt	TCG Omaha			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$656.00		
Omaha	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$4,326.00		
Omaha	Windstream of the Midwest			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$11,057.00		
Papillion	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$1,875.00		
Papillion	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$445.00		

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911				

Papillion	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$238.00	
Papillion	Trans National Communications International, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$107.00	
Sarpy County: Offutt AFB/Bellevue/ LaVista/Papillion	Cox			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$433,899.00	
Springfield	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$97.00	
Springfield	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$6,141.47	
Unincorporated	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County	\$32,709.53	
Ashland/Wahoo	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$1,085.29	\$64,916.50
Cedar Bluffs	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$4,028.00	
Cedar Bluffs	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$254.00	
Ceresco	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$4,984.00	
Ceresco	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$418.00	
Colon	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$1,164.50	
Colon	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$72.00	
Ithaca	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$1,489.00	
Ithaca	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$100.00	
Leshara	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$48.00	
Mead	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$3,947.75	
Mead	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$403.00	
Morse Bluff	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$2,484.69	
Prague	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$4,356.27	
Valparaiso	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$29.00	
Wahoo	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$135.20	
Wahoo	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$2,021.87	
Wahoo	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$23,814.00	
Wahoo	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$1,134.00	

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				

Weston/Malmo	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$5,122.93	
Yutan	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$7,507.00	
Yutan	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Saunders County	\$318.00	
Gering	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Scotts Bluff Co.	\$9,961.18	
Gering	EMBARQ			X	\$1.00	Scotts Bluff Co.	\$1,207.32	
Gering	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Scotts Bluff Co.	\$816.00	
Gering	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Scotts Bluff Co.	\$150.00	
Gering/Minatare/ Mitchell/Morrill/ Scottsbluff	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Scotts Bluff Co.	\$152.39	
Scottsbluff	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Scotts Bluff Co.	\$36.00	
Scottsbluff	Allo Communications			X	\$1.00	Scotts Bluff Co.	\$27,287.12	
Scottsbluff	BullsEye			X	\$1.00	Scotts Bluff Co.	\$150.00	
Scottsbluff	EMBARQ			X	\$1.00	Scotts Bluff Co.	\$149,527.75	
Scottsbluff	Ernest Communications			X	\$1.00	Scotts Bluff Co.	\$6.00	
Kimball	EMBARQ			X	\$1.00	Scotts Bluff Co.	\$18,509.80	\$207,803.56
Beaver Crossing	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$3,165.00	
Beaver Crossing	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$376.00	
Cordova	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$944.50	
Cordova	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$96.00	
Garland	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$2,341.25	
Garland	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$202.00	
Milford	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$11,201.75	
Milford	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$1,292.00	
Seward	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$250.00	
Seward	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$4,820.11	
Seward	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$29,325.00	\$65,162.70
Seward	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$2,118.00	

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Staplehurst	Clarks Telecom			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$2,271.84	
Tamora	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$1,393.25	
Tamora	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$196.00	
Utica	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$4,738.00	
Utica	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Seward County	\$432.00	
Gordon	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Sheridan County	\$19,078.00	
Hay Springs	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Sheridan County	\$5,735.00	
Mirage Flats	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Sheridan County	\$1,540.00	
Rushville	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Sheridan County	\$8,867.00	\$35,220.00
Loup City	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Sherman County	\$5.97	
Loup City	Ionex Communications North, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Sherman County	\$6.00	\$11.97
Sidney	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Sidney, City of	\$3,434.90	\$3,434.90
Leigh	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Stanton County	\$57.00	
Norfolk	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Stanton County	\$11.00	
Stanton	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Stanton County	\$180.00	
Stanton	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Stanton County	\$41.00	\$289.00
Alexandria	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Thayer County	\$1,107.75	
Alexandria	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Thayer County	\$128.00	
Bruning	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Thayer County	\$2,361.00	
Bruning	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Thayer County	\$458.00	
Byron/ South Byron, KS	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Thayer County	\$2,130.00	
Carleton	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Thayer County	\$1,039.50	
Carleton	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Thayer County	\$12.00	
Chester/ Hubbell/ South Chester	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Thayer County	\$3,379.00	
Davenport	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Thayer County	\$2,662.00	
Davenport	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Thayer County	\$346.00	\$32,467.75

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Deshler	Great Plains Communications			X	\$1.00	Thayer County	\$6,834.00	
Hebron	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Thayer County	\$12.00	
Hebron	Windstream			X	\$1.00	Thayer County	\$11,140.50	
Hebron	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	Thayer County	\$858.00	
Macy	Eastern Nebraska Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Thurston County	\$5,027.00	
Pender	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Thurston County	\$4.00	
Pender	HunTel CableVision dba HunTel Communications			X	\$1.00	Thurston County	\$3,294.00	
Pender	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Thurston County	\$1,931.00	
Pender	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Thurston County	\$6,104.73	
Rosalie	Eastern Nebraska Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Thurston County	\$1,416.00	
Walthill	Eastern Nebraska Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Thurston County	\$5,591.00	
Winnebago	Eastern Nebraska Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Thurston County	\$9,076.00	\$32,443.73
Neligh	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Valley County	\$2.00	
Ord	Charter Fiberlink Nebraska LLC			X	\$1.00	Valley County	\$245.90	
Ord	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Valley County	\$17,529.00	
Ord	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Valley County	\$39.00	\$17,815.90
Carroll	Eastern Nebraska Telephone Co.			X	\$1.00	Village of Carroll	\$2,698.00	\$2,698.00
Arlington (city)	Arlington Telephone Company			X	\$0.75	Washington Co.	\$4,798.00	
Arlington (rural)	Arlington Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Washington Co.	\$5,432.00	
Bennington	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Washington Co.	\$2,298.18	
Blair	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Washington Co.	\$21.00	
Blair	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$0.75	Washington Co.	\$59.00	
Blair	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$0.75	Washington Co.	\$63.50	
Blair (city)	Blair Telephone Company			X	\$0.75	Washington Co.	\$37,976.25	
Blair(rural)	Blair Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Washington Co.	\$20,428.00	
Fort Calhoun	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Washington Co.	\$48.00	\$93,858.69

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Fort Calhoun (city)	Blair Telephone Company			X	\$0.75	Washington Co.	\$4,446.00	
Fort Calhoun (rural)	Blair Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Washington Co.	\$5,743.00	
Herman	Great Plains Communications			X	.75 & 1.00	Washington Co.	\$4,221.75	
Kennard (city)	Blair Telephone Company			X	\$0.75	Washington Co.	\$1,408.00	
Kennard (rural)	Blair Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Washington Co.	\$2,118.00	
Omaha	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Washington Co.	\$4,666.01	
Omaha	Windstream of the Midwest			X	\$1.00	Washington Co.	\$132.00	
Laurel	Comtel Telecom Assets LP			X	\$1.00	Wayne, City of	\$76.00	
Wakefield	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Wayne, City of	\$1,476.00	
Wayne	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Wayne, City of	\$115.00	
Wayne	BullsEye			X	\$1.00	Wayne, City of	\$98.00	
Wayne	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Wayne, City of	\$66.00	
Wayne	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Wayne, City of	\$99.00	
Wayne	Matrix Telecom, Inc. d/b/a Trinsic Communications			X	\$1.00	Wayne, City of	\$333.00	
Wayne	Northstar Telecom Inc.			X	\$1.00	Wayne, City of	\$123.00	
Wayne	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Wayne, City of	\$3,475.00	
Wayne	OrbitCom Inc			X	\$1.00	Wayne, City of	\$2,465.00	
Wayne	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Wayne, City of	\$45,330.63	
Wayne	Trans National Communications International, Inc			X	\$1.00	Wayne, City of	\$51.00	
Winside	Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company			X	\$1.00	Wayne, City of	\$3,807.27	\$57,514.90
West Point	First Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	West Point, City of	\$13.00	\$13.00
Wymore	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	Wymore, City of	\$137.00	
Wymore	Windstream			X	\$0.50	Wymore, City of	\$4,171.63	
Wymore	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.50	Wymore, City of	\$501.50	\$4,810.13
Benedict	Windstream			X	\$1.00	York County	\$1,966.25	
Benedict	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	York County	\$94.00	\$29,109.83

Name of Exchange	Incumbent or Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	Level of 911 Service			Monthly Surcharge Amount Approved by Jurisdiction	County in Which PSAP Resides	Total Annual Remittances	Annual Revenue Remitted to County
		Basic 911	ANI/ALI	E911				
Bradshaw	Windstream			X	\$1.00	York County	\$2,144.00	
Bradshaw	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	York County	\$65.00	
Gresham	Windstream			X	\$1.00	York County	\$2,281.25	
Gresham	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	York County	\$135.00	
Henderson	Henderson			X	\$1.00	York County	\$10,489.58	
McCool Junction	Windstream			X	\$1.00	York County	\$3,481.25	
McCool Junction	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	York County	\$300.00	
Waco	Windstream			X	\$1.00	York County	\$3,648.00	
Waco	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$1.00	York County	\$240.00	
York	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$0.50	York County	\$239.50	
York	Time Warner Cable Information Services (Nebraska) LLC			X	\$0.50	York County	\$4,026.00	
York	Granite Telecommunications			X	\$1.00	York, City of	\$10.00	
York	Windstream			X	\$0.50	York, City of	\$21,839.50	
York	Windstream/NT&T			X	\$0.50	York, City of	\$995.50	\$22,845.00
Ainsworth	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Not Reported	\$9.00	\$9.00
Hadar	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Not Reported	\$5.00	\$5.00
Lincoln	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X	\$1.00	Not Reported	\$48.00	\$48.00
Region 26 includes Thomas, Blaine, Loup, Garfield, Wheeler, Valley, Greeley and Sherman Counties.								
Keith County is a consolidated PSAP that includes Keith, Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson and Logan Counties.								
*iNetworks Group remitted surcharges collected for Grand Island to Lincoln County. The Commission has contacted the carrier to advise them that Grand Island sits in Hall County.								