### Nebraska Public Service Commission



# 2006 Annual Report on Telecommunications

### ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE ON THE STATUS OF

#### THE NEBRASKA TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY



#### NEBRASKA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

**September 30, 2006** 

Nebraska Public Service Commission 300 The Atrium 1200 N Street P.O. Box 94927 Lincoln, NE 68509-4927 (402) 471-3101 (800) 526-0017 (Instate Only) http://www.psc.state.ne.us

#### 2006 ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE Nebraska Public Service Commissioners

Lowell C. Johnson
3rd District
North Bend
Chairman

Rod Johnson
4th District
Sutton
Vice-Chairman

Frank E. Landis

1st District
Lincoln

Anne C. Boyle
2nd District
Omaha

Gerald L. Vap
5th District
McCook

#### **General Administration Staff**

Andy S. Pollock-Executive Director
John Burvainis-Deputy Director
Shanicee Knutson-Legal Counsel
Angela DuVall Melton-Legal Counsel
Nichole Underhill-Legal Counsel
Tyler Frost-Analyst/Economist II
Mathew Jansen-Law Clerk
Brenda Wicken-Business Manager
Laurie Casados-Accountant II
Kathy Lahman-Executive Assistant
Jackie Clanton-Receptionist

#### **Communications Department Staff**

Gene Hand-Director
John Burvainis-Accountant
Steve Stovall-Accountant
Don Gray-Telecom Analyst
Cheryl Elton-Consumer Affairs Advocate/IT Support
Pam Karstensen-Consumer Affairs Advocate
Anne Bogus-Administrative Assistant
Deena Kumke-Administrative Assistant

#### **Telecommunications Infrastructure & Public Safety Staff**

Jeff Pursley-Director
Camelia Rogers-Policy Analyst
Joan Raffety-E911 Coordinator
Angela Melton-Policy Advisor
Brandy Zierott-Administrative Assistant
Jamie McAllister-Senior NTAP Specialist
Andrea Grell-NTAP Specialist

#### **Nebraska Public Service Commission**

COMMISSIONERS ANNE C. BOYLE LOWELL C. JOHNSON ROD JOHNSON FRANK E. LANDIS GERALD L. VAP

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** ANDY S. POLLOCK



300 The Atrium, 1200 N Street, Lincoln, NE 68508 P.O. Box 94927, Lincoln, NE 68509-

> Website: www.psc.state.ne.us Phone: (402) 471-3101 Fax: (402) 471-0254

NEBRASKA CONSUMER HOTLINE (800) 526-0017

August 29, 2006

Earlier this year the "Telecommunications Act of 1996" had its tenth anniversary which is an example of how today's regulatory environment has changed dramatically from the days of more than 50 years ago when I began my public service in Nebraska. The Public Service Commission brings stakeholders together to resolve concerns and problems. In the performance of this responsibility, the Commission serves as a liaison between state government represented by the executive and legislative branches, the telecommunications providers, and the public for which everything is done. While consumer complaints and quality of service issues continue to be a priority both Commissioners and staff are constantly investigating and evaluating new telecommunication services, equipment, and policies for possible impacts on the citizens of Nebraska.

This report highlights several aspects of the Public Service Commission's efforts in telecommunications during the previous fiscal year. Inside, you will find descriptions of the recently completed broadband report. In addition, the Commission has exerted positive leadership nationally on number pooling and area code exhaust relief. Both of these items are important to Nebraskans.

Several significant developments have occurred in the telecommunications industry since our last report to you:

- ✓ The Federal Communications Commission approved Nebraska's request for delegated authority to mandate that telecommunications carriers share numbering resources in all rate centers located in the 402 Area Code. I believe this additional authority will significantly extend the life of the 402 Area Code (page 26).
- ✓ Competitive Local Exchange Carriers as a group now serve more landline telephones than the state's largest single Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier (page1-3).
- ✓ The number of cell phones exceeded the number of landline telephones by the end of the fiscal year on June 30 with 54% of the market.
- ✓ Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) phone service has become more commonplace and is available from both traditional regulated carriers and from "pure" VoIP unregulated providers (page 44).

- ✓ The Nebraska Internet Enhancement Fund completed its first round of projects and awarded \$247,275 to five applicants. Solicitations for new projects to extend broadband deployment to rural areas of the state have been requested (page 34).
- ✓ The Nebraska Telephone Assistance Program provides financial support for low-income Nebraskans to have telephone service. Currently 27,000 Nebraska customers are enrolled in this program, which reduces monthly phone bills by up to \$13.50 and also provides support with connection charges.
- ✓ The Nebraska Tele-Health Program provides funding to interconnect 68 rural and critical access hospitals across the state to hub hospitals in Grand Island, Kearney, Lincoln, Norfolk, North Platte, Omaha and Scottsbluff. This program works in conjunction with the Federal Telehealth Program and the Commission has allocated \$900,000 per year for this purpose.
- ✓ The monthly Nebraska Universal Service Fund surcharge was reduced from 6.95% to 5.75% effective October 1, 2005 (page 47).
- ✓ The Wireless E-911 program has begun funding the development of the databases necessary to support Phase II E-911 as well as continuing the implementation of Phase I technology in cooperation with county programs (page 51).
- ✓ The Nebraska Relay Service surcharge was reduced from seven cents per month per telephone line to five cents. The relay service makes it possible for Nebraskans with hearing and speech impairments to use telecommunications, and the service handled an average of 17,250 calls and 79,110 minutes per month. Several issues vital to the future of the relay system now are pending before the Federal Communications Commission and the Public Service Commission will continue to monitor these proposals and their possible impact on Nebraska.

The Public Service Commission urges you and your staff to review this 2006 Annual Telecommunications Report to the Legislature. If you or your staff have any questions, please call upon us for help.

On a personal note, I announced in January that I would not be seeking re-election to the Commission. The time I have spent in local affairs, with the Public Service Commission, and as a state senator in the Nebraska Legislature has been very satisfying. Thank you for your cooperation and the memories you have added to my years of service.

Sincerely,

Lowell C. Johnson Chairman

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	1 3
2. Access Line Graph  PART II  Review of the Quality of Telecommunications Service Provided to Nebraska Citizens	3
PART II Review of the Quality of Telecommunications Service Provided to Nebraska Citizens	_
Review of the Quality of Telecommunications Service Provided to Nebraska Citizens	4
	4
1. Telephone Informal Complaints	4
A. Local Exchange Carriers	5
B. Interexchange Carriers	6
C. Departmental Complaints	7
D. Relay Service Complaints	7
PART III Review of the Availability of Diverse and Affordable Telecommunications Services to the Peoof Nebraska	ple
1. The Telecommunications Act of 1996	9
2. Local Competition	.5
1	.5
B. Interconnection Agreements	.5
	6
	7
	22
	25
6. Numbering Issues	26
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	80
	32
	84
PART IV	
Review of the Level of Rates of Local Exchange and Interexchange Companies	
1. Local Exchange Rates	35
2. Financial Statistics	<b>35</b>
3. Long Distance Telephone Rates/Access Charges	86
•	37
$\mathbf{e}$	8
	1

PART V		
Recommen	ndations for the 2007 Legislative Session	42
PART VI		
The Chang	ging Face of Communications	43
Α.	Broadband	43
В.	<b>Broadband over Power Line</b>	43
C.	Voice Over Internet Protocol	44
PART VII		
Application	ns and Tariffs	46
PART VIII	I	
Nebraska T	Telecommunications Infrastructure & Public Safety Department	
1.	Universal Service Fund	47
2.	Wireless E911 Fund	51
	A. Status of E911 Map	54
	B. Status of GIS Data	55
	C. Wireless 911 Information Calendar Year 2005	57


#### PART I

#### ACCESS LINE & EXCHANGE DATA December 31, 2005

December 31, 2005						
Company	Access Lines					
	Bus	Res.	Total			
QWEST (Formerly US West)	117,887	156,351	274,238			
ALLTEL (Now Windstream Communications)	89,205	140,271	229,476			
COX NEBRASKA TELECOM, LLC	27,431	121,666	149,097			
CITIZENS (Formerly GTE)	18,103	30,982	49,085			
AT&T COMM. OF THE MIDWEST (includes TCG)	38,649	2,343	40,992			
NT&T	6,261	32,119	38,380			
GREAT PLAINS	7,017	23,250	30,267			
ALLTEL-MIDWEST	23,066	2,295	25,361			
SPRINT/UNITED (Now Embarq)	8,704	16,177	24,881			
MCLEOD USA	6,237	3,453	9,690			
NEBRASKA CENTRAL	1,664	6,172	7,836			
BLAIR	2,158	5,604	7,762			
TIME WARNER CABLE	0	7,126	7,126			
NORTHEAST NEBRASKA (includes Nebcom)	1,421	5,688	7,109			
HAMILTON	2,033	4,070	6,103			
MCIMETRO ACCESS TRANS SERVICES	553	5,119	5,672			
SOUTHEAST NEBRASKA	897	2,948	3,845			
EASTERN NEBRASKA	1,154	2,145	3,299			
CONSOLIDATED	774	2,174	2,948			
COZAD	770	1,908	2,678			
GLENWOOD	398	2,057	2,455			
ALLO COMMUNICATIONS	1,201	1,125	2,326			
ARAPAHOE	545	1,720	2,265			
PIERCE	496	1,388	1,884			
CONSOLIDATED TELCO	423	1,170	1,593			
HARTINGTON	587	996	1,583			
ORBITCOM, INC.	1,309	62	1,371			
THREE RIVER	275	965	1,240			
CONSOLIDATED TELECOM ("Home & Eustis")	250	986	1,236			
CAMBRIDGE	317	902	1,219			
PINPOINT COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	184	1,025	1,209			
1-800-RECONEX, INC.	3	1,192	1,195			
DALTON (SKT)	206	975	1,181			
HOOPER (dba WesTel Systems)	257	888	1,145			
STANTON	213	897	1,110			
ARLINGTON	166	938	1,104			
GRANITE TELECOMMUNICATIONS	1,060	0	1,060			
PLAINVIEW	228	830	1,058			
BENKELMAN	273	729	1,002			
HENDERSON (dba Mainstay)	280	706	986			
ROCK COUNTY	297	676	973			
THREE RIVER COMM., LLC	331	613	944			
FIBERCOMM	471	383	854			
CLARKS	150	701	851			

ACCESS LINE & EXCHANGE DATA							
December 31, 2005							
Company	Access Lines						
	Bus	Res.	Total				
DILLER	117	734	851				
HEMINGFORD	153	695	848				
CURTIS	207	559	766				
HUNTEL COMMUNICATIONS	183	563	746				
HERSHEY	111	610	721				
K&M	156	503	659				
NEW ACCESS	0	645	645				
VARTEC	0	642	642				
WAUNETA	123	455	578				
KEYSTONE-ARTHUR	117	460	577				
IONEX	356	101	457				
HARTMAN	59	352	411				
BULLSEYE TELECOM., INC.	273	0	273				
ELSIE (SKT)	49	165	214				
HOULTON (dba GUARANTEED PHONE SERVICE)	0	211	211				
BUDGET PHONE, INC.	0	98	98				
TRINSIC COMMUNICATIONS, INC.(fka Z-TEL)	75	2	77				
EXCEL	0	48	48				
NOS COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	38	2	40				
FAST PHONES OF NEBRASKA	0	33	33				
CAT COMM., INTERNATIONAL	0	22	22				
FRANCE TELECOM CORP. SOLUTIONS, LLC	13	0	13				
QUANTUMSHIFT COMM., INC.	5	0	5				
APPLIED COMM. TECHNOLOGY, INC.	3	1	4				
LIGHTYEAR NETWORK SOLUTIONS, LLC	0	1	1				
		100 == 5					
TOTAL	365,947	600,770	966,717				

Note (1): Qwest total lines have been restated for the 2003 and 2004 calendar years to 338,012 and 299,481, respectively. The restatement removed Qwest resold access lines from the numbers previously reported. Total access lines for 2003 and 2004 were 1,017,629 and 998,817, respectively.



Note: Wireless Access lines reported for relay remittance purposes represent 944,705 lines in addition to the wireline access lines listed above.

#### **PART II**

#### Review of the Quality of Telecommunications Service Provided to Nebraska Citizens

#### 1. Telephone Informal Complaints

The following table shows the total number of complaints filed this year and divides the complaints between local exchange carriers (LECs), interexchange carriers (IXCs), also known as long distance companies, and wireless carriers.

	2003-	2003-2004	2004-	2004-2005	2005-	2005-2006
	2004	Percentage	2005	Percentage	2006	Percentage
LECs	567	26.4%	624	43.0%	594	50.3%
IXCs	891	41.4%	414	28.5%	274	23.2%
Wireless	692	32.2%	413	28.5%	281	23.8%
Miscellaneous	0		0		32	2.7%
TOTAL	2150	100.0%	1451	100.0%	1181	100.0%

Complaints were separated into the following categories:

Types	LECs	IXCs	Wireless	Miscellaneous
Billing	184	196	131	16
Service	205	19	136	14
Auto Dialer	89	5	1	
Telemarketing	68	17	2	1
Customer Service	13		11	1
Slamming	9	37		
Directory	25			
Carrier to Carrier	1			
TOTAL	594	274	281	32

While the Commission lacks statutory authority over wireless telecommunications service and billing, it continues to receive a number of such complaints. The Commission strives, utilizing existing resources, to address these complaints to assist the wireless customer.

#### **A.** Local Exchange Carriers (LECs)

There are 45 incumbent local exchange carriers in Nebraska (including the cooperative telephone companies) and 100 competitive local exchange carriers. Qwest is the largest LEC with 274, 238 access lines. The following table shows the LEC complaints by company. The largest number of complaints involved the two largest LECs, Alltel and Qwest.

LECs	2003-2004			4 2004-2005			2005-2006			
	#	Access	% of	#	Access	% of	#	Access	% of	
		Lines	Total		Lines	Total		Lines	Total	
			Lines			Lines			Lines	
Alltel (now	187	260,902	23.9%	204	250,641	23.1%	124	229,476	23.7%	
Windstream)										
Qwest										
(formerly US	167	413,012	37.8%	144	387,108	35.6%	95	274,238	28.4%	
West)										
NT&T	89	25,372	2.3%	65	33,027	3.0%	118	38,380	4.0%	
Cox	40	121,909	11.2%	32	137,306	12.6%	17	149,097	15.4%	
Citizens										
(formerly	32	53,022	4.9%	48	50,781	4.7%	25	49,085	5.1%	
GTE)										
McLeod	18	12,410	1.1%	14	10,756	1.0%	4	9,690	1.0%	
USA		12,710		17	10,730			7,070		
New Access	7	1,362	0.1%	0	1,122	0.1%	0	645	0.1%	
Great Plains	5	32,198	2.9%	9	31,254	2.9%	5	30,267	3.1%	
United/Emba	4	28,045	2.6%	15	26,931	2.5%	9	24,881	2.6%	
rq	4	20,043	2.070	13	20,931	2.570	9	24,001	2.070	
Ionex/Birch	7	1,462	0.1%	2	593	0.1%	2	457	0.1%	
AT&T							17	40,992	4.2%	
MCI							18	5,672	0.6%	
Time Warner							7	7,126	0.7%	
Others	11	142,935	13.1%	91	156,925	14.4%	153	106,711	11.0%	
TOTAL	567	1,092,629	100.0%	624	1,086,444	100.0%	594	966,717	100.0%	

#### **B.** Interexchange Carriers (IXCs)

The number of long distance companies certificated to operate in the state continues to grow. Currently, there are 242 companies authorized to provide long distance services in Nebraska. The following table shows the number of complaints filed against long distance companies. The largest number of complaints involved AT&T and MCI. Customers can verify they have the long distance carrier of their choice by dialing the toll-free telephone number (700) 555-4141.

IXCs	2003-	-2004	2004-2	2005	2005-2006		
	Complaints	Percentage	Complaints	Percentage	Complaints	Percentage	
AT&T	410	46.0%	173	41.8%	48	17.5%	
MCI	136	15.3%	81	19.6%	41	15.0%	
Excel	6	0.7%	7	1.7%	5	1.8%	
Sprint	68	7.6%	26	6.3%	9	3.3%	
VarTec	44	4.9%	10	2.4%	0	0.0%	
ILD	10	1.1%	5	1.2%	7	2.5%	
Teleservices							
Integretel	6	0.7%	9	2.2%	1	0.4%	
Advantage	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
T-Netix	1	0.1%	1	0.2%	1	0.4%	
LCR	14	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Telecom	14	1.0%	U	0.0%	U	0.0%	
Opticom	12	1.4%	8	1.9%	3	1.1%	
Primus	8	0.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	
US Telecom	12	1.3%	4	1.0%	0	0.0%	
Alltel (now Windstream)					21	7.6%	
Qwest							
(formerly US					17	6.2%	
West)					1,	0.270	
PowerNet					31	11.3%	
Global							
Misc.	162	18.2%	90	21.7%	89	32.5%	
TOTAL	891	100.0%	414	100.0%	274	100.0%	

#### C. Departmental Complaints

The following departmental complaint is pending with the Commission:

DC-71/NUSF-53 Maurice Gene Hand, Director of the Communications Department of the Nebraska Public Service Commission and Jeffrey L. Pursley, Director of the Universal Service Fund Department of the Nebraska Public Service Commission v. Qwest Corporation.

On March 2, 2006, M. Gene Hand, Director of the Communications Department and Jeff Pursley, Director of the Universal Service Fund Department filed a complaint against Qwest alleging Qwest violated Commission rules and regulations by failing to provide adequate services to its telecommunications customers in the exchange serving Valentine, Nebraska and the surrounding area. A hearing was held on July 20, 2006, in Valentine, Nebraska. The parties requested an opportunity to file post-hearing briefs. A final order was entered on September 19, 2006, sustaining the complaint and issuing a compliance plan requiring Qwest to improve service in the Valentine Exchange.

#### **D.** Relay Service Complaints

Consumer complaints related to the relay system totaled 45 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006, as compared to 51 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2005. Service complaints totaled eight during this period. Generally, noncompliances with relay policies or procedures by Communication Assistants comprise this category. Twenty-one complaints comprise the technical complaint category. Of the technical complaints, eight related to carrier of choice and six of those were attributed to CapTel customers. There were six 711-related issues. Most of these issues were related to Alltel switch translation problems that have been resolved. Of the total 45 complaints received, 16 were categorized as external complaints. These complaints typically reside outside of the direct control of the relay facility and consequently are not attributed to relay nonperformance. A large number of these complaints, with 11, are fraudulent/harassment calls through Internet Relay or another internet relay provider not affiliated with Hamilton. Generally, calls of this nature may also request the user to provide prepayments or fees for services or products that are not rendered. The five miscellaneous external complaints primarily involve customer equipment problems or disconnected numbers the customer has attempted to call. Unless noted otherwise, all complaints are deemed to relate to traditional (TTY/Voice) calls.

On March 7th, 2006 at 11:03 PM Central Time, the CapTel Communications Center in Madison, Wisconsin, experienced a service outage for approximately 45 minutes as a result of a sprinkler head bursting on the third floor, causing the fire alarms to activate. No critical call processing equipment was damaged. CapTel's overall service level was not affected, ending the day with 97% of all calls answered in 10 seconds. However, no CapTel calls were processed during the 45-minute evacuation period.

The following charts reflect complaints taken by category for fiscal year ended June 30, 2006.

#### **Service Complaints**

Complaint Category	Complaints
CA Accuracy/Spelling/Verbatim	1
CA Didn't Follow Policy/Procedure	2
CA Gave Wrong Information	1
CA Hung Up on Caller	1
CA Rude	1
Customer Dislikes Policy/Procedure	1
Miscellaneous	1
Subtotal – Service Related	8

#### **Technical Complaints**

Complaint Category	Complaints
711 Related	6
Carrier of Choice Not Available/Other Equal	
Access (CapTel)	6
Carrier of Choice not Available/Other Equal	
Access-(TTY/Voice)	2
Connection-Related (CapTel)	1
Connection-Related (TTY/Voice)	2
Garbling	1
Miscellaneous Issues	3
Subtotal – Technical Related	21

#### **External Complaints**

Complaint Category	Complaints
Fraudulent/Harassment Call (IP-enabled)	11
Miscellaneous	5
Subtotal – External Related	16

#### **PART III**

#### Review of the Availability of Diverse and Affordable Telecommunications Services to the People of Nebraska

#### 1. Telecommunications Act of 1996

The Nebraska Public Service Commission implements key provisions of the 1996 Telecommunications Act (Act) that facilitate competition while maintaining quality and affordable service. Multiple factors contribute to increased consumer choice. First, competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) create local competition. CLECs serve approximately 25 percent of the state's access lines, an increase from a 13 percent share two years ago. Cable providers, primarily in the Omaha and Lincoln areas, serve nearly half of the market share attributed to CLECs. Second, wireless companies continue to increase their presence, now accounting for over 42 percent of the combined wireline and wireless market and they are forecasted to exceed 50 percent during 2006. The additional choice has not reduced access. Ninety-seven percent of Nebraskans continue to possess basic telephone service.

During the previous year, the Commission addressed numerous issues involving consumer choice, service quality, safety, distance learning, universal service, and emergent technology such as VoIP (Voice over Internet Protocol). The list below includes some of the major issues addressed by the Commission in the last fiscal year:

C-2831/NUSF-51/PI-60 In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, on its own motion, seeking to investigate telecommunications infrastructure development in Nebraska.

The Commission opened this docket in 2002 to investigate infrastructure development in Nebraska. The Commission surveyed carriers to determine the extent to which broadband services were being deployed. In January 2006, the Commission again surveyed the carriers to determine the extent of broadband deployment in the state. Carriers responded to the Commission's investigation in mid-March. In July, the staff released a broadband survey report. The survey report showed that 91 percent of incorporated places had broadband access from at least one provider. Fourteen incorporated places had five or more broadband providers. Copies of the survey were distributed to the Governor, Lieutenant Governor and members of the Broadband Task Force. The survey report is also available on the Commission's website.

On August 22, 2006, the Commission held a workshop to develop a way to gather data on a more granular level and to better determine the extent of broadband deployment in unincorporated places. A subsequent survey has been released for carrier response and the Commission plans to release an updated report later this year.

C-3324 In the Matter of the Petition of N.E. Colorado Cellular, Inc., d/b/a Viaero Wireless, Fort Morgan, Colorado, for designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier under 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2).

On December 23, 2004, N.E. Colorado Cellular Inc., d/b/a Viaero Wireless (Viaero) of Fort Morgan, Colorado, filed an application asking the Commission to designate it as an eligible telecommunications carrier pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2). Viaero amended the application on May 2, 2005. A hearing was held on July 18, 19 and 20, 2005, in Lincoln and McCook. The Commission's decision was released on October 18, 2005, designating Viaero as an eligible telecommunications carrier eligible to receive federal universal service support.

C-3345 In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, on its own motion, seeking to investigate Qwest's Switched Access Charge Rates.

Qwest Corporation filed a tariff to increase its intrastate-switched access rates in Nebraska. The Commission opened an investigation to determine whether the rates Qwest charged for switched access was reasonable. The Commission began its inquiry in February of 2005. The Commission held an oral argument to determine whether Qwest properly implemented the access rates by changing its rates in a tariff in light of the Commission's decisions regarding the Nebraska Universal Service Fund (NUSF), which reduced access rates for Qwest. The Commission staff, Qwest and the Interveners in the case developed a stipulated settlement and presented the stipulation to the Commission for review. The stipulation was approved allowing Qwest to slightly increase its access rates but not allowing Qwest to increase them to the extent filed in its tariff.

C-3469 In the Matter of the Application of Budget Phone, Inc., Shreveport, Louisiana, seeking Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC) in the State of Nebraska.

On August 16, 2005, Budget Phone, Inc. (Budget) out of Shreveport, Louisiana, filed an application asking the Commission to designate it as an eligible telecommunications carrier pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section 214(e)(2) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). A number of rural telephone companies intervened in the case. Budget amended the application on January 26, 2006. The Act and FCC rules, regulations and orders offer some guidance for the designation process. The Commission reviews the application to determine whether the applicant will offer the supported services as defined by the FCC throughout the designated area and whether the applicant will sufficiently advertise its services throughout the area.

A hearing was held on Budget's application on January 31, 2006, in Lincoln. On February 22, 2006, the Commission approved Budget's request and designated Budget as an eligible telecommunications carrier.

C-3474 In the Matter of the Application of Sprint Nextel Corporation, Reston, Virginia, seeking approval of the transfer of control of United Telephone Company of the West, d/b/a Sprint, St. Paul, Minnesota, and Sprint Long Distance, Inc., Overland Park, Kansas, from Sprint Nextel Corporation to LTD Holding Company, Overland Park, Kansas.

On August 25, 2005, an application was filed by Sprint Nextel Corporation (Sprint Nextel), Overland Park, Kansas, requesting to transfer control of United Telephone Company of the West, d/b/a Sprint (United), St. Paul, Minnesota, and Sprint Long Distance, Inc. (Sprint LD), Overland Park, Kansas, to LTD Holding Company. The transaction was a separation of the wireline and wireless entities. The Commission approved the transfer of control on November 1, 2005. LTD Holding Company was a newly formed subsidiary of Sprint, which became a separate entity. Upon the separation, LTD Holding Company realized control of United and Sprint LD along with Sprint Nextel's other incumbent local exchange carrier operations. United subsequently changed its name to Embarq. Sprint Nextel remains as the wireless entity.

C-3480/NUSF-49 In the Matter of the Application of Mobius Communications Company, Hemingford, seeking designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier that may receive federal and state universal service support.

By application filed September 9, 2005, and amended December 30, 2005, Mobius Communications Company (Mobius), Hemingford, seeks designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) so that it may receive state and federal universal service support. Mobius seeks Nebraska eligible telecommunication carrier designation so that it can provide Nebraska Telephone Assistance Program (NTAP) assistance to its qualifying customers and so that it may receive high cost support for customers served via unbundled network elements leased from Qwest Corporation. After hearing on the application, the Commission designated Mobius as an eligible telecommunications carrier on March 7, 2006.

C-3497 In the Matter of the Application of Alltel Communications of Nebraska, Inc., Little Rock, Arkansas, seeking designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Pursuant to Section 214(e)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934.

By Application filed October 21, 2005, Alltel Communications of Nebraska, Inc., Little Rock, Arkansas ("Alltel Wireless"), sought designation as a competitive eligible telecommunications carrier ("ETC") pursuant to Section 214(e)(2) of the Telecommunications Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act"), 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2), and Section 54.201 of the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC") rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.201. A hearing on the application was held on February 6, 2006, in Lincoln, Nebraska. On March 7, 2006, the Commission found Alltel Wireless had met the criteria requested to be designated as an eligible telecommunications carrier and granted the application.

C-3448/NUSF-46/PI-102 In the Matter of the Joint Petition of Allo Communications, LLC, Imperial; Mobius Communications Company, Hemingford; and Pinpoint Communications, Inc., Cambridge, for a docket to investigate findings and conclusions under C-2516 and NUSF-26 regarding competitive business telecommunications.

On July 15, 2005, Allo Communications, L.L.C., of Imperial, Mobius Communications Company, of Hemingford and Pinpoint Communications, Inc., of Cambridge, requested the Commission to investigate certain findings and conclusions made in Application No. C-2516 and NUSF-26 regarding competitive business telecommunications. It was agreed that the Commission would first focus its attention on the request for interim relief requested in the review of the Commission's decision in NUSF-26. The Commission then decided to address, at a later hearing, the request to modify Commission findings in Docket No. C-2516 relative to the wholesale UNE loop rates charged by Qwest in the three Commission-established zones.

On March 7, 2006, the Commission found that it should temporarily modify its NUSF-26 findings and conclusions relative to supporting business lines. The grandfathering provision contained in paragraph 30 of the Commission's findings in NUSF-26 was temporarily extended to include business lines in zones 2 and 3 that the Petitioners could demonstrate to the Commission's satisfaction were in service or were in the process of procuring service as a business line as of November 3, 2004, the date when the original order in NUSF-26 was entered. This temporary extension of the provisions of NUSF-26 is only in effect until the Commission has had an opportunity to investigate and make a determination on the alignment of NUSF-26 and Docket No. C-2516.

C-3520 In the Matter of the Application of SKT Ventures, Inc., Dalton Holdings, Inc. and Newcastle Holdings, Inc., Wichita, Kansas, seeking approval of changes of controlling interests in Elsie Communications, Inc. and Dalton Telephone Company, Inc., Wichita, Kansas.

On December 20, 2005, an application was filed by SKT Ventures, Inc. (SKT), Wichita, Kansas, Dalton Holdings, Inc. (DHI), Wichita, Kansas, and Newcastle Holdings, Inc. (NHI), Charlotte, North Carolina, (Applicants) seeking approval of changes of controlling interest in Elsie and Dalton telephone exchanges. SKT and DHI each owned controlling interest in the Elsie and Dalton telephone exchanges respectively. Both SKT and DHI agreed to sell 100% of their controlling stock interest to NHI.

The Commission considered whether the change of control served the public interest by analyzing the impact on consumers in the Elsie and Dalton exchanges. On March 14, 2006, the Commission approved the transfer of control from SKT and DHI to NHI. As part of the transfer, NHI and its affiliate American Broadband Communications (ABB) sent informational letters about the Stock Purchase and the change in controlling interests to all Elsie and Dalton customers and held public meetings in Dalton on May 17, 2006, and in Elsie on May 18, 2006, to allow the public to meet the new owners and ask questions.

C-3521 In the Matter of the Application of HunTel Systems, Inc., Blair, Noble Holdings, Inc. and American Broadband Capital, Inc., Charlotte, North Carolina, seeking approval of changes of controlling interests in Arlington Telephone Company, The Blair Telephone Company, Eastern Nebraska Telephone Company, Rock County Telephone Company and HunTel Cablevision, Inc. d/b/a HunTel Communications, Blair.

On December 20, 2005, HunTel Systems, Inc., of Blair, Noble Holdings, Inc. and American Broadband Capital, (ABB) Inc., of Charlotte, North Carolina, filed an application seeking approval of a change of controlling interest in Arlington Telephone Company, The Blair Telephone Company, Eastern Nebraska Telephone Company, Rock County Telephone Company and HunTel Cablevision, Inc. d/b/a HunTel Communications, all of Blair.

On December 19, 2005, Noble and ABB entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with HunTel pursuant to which HunTel agreed to sell to Noble and ABB and Noble and ABB agreed to purchase from HunTel all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of the certificated carriers. On March 14, 2006, the Commission approved the change of controlling interest.

C-3535 In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, on its own motion, seeking to establish procedures for the treatment of commercial agreements not subject to the filing requirements of § 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

On January 18, 2006, the Commission opened an investigation to establish procedures for the treatment of commercial agreements not subject to the filing requirements of § 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

In August of 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) issued its Triennial Review Order that shortened the list of unbundled network elements (UNEs) incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs) were required to make available to competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs). In the matter of Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers; Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability, CC Docket Nos. 01-338, 96-98, 98-147, Report and Order on Remand and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 18 FCC Rcd 16978 (Triennial Review Order). On February 4, 2005 the FCC released its latest list of elements in the Triennial Review Order on Remand (TRRO), further limiting the elements subject to mandatory unbundling. In the Matter of Unbundled Access to Network Elements, WC Docket No. 04-313.

In addition in September of 2005, the Federal Communications Commission partially granted a petition of Qwest Communications seeking forbearance of certain unbundling obligations in the Omaha Metropolitan Area. See In the Matter of the Petition of Qwest Corporation for Forbearance pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 160(c) in the Omaha Metropolitan Statistical Area, WC Docket No. 04-223, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 2005 WL 3287482 (September 16, 2005)(Omaha Forbearance Order).

The Commission's investigation focuses on the filing of commercial agreements that no longer have to be approved due to the FCC's Triennial Review Remand Order and the Omaha Forbearance Order. The Commission has received comments and reply comments and is deciding whether a hearing is necessary to make a determination in this investigation.

C-3545 In the Matter of the Joint Application of ALLTEL Nebraska Inc., ALLTEL Communications of the Midwest, Inc. and ALLTEL Systems of the Midwest, Inc., each of Lincoln, for Authority to Issue Collateralized Guaranties of Long-Term Indebtedness.

ALLTEL Nebraska Inc., ALLTEL Communications of the Midwest and ALLTEL Systems of the Midwest, Inc. (collectively referred to as Alltel), Lincoln, filed an application on January 24, 2006, seeking authority to issue collateralized guaranties of long-term indebtedness.

On April 14, 2006, Alltel filed a motion to withdraw the application for authority to issue collateralized guaranties of long-term indebtedness stating the "guarantees and liens by the regulated entities are no longer required." On April 18, 2006, the Commission found the motion to withdraw the application should be granted. The Commission later approved the applications of ALLTEL Nebraska Inc., ALLTEL Communications of the Midwest and ALLTEL Systems of the Midwest, Inc. to change their names to Windstream Nebraska Inc., Windstream Communications of the Midwest and Windstream Systems of the Midwest, Inc.

C-3554/PI-112 In the Matter of the Commission, on its own motion, seeking to investigate whether the zones established in Docket No. C-2516 are appropriate in light of NUSF-26 findings and conclusions.

On February 28, 2006, the Commission opened an investigation to reevaluate the zoning scheme used to determine the unbundled element network loop (UNE-L) rates set out in Docket No. C-2516 in light of Nebraska Universal Service Fund (NUSF) Department Order NUSF-26 findings and conclusions.

Commission staff proposed modifying the zones and proposed a Unifying Method (UM) to modify the UNE-L rates effective as a result of Application No. C-2516 and to develop rates consistent with the support areas determined in. NUSF-26. The UM determines UNE-L rates for in-town and out-of-town areas, as defined in NUSF-26 and would result in splitting each of the current zones into two support areas.

Comments on the UM were due on March 28, 2006. A Commission decision has been delayed pending the outcome on docket's affecting the Nebraska Universal Service Fund and UNE rates.

#### 2. Local Competition

#### A. Competitive Local Exchange Carriers

The following companies received new authority during the 2005-2006 fiscal year to provide local service in the corresponding territories in Nebraska:

Carrier	Territory to be Served	Granted Authority
BellSouth Long Distance, Inc.	Qwest, United Telephone d/b/a Sprint, Alltel	8/2/06
Trans National Communications International, Inc.	Statewide	9/21/06
Aero Communications, LLC	Statewide	11/1/06
CommPartners, LLC.	Statewide	11/8/06
Vanco Direct USA, LLC.	Statewide	11/22/06
Big River Telephone Company, LLC	Statewide	3/7/06
Comtel Telcom Assets LP	Statewide	3/14/06
LMDS Holdings, Inc.	Statewide	4/4/06
Mainstay Technologies, LLC	Qwest, Alltel, United Telephone of the West and Citizens	4/18/06
Great Plains Broadband, Inc.	Qwest, Sprint, Alltel	5/2/06

There are currently 145 carriers that have received Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity to provide competitive local exchange services in Nebraska; however, not all carriers are currently offering local service in Nebraska.

#### **B.** Interconnection Agreements

Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996, a company wanting to compete with a local exchange carrier (LEC) needs to enter into an interconnection agreement with the LEC in whose territory it wishes to offer service. A company may reach an interconnection agreement with a LEC in one of three ways: 1) It may voluntarily negotiate an interconnection agreement; 2) Request adoption of a Commission-approved interconnection agreement in accordance with Section 252(i) of the Act; or 3) Ask for mediation or arbitration if voluntary negotiations are not successful at reaching a mutually-acceptable interconnection agreement. All interconnection agreements that have been approved by the Commission can be found on the Commission's website at http://www.psc.state.ne.us. The agreements are divided into the following three categories: 1) Voluntarily-negotiated; 2) Section 252(i) interconnection agreements; and 3) Arbitrated.

#### 3. Service Testing & Outage Report

The Commission ensures Nebraskans are receiving quality telecommunications service by periodically reviewing performance data provided by telephone companies and by monitoring consumer complaints for indications of potential problems requiring further investigation. All local exchange carriers are using digital switches designed to perform a series of self-diagnostic tests, which makes the monitoring and identification of service quality issues much easier. The Commission's technical staff offers assistance in identifying the source of service problems and is available to assist in resolving service complaints from consumers across the state.

The current service quality standards in the Commission's Telecommunications Rules and Regulations were developed for traditional copper based switched circuit technology. The Commission Staff is conducting the final review of the existing service quality rules and will propose changes intended to be technology neutral.

Reports are required to be filed with the Commission by local exchange carriers when service outages are experienced. The report provides the date and time of the outage, the geographic area affected, the cause of the outage, if known, and an estimate of the access lines affected. Within five days, a final report is filed showing the number of customer trouble reports received related to the outage and the corrective action taken. The following tables show the number of service outages and by type, as well as the total number of outages and access lines affected during the past ten years.

	Cable Cuts	Telephone Equipment Malfunction	Weather	Accidental	Maintenance	Unknown
1996-						
1997	40	33	8	6	0	12
1997-						
1998	98	33	12	4	4	13
1998-						
1999	90	43	6	3	3	11
1999-						
2000	62	17	4	9	11	21
2000-						
2001	60	22	5	4	12	70
2001-						
2002	47	30	3	2	6	40
2002-						
2003	31	29	5	5	0	28
2003-						
2004	42	26	2	2	3	23
2004-						
2005	18	23	2	1	1	8
2005-						
2006	87	37	5	7	2	6

	Total Service Outages	Total Affected Access Lines	Average Number of Access Lines Affected per Outage
1996-1997	99	244,899	2,474
1997-1998	164	199,900	1,219
1998-1999	156	225,248	1,444
1999-2000	124	276,261	2,228
2000-2001	173	300,276	1,746
2001-2002	127	280,447	2,208
2002-2003	100	201,659	1,027
2003-2004	98	103,571	1,057
2004-2005	63	75,175	1,193
2005-2006	144	88,969	618

#### 4. Telecommunications Relay Services

Telecommunications Relay Services ("TRS") enables a person with a hearing or speech impairment to engage in wireline or wireless communication with a hearing person in a manner that is functionally equivalent to someone without such a disability. TRS facilitates two-way communication between an individual who uses a text telephone ("TTY") or other nonvoice terminal device and an individual who does not have such a device. Communications Assistants ("CAs") transmit ("Relay") written communication from a text telephone or other nonvoice terminal device to a person using a standard telephone. The person using the standard telephone speaks to the CA who transmits the message to the hearing impaired individual. The relay is funded through a monthly surcharge on all access lines, including voice-based wireless lines. The monthly surcharge was 10 cents per access line in 1993 and 1994. It was seven cents in 1995, 1996 and 1997. In 1998 the surcharge was reduced to six cents, and it was reduced to five cents for the years 1999 through 2001. In 2002 and 2003, the surcharge increased to six cents and seven cents, respectively. LB 530 which became law during the 2003 Legislative Session changed the date of the public hearing to determine the annual surcharge from October 1 to April 1 of each year. This bill also changed the effective date of the annual surcharge from January 1 to July 1. For July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2006, the surcharge remained at seven cents. The surcharge was reduced to five cents for the July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007 fiscal year.

The definition of TRS extends to speech-to-speech ("STS"), video relay services ("VRS"), Internet Protocol ("IP") and non-English language relay services (Spanish-to-Spanish). STS and non-English language relay services (Spanish-to-Spanish) were mandated by CC Docket 98-67, FCC 00-56, *In the Matter of Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities*, (See also *Order On Reconsideration* CC Docket 98-67, FCC 00-200, released June 5, 2000. This order amended the effective dates for compliance with most of the amended rules adopted in the TRS Order).

In 1995, the Legislature created the Nebraska Equipment Distribution Program, which enables qualifying deaf, hard-of-hearing and/or speech-impaired citizens, to obtain specialized telecommunications equipment at no expense, subject to certain program restrictions. Funded by the Relay Surcharge, telecommunications equipment, such as text telephones, amplifiers, signaling devices and speech-generating devices have been made available to deaf, hard-of-hearing and/or speech-impaired consumers. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006, \$322,060 was expended in the program as compared to \$368,569 for the previous period. Households served in the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006 total 877 as compared to 950 for the previous period. Cumulative expenditures total \$1,866,889 since the equipment program began in April 1996.

#### **Summary of Significant Issues Impacting TRS**

#### **State Level**

#### 1) Internet Protocol (IP) and Video Relay Services (VRS).

Hamilton currently offers Internet relay as a 24-hour service that allows computers and other web-based devices to connect to the relay center via the Internet to call any standard telephone user, VCO or HCO user. This access is accomplished by going to Hamilton's relay website at <a href="www.hiprelay.com">www.hiprelay.com</a> to place a relay call. The CA workstation makes an internet connection to the requesting user and the call is processed just like any other inbound text relay call. Since there is no current method to determine where the Internet call originated from, all Internet relay calls are placed free of charge to the originating caller. Currently, the Interstate TRS fund is paying for all Internet-enabled relay minutes.

Hamilton Video Relay Service (<a href="www.hipvrs.com">www.hipvrs.com</a>) is available to individuals with a hearing and/or speech disability, who use a combination of sign language and/or speech to make use of video equipment and high speed internet access in order to communicate with voice telephone users. Hamilton provides VRS in conjunction with Birnbaum Interpreting Services (BIS). An interpreter at the relay center answers the call and communicates in sign language with the caller. The interpreter will relay the call by translating the calling party's sign language into voice for the called party. The relay calls will then be translated from voice to sign language. The user will reach the video relay system via the Internet.

Individuals can place a video relay call in either of two ways: 1) by using a videophone, high speed internet connection and a television; or by 2) using a computer and a webcam. Simply dial call.hipvrs.com into a videophone, such as a D-Link DVC-1000, to connect with a HIP VRS interpreter. If you use option 2, you will go to <a href="www.hipvrs.com">www.hipvrs.com</a> to reach a HIP VRS interpreter.

Hamilton will distribute D-Link video conferencing equipment to deaf/hard of hearing individuals. The D-Link turns any TV into a broadband videophone. It sits on top the TV and contains a video camera. To qualify and receive a free D-Link from Hamilton one needs to have the following:

- Broadband internet connection (256 kbps or faster upload and download). To find out how fast an internet connection is, go to <a href="http://www.dslreports.com/stest">http://www.dslreports.com/stest</a>;
- A television or RF Modulator that has video and audio jacks;
- No firewall. One can only use HIP VRS behind a firewall if the firewall is aware of H.323 compatible software such as the D-Link;
- A router is needed if the ISP is only providing one IP address and someone would like to have multiple devices connected to the internet, i.e., a computer.

For additional questions, contact Hamilton at 1-800-322-5299 V/TTY, by email at <a href="mailto:tina.collingham@hamiltonrelay.com">tina.collingham@hamiltonrelay.com</a> or by mail at Hamilton Telecommunications, P.O. Box 284, Aurora, NE 68818. Once a person receives a D-Link videophone, the user can follow Hamilton's interactive web-based instructions for installation by going to <a href="www.hipvrs.com">www.hipvrs.com</a> and click on "D-Link Instructions" or go directly to <a href="www.hipvrs.com/d-link">www.hipvrs.com/d-link</a>.

- 2) Effective December 1, 2005 Nebraska Relay began providing 2-Line *CapTel* service. The user must purchase a second (analog) line to use this service. There are several benefits to using 2-Line *CapTel* over single line CapTel including:
  - Dialing 9-1-1 directly, and use *CapTel* as intended. Avoids changing to using Voice Carry Over, VCO;
  - Friends and family can dial the direct number directly rather than dialing a toll-free number;
  - Captions can be turned on or off as needed at any time during a call and;
  - If there is more than one telephone extension in the home, other family members can participate in a joint conversation.

The relay statistics table following the end of this section includes CapTel conversation minutes, monthly service cost for providing CapTel and the expenditures incurred for the Equipment Distribution Program for CapTel equipment.

### **Summary of Significant Issues Impacting Telecommunications Relay Services**

#### **Federal Level**

A number of issues impacting CG ("Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau") Docket No. 03-123 ("Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities") are as follows:

- 1) Further Notice Of Proposed Rulemaking (released June 30, 2004) is still outstanding by the FCC and addresses a number of issues including: 1) the appropriate cost recovery methodology for VRS; 2) what type of mechanism should be used to determine which IP Relay and VRS calls are interstate and intrastate; 3) whether or not IP Relay and/or VRS should become mandatory forms of TRS; 4) whether IP Relay and/or VRS should be required to be offered 7 days a week, 24 hours a day.
- On May 8, 2006, a *Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* was released addressing the misuse of Internet Protocol (IP) and Video Relay Service (VRS). The Federal Communications Commission is seeking comment on how to remedy their misuse. Comments were due by July 3, 2006, with Reply comments due by July 17. IP is a form of text-based TRS in which a user connects to the TRS facility via the Internet using a computer, web phone, personal digital assistant (PDA), or any other IP-capable device. VRS is a form of relay service that requires a broadband Internet connection between the VRS user and the CA, which facilitates communication in ASL via a video link.

A common misuse of IP relay involves a hearing user defrauding merchants by making purchases over the telephone using stolen, fake, or otherwise invalid credit cards, and to make harassing or "prank" calls. Since the CA receives no identifying information on the inbound call, a high degree of anonymity results. Misuse of VRS as a substitute for an In-Person Interpreter or a Video Remote Interpreting (VRI) service is another concern the FCC addresses in this notice.

For both types of relay service, the FCC seeks comment on a variety of issues including: 1) whether the relay provider or CA should be given discretion to determine the legitimacy of calls; 2) if user registration could be utilized to prevent misuse; 3) safeguarding consumer's rights. For IP relay, the FCC seeks comment on whether to waive or modify certain TRS rules to screen and terminate IP calls that may be deemed to be fraudulent.

3) On May 9, 2006 a *Declaratory Ruling and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* regarding Video Relay Service interoperability was issued. The declaratory ruling provides that a VRS provider must ensure that their

network allows all VRS consumers to place and receive calls in order to receive compensation from the Interstate TRS Fund.

The Further Notice seeks comment on how an open and global database of proxy numbers can be created so that when a hearing user calls a VRS user, he does not first have to obtain the VRS user's current IP address. This notice also seeks comment on what Internet protocols or standards may be needed to ensure efficient call handling among all VRS providers.

- 4) On May 31, 2006 the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau reminded states and Telecommunications Relay Services providers that they must submit their annual consumer complaint log summaries for the 12-month period ending May 31, 2006, on or before July 3, 2006. The FCC requires state TRS programs to maintain a log of consumer complaints that allege violations of the federal TRS mandatory minimum standards. The Public Service Commission satisfied this requirement on June 15, 2006. A copy of this complaint summary is available by contacting the PSC or accessing the FCC's website.
- On June 16, 2006, this *Order* addresses two issues concerning VRS service;
  1) if the VRS CA and calling party are not communicating effectively, the 10-minute in-call replacement rule does not apply and another CA may be substituted and 2) the VRS CA may ask the user questions during call set-up if necessary to enable the call to be properly handled.
- On the June 29, 2006 *Order*, the following per minute compensation rates were approved for the various forms of relay service for the period July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007; 1) \$1.291 for interstate traditional relay (TTY); 2) \$1.409 for interstate STS and 3) \$1.293 for interstate and intrastate IP relay. Concerning interstate and intrastate VRS, this Order freezes the compensation rate to \$6.644 per minute for a one-year period ending June 30, 2007 or until new cost recovery rules can be implemented, whichever is sooner.
- 7) On a *Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* July 13, 2006 the FCC sought comment on a broad range of issues concerning TRS compensation. Specifically, the FCC seeks comment on the following;
  - Alternative cost recovery methodologies for traditional TRS, STS and IP Relay;
  - The appropriate cost recovery mechanism and duration for VRS;
  - Issues relating to determining "reasonable" costs and to what extent certain costs, such as indirect, marketing, overhead, outreach should be compensated from the fund; Issues relating to continued administration of the fund and criteria for measuring performance and efficiencies.

The following tables display selected historical statistics that reflect the operation of the Nebraska Relay System and Equipment Distribution Program.

#### Telecommunications Relay Service Selected Historical Statistics (Session Minutes/Captel Conversation Minutes)

							<b>Monthly Activity Cost</b>					
	Total Calls (1)	Ave Call Length (1)	Total Minutes	Interstate	Intrastate	CapTel Intrastate	TRS Prg. CapTel	Total TRS	Equip. Prg. CapTel	Total Equipment	Srchrg	Srchrg
	(Outbound)		Of Use	Minutes	Minutes	СМ	(\$Amt.)	Program	(\$Amt.)	Program	Revenue	Rate
Jul-03	24,072	4.08	101,326.67	15,146.57	86,180.10		,	77,131.19		2,711.01	119,083.72	\$0.07
Aug-03	25,047	4.01	103,516.06	14,969.42	88,546.64			79,249.24		27,282.53	119,101.00	\$0.07
Sep-03	23,519	4.03	97,488.57	14,410.61	83,077.96			74,354.77		15,742.08	118,829.67	\$0.07
Oct-03	24,198	4.07	101,244.61	13,978.98	87,265.63			78,102.74		25,529.18	119,197.58	\$0.07
Nov-03	22,495	4.20	96,904.28	14,908.51	81,995.77			73,386.21		7,733.91	117,782.57	\$0.07
Dec-03	25,696	4.22	111,067.66	17,129.33	93,938.33			84,074.81		16,542.33	119,417.83	\$0.07
Jan-04	26,590	4.17	113,401.56	15,917.73	97,483.83			87,248.03		20,859.12	118,935.24	\$0.07
Feb-04	25,351	4.17	108,175.58	15,695.37	92,481.21			82,769.79		27,256.64	120,682.99	\$0.07
Mar-04	26,695	4.18	114,481.10	16,692.38	97,788.72			87,520.90		29,292.04	120,006.68	\$0.07
Apr-04	25,770		105,017.33		89,230.40			79,861.21		8,969.60	120,502.22	\$0.07
May-04	25,329	3.87	100,583.19	14,570.66	86,012.53			76,981.21		24,457.84	120,162.45	\$0.07
Jun-04	25,174		100,602.66					76,628.82		18,303.67	121,659.08	
Jul-04	24,075	4.08	101,185.41	15,901.00	85,284.41			76,329.55		12,691.44	121,687.79	\$0.07
Aug-04	25,246	4.02	104,261.18	15,920.86	88,970.32			79,628.44		25,677.58	121,115.31	\$0.07
Sep-04	22,776	4.19	98,304.85	14,604.51	83,700.34			74,911.80	399.00	11,345.25	121,149.52	\$0.07
Oct-04	22,398	4.12	95,172.34	14,571.25	80,601.09	255.24	370.10	72,508.08	1,197.00	27,434.92	121,073.26	
Nov-04	21,190	4.23	92,294.52	14,104.47	78,190.05	329.26	477.43	70,457.52	2,247.00	55,352.66	121,282.02	\$0.07
Dec-04	22,119	4.22	95,796.72	15,133.72	80,663.00	1,532.77	2,222.52	74,415.91	8,379.00	48,924.25	120,884.69	\$0.07
Jan-05	21,410	4.28	94,407.27	14,678.80	79,728.47	3,672.96	5,325.79	76,682.77	7,581.00	43,431.32	121,986.50	\$0.07
Feb-05	18,650	4.35	83,425.23	13,855.35	69,569.88	3,385.60	4,909.12	67,174.16	1,995.00	8,694.67	122,530.72	\$0.07
Mar-05	20,390	4.32	90,305.15	13,791.55	76,513.60	4,534.16	6,574.53	75,054.20	6,783.00	39,100.74	122,157.64	\$0.07
Apr-05	18,809	4.31	83,704.27	13,207.07	70,497.20	4,258.50	6,174.83	69,269.82	5,586.00	52,662.21	122,006.57	\$0.07

#### Telecommunications Relay Service Selected Historical Statistics (Session Minutes/Captel Conversation Minutes)

							<b>Monthly Activity Cost</b>					
	Total	Ave Call	Total			CapTel	TRS Prg.	Total	Equip. Prg.	Total		
	Calls	Length	Minutes	Interstate	Intrastate	Intrastate	CapTel	TRS	CapTel	Equipment	Srchrg	Srchrg
	(Outbound)	(Outbound)	Of Use	Minutes	Minutes	CM	(\$Amt.)	Program	(\$Amt.)	Program	Revenue	Rate
May-05	19,963	4.17	85,993.01	12,215.77	73,777.24	3,875.25	5,619.11	71,649.74	3,192.00	21,514.60	122,281.68	\$0.07
Jun-05	20,599	4.22	89,982.67	13,018.21	76,964.46	4,483.29	6,500.77	75,383.96	4,389.00	21,739.08	123,614.71	\$0.07
Jul-05	18,564	4.39	83,937.41	14,021.60	69,915.81	4,765.44	6,909.89	69,484.54	1,596.00	7,464.70	123,540.19	\$0.07
Aug-05	19,723	4.32	87,778.15	12,764.58	75,013.57	4,529.00	6,567.05	73,704.20	2,793.00	35,634.76	123,141.59	\$0.07
Sep-05	17,516	4.41	79,807.27	11,955.22	67,852.05	6,443.18	9,342.61	79,807.27	5,985.00	42,092.24	123,452.00	\$0.07
Oct-05	16,927	4.46	78,110.63	12,191.70	65,918.93	7,924.24	11,490.15	70,487.59	1,995.00	22,349.59	123,433.90	\$0.07
Nov-05	17,988	4.36	80,903.80	13,063.38	67,840.42	8,688.55	12,598.40	73,315.58	4,389.00	46,766.53	125,036.47	\$0.07
Dec-05	17,691	4.43	80,932.17	12,619.49	68,312.68	9,272.18	13,444.66	74,584.51	5,985.00	40,804.36	125,255.93	\$0.07
Jan-05	16,921	4.56	79,449.33	12,800.04	66,649.29	9,649.85	13,992.28	73,643.39	3,990.00	21,013.49	131,549.06	\$0.07
Feb-05	16,002	4.63	76,064.03	11,833.34	64,230.69	8,146.50	11,812.43	69,298.90	3,192.00	27,588.84	131,994.37	\$0.07
Mar-06	17,543	4.64	84,013.75	12,929.45	71,084.30	9,273.10	13,446.00	77,066.45	3,192.00	52,293.93	125,279.87	\$0.07
Apr-06	15,844	4.37	71,441.96	10,761.97	60,679.99	8,718.65	12,642.04	66,950.63	1,995.00	17,020.18	127,884.37	\$0.07
May-06	16,664	4.42	75,850.28	11,533.01	64,317.27	8,340.55	12,093.80	69,657.76	798.00	8,788.31	128,011.22	\$0.07
Jun-06	15,621	4.40	71,033.74	9,997.26	61,036.48	7,107.02	10,305.18	64,932.83	0.00	242.85	130,011.00	\$0.07

## Telecommunications Relay Service Supplemental Information - Selected Historical Statistics (CapTel Conversation Minutes)

					Monthly Activity Co	
	CapTel	CapTel	Ave Call	CapTel	TRS Prg.	Equip. Prg.
	Total CM	<b>Total Calls</b>	Length	Intrastate	CapTel	CapTel
	(Completed)	(Completed)	(Completed)	CM	(\$Amt.)	(\$Amt.)
Oct-04	299	90	3.33	255	370	1,197.00
Nov-04	544	197	2.76	329	477	2,247.00
Dec-04	1,928	619	3.11	1,533	2,223	8,379.00
Jan-05	4,046	1,031	3.92	3,673	5,326	7,581.00
Feb-05	3,753	1,060	3.54	3,386	4,909	1,995.00
Mar-05	5,121	1,522	3.36	4,534	6,575	6,783.00
Apr-05	4,740	1,466	3.23	4,259	6,175	5,586.00
May-05	4,452	1,319	3.38	3,875	5,619	3,192.00
Jun-05	5,338	1,495	3.57	4,483	6,501	4,389.00
Jul-05	5,449	1,707	3.19	4,765	6,910	1,596.00
Aug-05	5,640	1,848	3.05	4,529	6,567	2,793.00
Sep-05	8,460	2,720	3.11	6,443	9,343	5,985.00
Oct-05	10,407	3,178	3.27	7,924	11,490	1,995.00
Nov-05	11,194	3,176	3.52	8,689	12,598	4,389.00
Dec-05	12,243	3,564	3.44	9,272	13,445	5,985.00
Jan-06	12,033	3,587	3.35	9,650	13,992	3,990.00
Feb-06	10,333	3,098	3.34	8,147	11,812	3,192.00
Mar-06	11,876	3,551	3.34	9,273	13,446	3,192.00
Apr-06	10,969	3,245	3.38	8,719	12,642	1,995.00
May-06	10,643	3,282	3.24	8,341	12,094	798.00
Jun-06	9,028	2,929	3.08	7,107	10,305	0.00

#### 5. Extended Area Service

Extended Area Service (EAS) allows customers in one exchange to place calls to, and receive calls from another exchange, without paying additional long distance charges. The key points of the basic rules and regulations established by the Commission relating to EAS are:

- \* A petition seeking to establish EAS must contain the signatures of either 750 or 25 percent of the customers of the exchange whichever is less.
- \* To determine if sufficient traffic exists to establish EAS, certain traffic criteria must be met in at least two of the three most recent months for which data is available.
- \*! A telephone company may file an Optional Enhanced Area Calling Plan (OEACP) as an alternative to EAS.
- \* If the minimum required signatures are obtained, and there is sufficient traffic to meet the requirements, informational meetings must be held in the petitioning exchange to inform the public of the proposed rates for EAS and to assess the public's interest in receiving EAS.
- \* Following an unsuccessful attempt at implementing EAS, at least 12 months must lapse before additional attempts for EAS are made.
- \* When put to a vote, EAS must receive the support of more than 50 percent of the customers in an exchange who vote.

There were no EAS applications made during fiscal year 2006 and currently there are no pending EAS applications.

#### **6.** Numbering Issues Area Code Conservation Efforts

In May of 1999, the Commission received information from the North American Number Plan Administrator that the number of assignable prefixes (otherwise known as central office NXX codes) available for area code 402 were in danger of being depleted in less than two years. The 402 area code covers the eastern third of the state and includes the cities of Omaha, Bellevue and Lincoln.

The Commission opened a public investigation and determined that employing number conservation methods could significantly delay the need for area code relief measures. Possible area code relief measures are boundary changes, splitting an area code, or introducing a new area code overlaid on an existing area code. In September of 1999, the Commission filed a petition with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) requesting authority to implement area code conservation methods within Nebraska, with special attention on the 402 area code. Specifically, the Commission requested authority for thousands-block number pooling, reclaiming unused central office codes, auditing number assignments, and reviewing distribution activities of service providers. Thousands-block number pooling allows telecommunications carriers that require new numbering resources to receive numbers in blocks of 1,000, rather than an entire central office code, which contains a block of 10,000 numbers.

On July 20, 2000, the FCC released an order granting the Commission's petition. The Commission set July 1, 2001, as the deadline for implementation of thousands-block number pooling in the Omaha MSA rate center. The cumulative effect of the conservation measures, and the voluntary efforts of the telecommunications carriers in Nebraska, resulted in extending the forecasted exhaust date for numbering resources in the 402 area code from the second quarter of 2001 to the first quarter of 2004.

On a national level the FCC set November 24, 2003, as the date at which all wireless carriers must be capable of supporting thousands-block pooling regardless of the geographical area served. The FCC also set May 24, 2004, as the date at which all wireline carriers serving rate centers in the top 100 MSAs must be capable of supporting thousands-block number pooling and local number portability. The only Nebraska MSA in the top 100 is Omaha-Council Bluffs. The effect of these pooling requirements, combined with continued efforts at the state level, resulted in a new forecast being issued in June of 2004, setting the forecasted exhaust date for the 402 area code at the second quarter of 2006.

The national Pooling Administrator has in place all necessary tools and processes to enable thousands-block pooling by carriers within the same rate center in both the 308 and 402 area codes. At the request of the Commission, the Pooling Administrator has classified all Nebraska rate centers as voluntary pooling capable. This allows any capable carrier to donate to, or use numbering resources from, the thousands-block number resource pool instead of opening a new central office code of 10,000 numbers.

On November 13, 2003, the Commission opened Docket No. C-3049 to conduct an investigation of various proposed solutions for extending the life of area codes 308 and 402. The Commission held hearings and workshops while staff worked with various industry groups to identify and evaluate alternative methods that could extend the life of the numbering resources in Nebraska. It was the conclusion of the workshop that while alternate methods of implementing thousands-blocks numbering resources were technically feasible, there were significant unresolved issues related to the routing of calls and appropriate carrier compensation.

There are two primary methods of extending the life of numbering resources in an area code; thousands-block number pooling and rate-center consolidation. Both techniques can be applied on a rate-center-by-rate-center basis to achieve specific objectives.

#### **Thousands Block Number Pooling**

As part of the efforts under Docket No. C-3049, the Commission requested the North American Numbering Plan Administrator to conduct a pro forma evaluation of the impact of mandatory pooling on the forecasted exhaust date for Area Code 402. The evaluation considered only those exchanges in which there are currently two or more carriers. The evaluation indicated that mandatory pooling could move the forecasted exhaust date for area code 402 from the second quarter of 2006 to the third quarter of 2010.

Under the current rules and regulations the implementation of mandatory pooling can occur only if the FCC issues specific authority to Nebraska. On November 11, 2004, Nebraska submitted a petition to the FCC for delegated authority to implement mandatory thousands-block number pooling in selected rate centers within the 402 area code. Seven other states submitted similar petitions and all comments submitted to the FCC were in support of the petitions.

On February 24, 2006, the FCC released "ORDER AND FIFTH FURTHER NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING", granting Nebraska's petition for authority to implement mandatory thousands-block number pooling in the 402 area code. The Commission has used the authority granted to implement mandatory pooling in two steps.

On March 14, 2006 the Commission issued an order under Docket No. C-3049 implementing mandatory pooling in the rate centers served by Alltel (now Windstream Communications), Qwest, and Frontier Communications (Citizens). Because these three carriers had been voluntarily participating in thousands block pooling they were able to make the transition from voluntary pooling to mandatory pooling in the 155 rate centers they serve without any delay.

On May 3, 2006 the Commission conducted a workshop during which the NeuStar Pooling Administrator provided the remaining carriers in the 402 Area Code with information concerning the steps and the typical time-line used when a rate center

changes from voluntary pooling to mandatory pooling. Carriers were asked to review the proposed time-line and provide feedback to the Commission by May 22, 2006. The Commission held a hearing on June 1, 2006, and sought comments on the prospect of designating all rate centers in the 402 area code as mandatory and a proposed implementation schedule for mandatory pooling of the remaining 94 rate centers. No parties submitted comments or testimony against designating the remaining 94 rate centers as mandatory or proposed an alternate implementation date.

On June 27, 2006, the Commission issued an order under Docket No. C-3049 implementing mandatory pooling in the remaining 94 rate centers effective November 1, 2006. Two carriers, Great Plains Communications, Inc. and Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company are in the process of upgrading switch platforms and have requested a waiver of the November 1, 2006 implementation date. Great Plains can meet the November 1, 2006 commitment for 19 rate centers, however they requested a waiver for the remaining 14 rate centers with a scheduled implementation plan that will have mandatory pooling implemented in all of their exchanges by March 31, 2008. Northeast requested a waiver until December 31, 2006, for implementation of mandatory pooling in their rate centers.

One of the advantages to having all rate centers in the 402 Area Code designated mandatory pooling would be that any carrier requesting numbering resources would have to obtain them at the thousands-block level through the Pooling Administrator. The Pooling Administrator will assign a thousands-block from the existing pool or open a full code to populate the pool. Only the needed blocks will be assigned leaving the remaining resources in the pool available for additional carriers.

The North American Numbering Plan Administration reports indicate the following status of Nebraska's numbering resources as of August 1, 2006.

Area Code	NXX Codes Assigned	NXX Codes Available
308	309	468
402	711	72

Reports from the Pooling Administrator identify that voluntary pooling in Nebraska has resulted in the following donation and utilization of thousands-blocks in Nebraska as of August 1, 2006.

Area Code	Number of Rate Centers	Rate Centers with Blocks	Thousands - Blocks Assigned	Thousands - Blocks Available	Estimated Full NPA- NXX Codes Saved
308	177	38	55	305	16
402	283	181	345	1,530	42

The Pooling Administrator estimates that 16 full NPA-NXX codes in area code 308 have been saved since the first thousands-blocks were donated in April 25, 2003, and 42 full NPA-NXX codes in area code 402 have been saved by thousands-block number pooling since the first thousands-blocks were donated to the pool on October 31, 2001.

The cumulative effect of the proactive conservation measures taken by the Commission has resulted in the North American Numbering Plan Administrator extending the forecasted exhaust date for the 402 area code from the fourth quarter of 2006, reported last year, to the second quarter of 2008. This is considered to be a conservative forecast because the full impact of mandatory pooling has not yet been seen. The forecasted exhaust date for the 308 area code has been extended from the second quarter of 2026 to the third quarter of 2026. However, with the advent of new technologies such as Voice over Internet Protocol, free Voice Mail services, and the unknown demand upon numbering resources, forecasted exhaust dates remain very dynamic.

The Commission believes that the number conservation measures it has taken in the 402 area code have been successful in delaying the need for costly and potentially confusing area code relief measures. The Commission will continue to seek out and implement number conservation methods and procedures, and will encourage voluntary cooperation, including implementing number pooling, by telecommunications carriers operating in the 308 area code. These steps should extend the life of Nebraska's two area codes and delay the substantial cost to the industry and ratepayers associated with any area code relief plan.

#### **Rate Center Consolidation**

On July 12, 2005, Qwest proposed to the Commission to merge the Gretna, Elkhorn, and Omaha rate centers into one consolidated rate center at Omaha. The Commission opened Application No. C-3447/PI-101 to solicit input on the proposed rate center consolidation. This type of action enables existing numbering resources to be used over a larger geographic area providing significant expansion capability without using new central office codes. The Commission approved the proposed rate center consolidation on August 30, 2005. The consolidation of Gretna and Elkhorn into the Omaha rate centers was implemented on July 20, 2006.

Prior to the consolidation of the Gretna and Elkhorn rate centers with the Omaha rate center, the December, 2005, National Resource Utilization and Forecast (NRUF) data indicated a total of 117,00 telephone numbers were allocated to all carriers for use only in the Gretna/Elkhorn area. Of these 117,000, only 21,119 (or 18%) were assigned to working numbers; 2,082 were in transition or for administrative use, leaving 93,799 numbers unassigned. With the rate center consolidation, those 93,799 numbers are available for use across the entire Omaha rate center. This could potentially save seven to nine full codes in the 402 area code.

## 7. N11 Dialing Codes

#### **Background**

The FCC designated various three-digit dialing, or "N11," codes for specific purposes in CC Docket No. 92-105, *In the Matter of the Use of N11 Codes and Other Abbreviated Dialing Arrangements*. State commissions, in turn, are delegated the responsibility of implementing the assigned dialing codes. Nebraska has assigned the use of "211," "511" and "711." By tradition 911 has been reserved for access to emergency services, typically through a Public Service Answering Point (PSAP). For each code, carriers seeking cost recovery are required to make an application to the Commission for reimbursement. To date, no carriers have applied for cost recovery.

Specific information on the n11 dialing codes implanted in Nebraska is provided in the following sections.

## 211 Dialing Code

The Commission assigned "211" to United Way of the Midlands for access to "First Call for Help." First Call for Help connects people in need of health and human services assistance with the appropriate providers of such services. Dialing "211" does not result in any additional telephone charges for the consumer.

#### **Current Status**

United Way continues to expand the availability of First Call For Help to the citizens of Nebraska through 211. The current status of 211 Access is shown in the following table:


Order Number	Counties/Areas	Implementation Date
C-2621	Douglas & Sarpy	02/20/02
C-2732	Dodge & Cass	10/01/02
C-3061	Hall, Hamilton, Howard, Merrick	07/01/04
C-3066	Saunders & Washington	07/01/04
C-3099	City of Lincoln, Lancaster	07/01/04
C-3221	Dawson, Phelps, Kearney, Harlan, Franklin, Buffalo, Gosper	09/02/04
C-3256	Scotts Bluff	11/03/04
C-3277	Dakota County	05/01/05
C-3327	Adams, Clay, Nuckolls, Webster Counties	06/22/05
C-3344	Boone, Nance, Platte, Colfax Counties	06/29/05

Order Number	Counties/Areas	Implementation Date
C-3403	Polk, Butler	08/15/05
C-3446	Dixon & Wayne	12/02/05
C-3454	Cuming, Burt, Thurston	12/23/05
C-3464	Thayer County	12/23/05
C-3489	Cedar County	02/08/06
C-3505	Jefferson County	04/11/06

Currently 38 Nebraska counties have entered into an agreement with United Way of the Midlands to provide service through 211. Based on the 2004 Census figures, approximately 79% of Nebraskan residents can reach United Way of the Midlands by dialing 211.

The following map shows the current status of 211 in Nebraska:

# Nebraska 211 Coverage - August 2006



#### 311 Dialing Code

311 is the nationally assigned access code for non-emergency police and government services and has not been implemented in Nebraska at this time.

#### **411 Dialing Code**

411 is the nationally assigned access code to local directory services. When a consumer dials 411 they will be connected with the directory services group for their service provider (local exchange carrier, wireless carrier, or if a 1+ is dialed first their long distance carrier). Charges may be incurred for use of these services and are established by tariff fillings with the Commission for local and long distance carriers.

## **511 Dialing Code**

Weather reports and route specific road conditions can be obtained 24 hours a day for interstate and state highways throughout Nebraska by dialing 511 from any telephone in Nebraska. There is no charge to callers. The Nebraska State Patrol and Department of Roads manage this program.

## **611 Dialing Code**

611 is assigned nationally as the access code to a carriers repair service organization.

## 711 Dialing Code

In CC Docket No. 92-105, *In the Matter of the Use of N11 Codes and Other Abbreviated Dialing Arrangements* the FCC designated 711 as the dialing for access to all telecommunication relay services (TRS). The Nebraska Public Service Commission opened Application No. C-2417 to examine issues related to the implementation of three-digit, 711, for access to all telecommunication relay services (TRS) in Nebraska. The FCC order further established an October 1, 2001 deadline for all telecommunications carriers, including wireline, wireless, PBX and payphone providers. On January 9, 2001 the Commission approved Docket No. C-2417 and established June 29, 2001 as the implementation deadline for 711.

The Nebraska Relay Service is designed to enable persons who are Deaf, Hard of Hearing, or Speech Disabled to communicate on the telephone with the general public.

The current status of the Nebraska Relay Service can be found in Part III, Section 4 of this report.

## **811 Dialing Code**

Nebraska statute (§76-2301 – 76-2330) established the One-Call Notification System Act for the purpose of preventing injury to persons and damage to property and the interruption of utility services resulting from accidents caused by damage to underground

facilities. Since 1995 Diggers Hotline has been the link between people who want to excavate and the underground facility owner/operators. Access to the Diggers Hotline center, located in Omaha, has been through either the local number (402) 344-3565 or the toll free number (800) 331-5666. The State Fire Marshal's Office is the oversight organization for the One-Call Notification System.

On March 14, 2005, under the Sixth Report and Order in CC Docket No. 92-105, *In the Matter of the Use of N11 Codes and Other Abbreviated Dialing Arrangements*, the FCC designated 811 as the national abbreviated code to be used by states and delegated the authority to states to address the technical and operational issues associated with the implementation of the 811 code. This national abbreviated code will not change the numbers currently used to access the One-Call Notification System – it will simply provide an easily recognized n11 code to establish the connection to the One-Call Notification System. Per the FCC order 811 must be implemented by April 13, 2007.

The Commission will be working with the One-Call Notification System, State Fire Marshals Office, and the telecommunications carriers serving Nebraska in the implementation of this code.

## 911 Dialing Code

911 is the nationally designated access code to Emergency Services. Detailed information on the state of 911 in Nebraska is found in Part VIII, Section 2, of this report.

#### 8. Nebraska Internet Enhancement Fund

The Nebraska Internet Enhancement Fund (NIEF) successfully completed the first round of grants awarded in January of 2005. NIEF was established by the Legislature to provide financial assistance to install and deliver broadband or other advanced telecommunications infrastructure and service throughout the state. Five grants were awarded in the initial round of grants under NIEF and all five grant projects were successfully completed by the spring of 2006.

The Commission staff, with the assistance of the NIEF Advisory Board, evaluated the initial grant awards and projects and solicited feedback and comment for grant recipients in preparation to open another grant period. The Pre-Application period opened on June 1, 2006. Selected Pre-Applicants were invited to submit full applications for funding by November 15, 2006. The Commission hopes to award the second round of grants under the NIEF program in January of 2007.

#### **PART IV**

## Review of the Level of Rates of Local Exchange And Interexchange Companies

This section of the report provides current local rates and historical information on local rate changes of carriers, in addition to discussing some changes that are taking place in the long distance market. Due to the introduction of competition in some local exchanges, financial information – specifically the financial status of local exchange companies, has been omitted from this report.

#### 1. Local Exchange Rates

The Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (ILECs) operating in the State set their local rates at or near the Commission's target rates established in a 1999 order requiring rate rebalancing of local, long distance, and access charges. The Commission set \$17.50 for the residential rate and \$27.50 for the business rate under this plan. Any carriers not charging these rates applied for exemptions from the provisions of the order. Under the Commission's plan, any increase from local revenues was offset by a corresponding decrease in access charges. Should a company need an increase above these rate levels, statutory provisions provide a method where increases would be subject to a petition process by the affected customers, or the company could apply to the Commission for an increase in the local rates. The two tables at the end of this section provide a history of the local rate changes as well as the current local rates charged by the incumbent carriers in the State. The local rates listed have not changed from those listed in our previous report.

The passage of the 1996 Telecommunications Act allowed for competing carriers to provide local service using their own facilities or by leasing the existing network to enter the telecommunications market. Competitive Local Exchange Carriers, (CLECs), have used various means of marketing to provide service to both residential and business customers, and now have a 29.8% share of the total access lines served in the State as of December 31, 2005. The next largest share of the market for the same period is Qwest at 28%. The largest of the CLEC's, Cox Communications, now serves 15% of the market with 149,097 customers in the Omaha area.

#### 2. Financial Statistics

The financial information related to local exchange company earnings is not being reported for 2005. Competition in this market and company-specific data may reveal competitively sensitive information. Thus, the annual reports filed by the local exchange companies remain available at the Commission for use by Commissioners and Commission staff. Portions of the reports that are not proprietary are available for public inspection.

## 3. Long Distance Telephone Rates/Access Charges

Long distance and local carriers share in the provision of long distance service to customers. Local carriers receive access revenues from long distance companies for their provision of originating or terminating long distance calls within the State. Long distance rates are then based on these wholesale costs for the service. Other means of compensation, such as reciprocal compensation for originating or terminating local calls are also in place to compensate carriers for provision of calls of a local nature. This system of payments between communications providers for carrying traffic across their network is known as intercarrier compensation. Due to changes that have taken place in the industry in recent years, with the increased wireless usage and the introduction of Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) services, there has been a recognized need to reform the existing intercarrier compensation structure.

The National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) created a task force in 2003 to examine a number of intercarrier compensation proposals, which had been filed with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). In May of 2004, NARUC adopted and filed intercarrier compensation policy principles developed through their task force. Since that time, thirteen industry workshops and numerous conference calls with up to 50+ stakeholders from telecommunications industries have been held to try and reach a consensus on a new form of intercarrier compensation. Since it appeared that this group was too large for a meaningful consensus, the Chair of the task force appointed a group of 11 stakeholders to develop a compromise proposal to bring back to the larger group for discussion. This resulted in the "Missoula Plan" which was presented to the larger group in March of 2006. The NARUC Task Force on Intercarrier Compensation agreed to file this plan for consideration with the FCC and the proposal was filed on July 24, 2006.

The impact of changes in this compensation proposal could affect local carriers (ILECs and CLECs), long distance providers, internet service providers, voice over internet protocol (VoIP) providers, cellular companies, or any company exchanging traffic over the public switched telephone network. The Missoula Plan is pending now before the FCC with initial comments due on October, 25, 2006.

					Chang					
	2006	2005	2004	<b>2003</b>	C <b>ompan</b> 2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	1997
Alltel (Now	2000	2003	2004	2003	X	1	1	1999 X	1998 X	X
Windstream)					Λ	1	1	Λ	Λ	Λ
Arapahoe					X	X	X	X		X
Arlington					Λ	Λ	X	X	X	Λ
Benkelman						X	X	X	X	X
Blair						Λ	X	X	X	Λ
Cambridge						X	X	X	11	X
Citizens (Formerly						71	X	X		71
GTE)										
Clarks							X	X		X
Consolidated					X	X	X	X		X X
Consolidated Telco						X	X	X		X
Cozad					X	X	X	X		X
Curtis							X	X		X
Dalton					X	X	X	X		
Diller					X	X	X	X		X
Eastern							X	X	X	
Elsie					X	X	X	X		
Eustis					X	X	X	X		X
Glenwood					X	X	X	X		X
Great Plains					X	X	X	X		X
Hamilton										X
Hartington							X	X		X
Hartman					X	X	X	X		
Hemingford						X	X	X		
Henderson						X	X	X		
Hershey						X	X	X		
Home					X	X	X	X		X
Hooper					X	X	X	X		X
K & M							X	X		
Keystone-Arthur					X	X	X	X		X
NEBCOM							X			
Nebraska Central						X	X	X	X	
Northeast					X	X	X			
Pierce							X	X		
Plainview							X	X		
Qwest f/k/a US West						1		X		
Rock County							X	X	X	
Sodtown			X			X		X		
Southeast NE							X	X		
Stanton							X	X		
Three River						X	X	X		X
United (Embarq)								X		
Wauneta						X	X	X	X	X

<sup>(1)</sup> Business line rate reduction only. (X) Indicates a rate change

#### NEBRASKA LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIERS BASIC LOCAL EXCHANGE RATES Effective September 1, 2006

Company	Exchange	Business	Residential
AT&T		\$27.50	N/A
ALLO		\$31.00	\$31.00
Alltel (Now Windstream)		\$27.50	\$17.50
Alltel Midwest		\$37.00	\$16.00
Applied Communications Tech.		\$44.80	\$21.40
Arapahoe Telephone Co.	Group 1 Group 2	\$27.50 \$37.55	\$17.50 \$17.50
Arlington Telephone Co.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Benkelman Telephone Co.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Blair Telephone Co.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Budget Phone, Inc.		\$32.84	\$49.95
Bullseye Telcom		\$30.50	\$30.50
Cambridge Telephone Co.		\$26.80	\$17.50
CAT Communications Intl.		N/A	\$39.99
Citizens (Formerly GTE)		\$27.50	\$17.50
Clarks Telephone Co.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Comm South		\$41.99	\$41.99
Consolidated Telco, Inc.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Consolidated Telephone Co.	Anselmo Arthur Ashby Bingham Brewster Brownlee Dunning Halsey Hyannis Merna Mullen Purdum Seneca Thedford	\$27.50 \$27.50 \$27.50 \$27.50 \$27.50 \$27.50 \$27.50 \$27.50 \$27.50 \$27.50 \$27.50 \$27.50 \$27.50	\$17.50 \$17.50 \$19.25 \$19.25 \$17.50 \$17.50 \$17.50 \$17.50 \$17.50 \$17.50 \$17.50
Cox Communications	Whitman  (A) Flat Rate Add'l. Line (B) Comb. Ser. Second Line Add'l. Line	\$27.50 \$26.89 \$26.89 \$26.89 \$26.89 \$26.89	\$19.25 \$17.65 \$16.35 \$15.89 \$7.89 \$15.89
Cozad Telephone Co.		\$27.50	\$17.50

#### NEBRASKA LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIERS BASIC LOCAL EXCHANGE RATES Effective September 1, 2006

Company	Exchange	Business	Residential
Curtis Telephone Co.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Dalton Telephone Co.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Diller Telephone Co.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Elsie Telecom, Inc.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Eastern Nebraska		\$27.50	\$17.50
Excel		\$29.95	\$29.95
Fast Phones		\$44.95	\$49.95
FiberComm, Inc.		\$21.25	\$19.00
Glenwood Telephone Membership Corporation		\$27.50	\$17.50
Granite Telecommunications		\$27.55	\$18.15
Great Plains Communications		\$27.50	\$17.50
Hamilton Telephone Co.		\$13.75	\$13.75
Hartington Telephone Co.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Hartman Telephone Exchange		\$27.50	\$17.50
Hemingford Cooperative		\$27.50	\$17.50
Henderson Cooperative (Mainstay)		\$27.50	\$17.50
Hershey Cooperative Telecom, Inc.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Houlton/EZ Phone Connections		\$69.95	\$49.95
Huntel Communications		\$24.59	\$15.59
Ionex	Qwest	\$37.55	\$20.00
K&M Telephone Co.		\$17.50	\$17.50
Keystone-Arthur Telephone Co.		\$27.50	\$17.50
MCImetro Access		\$26.99	\$20.99
McLeodUSA		\$32.95	\$24.95
NT&T	Group 1 Group 2 Group 3 Group 4 Group 5 Group 6	\$27.55 \$27.50 \$30.10 \$30.10 \$30.10 \$30.10	\$18.15 \$17.50 \$17.50 \$17.50 \$17.50 \$17.50
NebCom		\$27.50	\$17.50
Nebraska Central Telephone Co.		\$27.50	\$17.50
New Access		N/A	18.15
Northeast Neb. Telephone Co.		\$27.50	\$17.50
Northeast Neb. Telephone Co.		27.50	17.50
NOS Communications		32.84	18.15

## NEBRASKA LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIERS BASIC LOCAL EXCHANGE RATES Effective September 1, 2006

Exchange	Business	Residential
	27.00	27.00
	20.45	17.50
	27.95	17.50
	27.50	17.50
First Line Each Add'l Line	27.55 27.55	18.15 16.35
	27.50	17.50
	17.50	17.50
	27.50	17.50
	40.00	N/A
	27.50	17.50
	36.40	N/A
	27.50	17.50
	N/A	49.95
	34.99	29.99
	27.50	17.50
	N/A	19.95
	27.50	17.50
	27.50	17.50
		20.45 27.95 27.50 First Line Each Add'l Line 27.55 Each Add'l Line 27.50 17.50 27.50 40.00 27.50 36.40 27.50 N/A 34.99 27.50 N/A 27.50

Company	Exchange Groupings
Arapahoe Telephone Con	mpany:

40

Group 1: Arapahoe, Hendley, Holbrook Group 2: Brule, Farnam, Loomis, Overton

## C. Explanation of Charges Which May Appear on Your Telephone Bill

**Basic Residential Line -** The monthly rate for providing service to a residence (home or apartment) and includes local calling within the exchange.

**Extended Area Service -** The monthly charge for provision of local calling to other exchanges in addition to the customer serving exchange.

**Number Portability Charge -** A charge set by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to cover a part of the costs of facility upgrades necessary to allow customers to retain their telephone number when changing from one local service provider to another.

**Federal Access Charge (Federal Subscriber Line Charge) -** A charge set by the FCC to cover part of a local telephone company's cost of operating and maintaining its local telephone network. This charge is currently capped at \$6.50 per month for the first residential line and single business lines, but the actual charge can vary by company.

**Telecommunications Dual-Party Relay Fund (Nebraska Relay Fund) -** A charge set by the Nebraska Public Service Commission to provide a statewide telecommunications relay system and a statewide voucher program for the provision of specialized telecommunications equipment for qualified deaf, hard-of-hearing, or speech-impaired persons in Nebraska which enables them to communicate twenty-four hours per day, seven-days-per-week, with other persons without such disabilities. The charge is currently set at \$.05 per access line.

**911 Service Surcharge** - A charge assessed by the city or county to provide funding to operate emergency service centers. Typically this charge is between \$.50 and \$1.00 per month per access line.

**Wireless E911 Surcharge -** A charge assessed by the state to provide funding to implement wireless E911. Currently the charge is \$.50 per wireless subscriber per month.

**Nebraska Universal Service Fund -** A charge set by the Nebraska Public Service Commission to provide funds to local exchange companies to assist in the provision of services to high-cost areas and low-income customers. This charge is 5.75 percent.

**Federal Tax** (Excise Tax) - A 3 percent tax that funds general government operations and will appear on the local portion of the bill.

**State Tax (Sales Tax) -** The state sales tax, which is 5.5 percent of the Nebraska portion of the bill, to fund general government obligations. This tax will appear on both the local and the long distance portion of the bill.

**City Tax (Sales Tax, if applicable) -** The rate varies by city, but the funds will go towards general municipal obligations.

City Tax (Occupation or Franchise Tax, if applicable) - The percentage (varies by city) assessed by the city to the telephone company and passed on to the customers, for the right to do business.

**Federal Universal Service Fund -** (Rate varies with each company) A Federal charge assessed to companies to support low-income consumers, consumers in high-cost areas, and support for schools, libraries, and rural health care providers.

#### PART V

## **Recommendations for the 2007 Legislative Session**

The Commission, as of the date of this Annual Report, recommends the Legislature consider the following legislation relating to telecommunications for the 2007 Session:

- Legislation to modify the definition of "Telecommunications Company" to clarify that interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol Service Providers fall within the definition consistent with recent FCC orders.
- Legislation to amend NUSF policy statement to be consistent with the recent FCC orders.
- Legislation to amend the Enhanced Wireless 911 Services Act to require wireless carriers to pursue non-payment of the Wireless E911 surcharge by subscribers as they would under their normal collection practices consistent with the current requirements of the NUSF.
- Legislation to amend the Enhanced Wireless 911 Services Act to exempt paging companies and others whose devices are not capable of dialing 911 from the requirement to collect and remit the Wireless E911 surcharge.
- Legislation to amend the Enhanced Wireless 911 Services Act to make wireless carriers liable for the costs of audits consistent with the current requirements of the NUSF.
- Legislation to amend the Telecommunications Relay System Act to require carriers to pursue non-payment of the Telecommunications Relay System Surcharge by subscribers as they would under their normal collection practices consistent with the current requirements of the NUSF.
- Legislation to modify the membership of the Enhanced Wireless 911 Advisory Board to include a representative of the State Patrol and a representative of the general public.
- Legislation to amend the Emergency Telephone Communications Systems Act to clarify that Voice over Internet Protocol Service Providers must collect and remit the landline 911 surcharges imposed by local government.
- Legislation to create a registry of all unregulated telecommunications providers and information service providers.
- Legislation to give the Commission limited authority over wireless carriers to resolve consumer billing and contract disputes.
- Legislation to exempt municipal occupation taxes on telecommunications services from sales tax.

The Commission and its staff are available to review any proposed telecommunications legislation for the benefits of the Legislature and its Committees. Senators and legislative staff are invited to contact Andy Pollock, Executive Director, at 471-0211, to request a review of proposed legislation at any stage of the legislative process or with any questions concerning telecommunications or its oversight.

## **PART VI**

## The Changing Face of Communications

The three new issues identified in the last Annual Report continue to evolve and provide new opportunities for customers and new challenges for the Commission.

#### A. Broadband

Broadband is a generic term commonly used to refer to Internet access through some means other than dial-up. For years, "broadband" has referred to a higher-speed connection, but the actual speed threshold has varied. The FCC uses the term "high speed" to describe services that provide the subscriber with transmission speeds in excess of 200 kilobits per second (kbps) in at least one direction. "Advanced services", which provide the subscriber with transmission speeds in excess of 200 kbps in both directions is considered a subset of "high speed services". The typical forms of transport for broadband are DSL, cable-modem, wireless, optical fiber, and satellite technologies.

DSL broadband service uses the installed telephone wiring, and is typically provided over the incumbent local exchange carriers' facilities, and is limited to a distance of about three miles from the central office. Remote or cable modem is typically provided by the local video cable company and requires connection to the cable provider. Depending upon the data transmission speed desired, DSL and cable modem service are usually similar in cost and effectiveness.

Wireless and satellite technologies continue to gain broadband market shares to provide coverage to areas that are beyond the maximum distance for DSL or where video cable service is not available. While wireless and satellite service is typically more costly than DSL or cable modem, competition and equipment technology is bringing the price points closer together.

Because broadband is traditionally used to access the Internet, and Internet related services, broadband service has been defined by the Federal Communications Commission as "information services" and therefore is not under the regulatory authority of states. As more and more of the Internet related services offered to the citizens of Nebraska require broadband connectivity, broadband availability will become a larger issue. The NPSC has undertaken a survey to document the availability of broadband to the citizens of Nebraska. The report is available at the PCS's website: www.psc.state.ne.us.

#### **B.** Broadband over Power Line

Broadband over Power Line (BPL) is a technology used to deliver high-speed data to end-users over existing electric power networks and lines.

BPL, also known as power-line communication, utilizes electric power distribution wires for the high-speed transmission of data by transmitting high-frequency data signals through the same power distribution network used for carrying electric power to household users. In a common form of BPL, the broadband connection is provided over the electrical wires that enter a

house; a customer can obtain Internet access by plugging a BPL modem into any residential electric outlet served by the BPL system. In another form of BPL, Internet access is provided using a wireless device (such as a WiFi access point) connected to a BPL distribution system outside of the home that communicates with the customer's computer or other equipment inside the home. It is important to note that BPL technology, in its current form, is not suitable for carrying broadband signals over long distances. A typical BPL signal will only propagate along a power line for 1,000 to 3,000 feet before it becomes too weak or distorted to be useful.

While innovation and technology continue to expand the capability of BPL, in its current form it does not appear to be a cost effective method of providing ubiquitous broadband in Nebraska.

#### C. Voice over Internet Protocol

Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) is a technology that provides a voice communication service by transmitting the conversation between two parties as discrete digital packages of information over a dedicated network instead of the traditional public switched telephone network (PSTN).

In just a few short years VoIP has moved from a novelty used by hobbyists to a mainstream commercial product. The major differences between a traditional telephone call and a VoIP call is the conversion of the customer's analog voice signal into a digital signal and the way in which the data is then transmitted to the called party. Equipment at the consumer's location is used to convert the analog voice signal to a stream of digital information. The digital information is divided into a series of individual "packets" that are sent over a dedicated transmission facility to the termination point. The method of transmission used is called "internet protocol" giving rise to the name of the service; Voice Over Internet Protocol. At the receiving end the packets of digital information are converted back into an analog signal for use on the called party's telephone.

Another way of visualizing this process is to consider that the conversation is occurring as a written exchange between two people through a series of individual letters, each letter having just a few words of the conversation. Depending upon which digital data packets are missing, out of order, or corrupted, the voice conversation may either have gaps or be hard to understand. Due to quality of service (QoS) issues most commercial VoIP services do not use the traditional Internet networks to transmit the voice packets; instead dedicated networks are used to ensure the required transmission qualities.

Typically a voice conversation between two VoIP customers from the same service provider will occur over the providers dedicated network and never reaches the PSTN much like a call between two telephones in an office that is served by a PBX (Private Branch eXchange). A voice conversation between a VoIP customer and a customer of a traditional telephone service provider is different from the respect that the call must travel on the PSTN at some point. In the traditional telephone service environment when telephone calls are passed from the customers of one company and the customers of another company, there are charges associated with the communication called "access" and "transmission". Because of the network design employed by VoIP providers they are able to minimize the amount of "transmission" charges and when the call is between two of their customers, even if the parties are located in different cities, the "access" charges do not apply.

Because VoIP service providers are not required at this time to be licensed, certified, or register with either the FCC or a state agency, we cannot accurately identify how many and which VoIP service providers are offering services in Nebraska at this time. To date, the only certified VoIP service provider in Nebraska is Time Warner Cable, which applied for and was issued a certificate on November 23, 2004, in Application No. C-3228.

## **PART VII**

## **Applications and Tariffs**

The Commission received a total of 210 applications during the period of July 1, 2005, to June 30, 2006. Much of the activity involved competition in the local market where additional carriers applied for local authority and interconnection agreement approval requests were received. Following is a summary of the applications received during this period.

Type of Application	Number of Applications Filed
Local Certification	10
Reseller Certification	21
Amend Certification	110
Boundary/Customer	3
Depreciation	1
Loan	5
Commission-Initiated	5
Interconnection	34
211	5
ETC	5
Other	12

There were 427 tariff changes filed with the Commission during this period. Individual applications and tariff filings can be obtained upon request.

#### **PART VIII**

# Nebraska Telecommunication Infrastructure & Public Safety Department

#### 1. Nebraska Universal Service Fund

#### **Purpose**

To ensure that all Nebraskans have access to quality telecommunications and information services at affordable and comparable rates. To accomplish this goal, the Commission has created three programs within the Nebraska Universal Service Fund.

- 1. High Cost Program, which seeks to make telecommunications and information rates generally affordable and comparable across Nebraska.
- 2. Nebraska Telephone Assistance Program (NTAP), which was formerly known as the Lifeline/Link-Up Program. This program provides discounted rates to qualifying low-income Nebraskans.
- 3. Rural Tele-Health Program which supports the provision of telecommunications services to a statewide tele-health network.

## **Legislative History**

In 1997, the Legislature passed LB 686, authorizing the Commission to create the Nebraska Universal Service Fund (NUSF). The goal of the NUSF is, in conjunction with federal universal service funds, to ensure that all Nebraskans have comparable access to telecommunications services at affordable prices. In 1999, the Legislature passed LB 514, exempting persons receiving support from the Lifeline/Link-Up program from any NUSF surcharge. In 2001 and 2002, the Legislature passed LB 389 and LB 1211, respectively, clarifying the Commission's NUSF authority regarding wireless companies. Also, in 2002, the Legislature passed LB 1105, which re-codified the applicable NUSF statutes from §§ 86-1401 to 86-1411 to §§ 86-316 to 86-329. LB 37, passed in 2002, during the special session, allows the State to borrow monies from the Universal Service Fund with the following caveats: a 60-day reserve must be maintained in the Fund, and interest of five percent must be paid on any monies borrowed for more than 30 days. The borrowing provisions sunset on June 30, 2007. In 2004, LB 1004 changed the name of the Lifeline/Link-Up Program to the Nebraska Telephone Assistance Program.

#### Assessment

The Commission, by order and after public hearing, revised the NUSF surcharge from 6.95 percent to 5.75 percent of in-state retail telecommunications revenue beginning October 1, 2005 through June 30, of 2006. Interstate and Internet services are not subject to the NUSF surcharge. The Commission determines assessable services through the use of Federal Communications Commission (FCC) federal universal service definitions in order to reduce the amount of duplicate administrative work for telecommunications providers. Specific categories of services subject to the NUSF surcharge are:

- Local service, including connection charges, enhanced service, such as Caller ID, and extended area services (EAS).
- Wireless services, including cellular, PCS, and paging.
- In-state long distance services, including prepaid calling card, operator-assisted, collect, calling card and private line.

## **NUSF Remittances and Payments**

The Commission projected that the NUSF surcharge would generate \$57.3 million during the July 2005 through June 2006, fiscal year. During this period, the NUSF collected \$53.4 million, a variance of -7.0 percent. The Commission projected that during this same period; the NUSF would pay out \$78.7 million. During this period, \$79.5 million was actually paid to telecommunication providers, a variance of 1.0 percent. This is due to specific network upgrades that have been distributed because the projects are completed. During the 2005-2006 fiscal year, the NUSF processed 2,450 remittances and made 1,470 disbursements.

As of the beginning of January 2005, the NUSF had a balance of 63.4 million. As of June 30, 2006, that balance had declined to \$37.9 million. Previously, the NUSF was estimated to have a fund balance of \$39.1 million as of June 30, 2006, compared to the actual balance of \$37.9 million.

## **Significant Issues**

- 1. The Commission has opened docket NUSF-50 to determine revisions in the high cost program to reflect the decreased surcharge.
- 2. The Commission continues to work with the Nebraska Hospital Association to develop a statewide tele-health network. The Commission has reserved \$900,000 a year for this purpose. These monies will be distributed only to eligible telecommunication companies that provide the connections for this network.
- 3. The expansion of broadband and Voice of Internet Protocol (VoIP) services: In many instances, these services replace traditional telephone services. It remains unclear whether these services can be required to contribute to universal service. In some cases, these services are not required to contribute, which is one factor in receipts declining.

4. The Commission continues to implement its December 7, 2004 NUSF-2 order revising the NTAP eligibility standards and program requirements. This has resulted in the need to recertify more then 20,000 individuals on a regular basis. The Commission continues to increase enrollment in the program.

## Nebraska Tele-Health Program

In September of 2004, the Commission approved funding for the Nebraska Statewide Tele-Health Network. This network will connect 68 rural and critical access hospitals across the state to hub hospitals in Grand Island, Kearney, Lincoln, Norfolk, North Platte, Omaha, and Scottsbluff.

To date the Commission has funded 63 hospitals and expended \$322,199.36. Expenditures were lower then expected due to network configuration issues. As of June, 2006, all of the hospitals participating in the network were connected to the network.

## . Nebraska Telephone Assistance Program

In 2003, the Commission changed the name of the Nebraska Lifeline and Link-Up programs to the Nebraska Telephone Assistance Program or NTAP. This was done to distinguish the program from the Lifeline medical alert service. NTAP assists qualifying low-income individuals with obtaining and keeping telephone services by lowering monthly service and connection rates. The Commission has adopted a policy to maximize the amount of federal support for the NTAP. At a minimum, federal support is available to waive the federal subscriber line charge (SLC), which ranges between \$3.50 per month and \$6.50 per month, and reduce basic local exchange rates by \$1.75 per month. Additional federal matching support is available, equal to one-half of any state support, up to a maximum of \$1.75 per month. The Nebraska Universal Service Fund provides support of \$3.50 per month so that the NTAP can receive this additional \$1.75 per month in federal support. As a result, an additional \$5.25 per month in support is available to qualifying Nebraska telephone subscribers. Customers receive a total credit of between \$10.00 and \$13.50 on their bill. To qualify for the NTAP, a consumer must participate in one of the following programs:

- 1) Medicaid;
- 2) Food Stamps;
- 3) Supplemental Security Income (SSI);
- 4) Federal Public Housing Assistance;
- 5) Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP); and
- 6) Children Health Insurance Programs (Kids Connection, SAM, MAC, E-MAC)

The Childrens Health Insurance Programs were added during the last year as a result of the Commission's NUSF-2 Order, dated December 7, 2004.

The NTAP also provides a credit of 50 percent, up to \$30.00, for one-time connection on a single line of service, free toll blocking, and a deferred payment schedule for charges to establish service on which the consumer does not pay interest. This is provided solely through

federal support, although the NUSF does cover administrative costs; and eligibility is based on the same criteria list above. Federal support is now available to low- income consumers living on tribal lands up to an additional \$25.00 per month. This increased support cannot bring the basic local exchange rate below \$1.00 per month. Additionally, federal support of up to \$100 is available to consumers living on tribal lands to reduce the initial connections and line extension charges. Further, eligibility criteria for consumers living in tribal areas has been expanded to include the following additional federal assistance programs:

- 1) Bureau of Indian Affairs General Assistance;
- 2) Tribally-administered Temporary Assistance for Needy Families;
- 3) Head Start (only those meeting its income qualifying standard); or
- 4) National School Lunch Program's free lunch program.

Currently, approximately 27,000 Nebraskans are enrolled in the NTAP program and receive support from the NUSF. This represents an increase of 13% since June 2005. The Commission is currently working on a cooperative effort with Health and Human Services to mail pre-approved NTAP applications to persons that are currently enrolled in the Medicaid, Food Stamps and Childrens Health Insurance programs but not enrolled in the NTAP. Since September 2003, 100,000 pre-approved applications have been mailed to eligible persons. More than 15,000 of those applications have been returned and processed.

The Commission continues to work with Health and Human Services (HHS) offices, area aging agencies, housing authorities and others across the state to provide information about the NTAP.

# **Nebraska Telephone Assistance Program Implementation**

The following is a statistical summary of applications processed for each fiscal year ending June 30 since program inception (January 1, 1998):

	Fiscal Y	ear Total	Cumulati	ve to Date
	Total	One-Time	Total	One-Time
	Applications	Connection	Applications	Connection
	Processed	Applications	Processed	Applications
FY 97-98	11,355	435	11,355	435
FY 98-99	4,294	798	15,649	1,233
FY 99-00	4,607	829	20,256	2,062
FY 00-01	3,851	1,716	24,107	3,778
FY 01-02	3,726	1,594	27,833	5,372
FY 02-03	4,117	1,989	31,950	7,361
FY 03-04	7,298	2,226	39,248	9,587
FY 04-05	8,102	4,357	47,350	13,944
FY 05-06	7,460	629	54,810	14,573

#### 2. Wireless E911 Fund

#### What is Wireless 9-1-1?

In most areas of North America, citizens have basic or enhanced 9-1-1 service from their landline, or wireline, phones in their homes or workplaces. Basic 9-1-1 means that when someone dials 9-1-1, no location or identifying information is automatically transmitted. This information must be communicated by the calling party to the call taker/dispatcher in the local public safety answering point (PSAP) or 9-1-1 center. In areas with Enhanced 9-1-1, the telecommunications network transmits location or identifying information automatically and the local 9-1-1 center has equipment and database information that allow the call taker to see the caller's phone number and address on a display. This allows the 9-1-1 center to quickly dispatch emergency help, even if the caller is unable to communicate where they are or what the emergency is.

#### Three Phases of Wireless 9-1-1

There are three phases of Wireless 9-1-1. The most basic of these, sometimes called "Wireless Phase 0," simply means that when you dial 9-1-1 from your cell phone a call taker at a public safety answering point (PSAP) answers. The call taker may be at a state highway patrol PSAP, at a city or county PSAP up to hundreds of miles away, or at a local PSAP, depending on how the wireless 9-1-1 call is routed. The call taker doesn't receive the callback phone number or the location of the caller. This presents life threatening problems due to lost response time, if callers are unable to speak or don't know where they are, or if they don't know their wireless phone callback number and the call is dropped.

Wireless Phase I is the first step in providing better emergency response service to wireless 9-1-1 callers. When Phase I has been implemented, a wireless 9-1-1 call will come into the PSAP with the wireless phone's call-back number and the location of the wireless tower that receives the call. This allows the PSAP to determine the general location of the calling party usually, within a few square miles. This is important in the event the cell phone call is dropped, and may even allow PSAP employees to work with the wireless company to identify the wireless subscriber.

To more effectively locate wireless 9-1-1 callers, Phase II must be implemented by local 9-1-1 systems and wireless carriers. Phase II allows call-takers to receive both the caller's wireless phone number and their specific location by latitude and longitude.

## 9-1-1 Technologies

Wireless carriers have two types of location technologies available to choose from. They are network-based and handset-based. Of the carriers offering service in Nebraska, Alltel, Cricket, Nextel, Sprint PCS, US Cellular and Verizon utilize a handset-based solution. Cingular, I Wireless, Pinpoint Wireless, T-Mobile and Viaero utilize a network-based solution.

When a wireless phone is turned on, whether or not it is being used, it periodically transmits signals so the wireless network knows where to deliver calls. With the network-based solution, special radio intercept equipment is installed on the cellular towers. When a call is placed, the towers can compare signals from any active cell phone and pinpoint the call using triangulation (the difference in time between the arrival of the signal at different receiving stations or by the signal's angle of arrival at each tower.) It takes at least three towers to get a good location. This solution is called network-based because the signal measurements and location calculations are performed in the cellular network.

The handset-based solution utilizes a cell phone equipped with Global Positioning System (GPS) equipment that can measure the time of arrival of signals transmitted from GPS satellites in order to calculate its position.

Carriers that use network-based technologies are required to provide location information that is accurate to within 100 meters 67% of the time and accurate within 300 meters 95% of the time. Carriers that use handset-based technologies must provide greater location accuracy: 50 meter accuracy 67% of the time and 150 meter accuracy 95% of the time.

Once a carrier using a handset-based technology receives a Phase II request, they have six months to install the necessary equipment and begin delivering the service to the requesting PSAP. Carriers using network-based solutions must provide Phase II for at least 50 percent of the PSAP's coverage area or population within six months after the request and for 100 percent of the PSAP's coverage area within 18 months.

#### Wireless E9-1-1 in Nebraska

Effective July 1, 2001, a 50-cent surcharge has been collected for each subscriber with a billing address in Nebraska. Wireless carriers remit the surcharge to the Public Service Commission 60 days after the last day of the month. From July 2005 through June 2006 the fund collected \$6,407,924 and paid out \$4,516,384.

#### Legislative Changes: LB 1222 [2006]

LB 1222, passed by the Legislature this past session, made significant changes to the Enhanced Wireless 911 Services Act. Those changes include:

- Requiring the collection of the enhanced wireless 911 surcharge by prepaid wireless carriers effective July 1, 2007.
- Reporting requirements for wireless carriers
- Raising the surcharge cap for all but Douglas County from \$.50 to \$.70.
- Allowing the Commission to establish a mechanism for determining levels of funding and giving the Commission discretion to pay less than 100% cost recovery to PSAPs and wireless carriers.
- Allowing the Commission to designate a county to receive wireless E911 calls for a county that is not enhanced.

The bill contained an emergency clause and was effective April 13, 2006. The Commission is currently in the process of implementing the provisions of LB 1222.

#### Status of 911 in Nebraska

There have been a total of 57 counties that have implemented Phase I. Of those 57, there are four counties who have also implemented Phase II. There are 11 counties with Basic 911, 12 counties in the process of implementing E911, and 12 counties who are enhanced 911 awaiting Wireless 911 Phase I implementation.

#### Status of GIS Data in Nebraska

At this time, the Commission has approved funding for the following counties based upon their selected vendor of choice:

#### **GIS Workshop:**


Buffalo, Cass, Cedar, Chase, Cheyenne, Clay, Cuming, Custer, Dawes-Sioux, Dodge, Fillmore, Hamilton, Howard, Kearney, Keith, Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, Logan, McPherson, Kimball, Nemaha, Perkins and Seward.

#### GeoComm:

Antelope, Boone, Box Butte, Boyd-Holt, Colfax, Dakota, Dawson-Gosper, Furnas, Gage, Harlan-Phelps, Jefferson, Lincoln, Merrick, Platte, Polk, Red Willow, Saline, Scottsbluff-Banner, Stanton, and Washington.

The following two pages show the status of 911 in Nebraska and the status of the building of GIS data for the state.


# A. Status of E911



Red: Phase I Implemented Purple: Phase I and II Implemented Fuchsia: Implementing Phase I and/or Phase II Green: E911, Ready to proceed to Phase I Gray: E911 Implemented, No cell tower coverage

Yellow: Implementing E911 Blue: Basic 911

# **B. Status GIS Data**



Blue: GIS Workshop – Has PSC Approval Dark Blue: GIS Workshop/Data Layers Completed Green: GeoComm – Has PSC Approval Dark Green: GeoComm/Data Layers Completed Red: GIS Data Complete Privately Yellow: Basic 911, no activity White: Unknown activity

# **Summary of Significant Dockets Relating to Enhanced Wireless 911**

The following is a description of significant pending dockets related to enhanced wireless 911.

Docket 911-016/PI-114: In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, on its own motion, to investigate issues associated with implementing landline enhanced 911 in counties with basic landline 911.

The Commission opened this docket to investigate costs associated with implementing landline enhanced 911 in counties with basic landline 911.

Docket 911-017/PI-116: In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, on its own motion, to establish interim policies for the Administration of the Enhanced Wireless 911 Fund pending Implementation of LB 1222 [2006].

The full implementation of LB 1222 will require significant time and multiple workshops and hearings. In order to ensure that the implementation of enhanced wireless 911 would continue pending that implementation, the Commission opened this docket to establish interim policies for the administration of the Enhanced Wireless 911 Fund. Staff has released a proposed policy and is currently seeking comments from interested parties.

Docket 911-018/PI-117: In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, on its own motion, seeking to establish audit requirements related to the Wireless Enhanced 911 Fund.

In response to suggestions offered during the most recent state audit, this docket was opened to establish audit requirements related to the Wireless Enhanced 911 Fund and wireless carriers. Staff has proposed a policy and is seeking comments from all interested parties.

Docket 911-019/PI-118: In the Matter of the Nebraska Public Service Commission, on its own motion, to implement provisions of LB 1222 [2006] and to establish a permanent funding mechanism for wireless enhanced 911 service.

The Commission opened this docket to implement provisions of LB 1222 [2006] and to establish a permanent funding mechanism for wireless enhanced 911 service. This docket is likely to require numerous workshops and hearings.

#### C.) The following table is Wireline E911 Information Calendar Year 2005:

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Ayr	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Adams County/Hastings		8	\$4.00	
Glenvil	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Adams County/Hastings	Adams County	15	\$7.50	
Hansen	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Adams County/Hastings	Adams County	259	\$129.50	
Hastings	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Adams County/Hastings	Adams County	11,653	\$5,826.50	
Hastings	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$0.50	Adams County/Hastings	Adams County	26	\$13.00	
Hastings	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Adams County/Hastings		225	\$163.50	
Juniata	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Adams County/Hastings	Adams County	436	\$218.00	
Juniata	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Adams County/Hastings		31	\$15.50	
Kenesaw	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Adams County/Hastings	Adams County	406	\$203.00	
Kenesaw	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$0.50	Adams County/Hastings	Adams County	4	\$2.00	
Kenesaw	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Adams County/Hastings		25	\$12.50	
Prosser	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Adams County/Hastings		3	\$1.50	\$6,596.50
Alliance	1-800-Reconex			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		1	\$0.50	\$2,934.71
Alliance	Allo Communications			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		424	\$212.02	
Alliance	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.				\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		16	\$8.00	
Alliance	CAT Comm., Int'l			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		0	\$0.00	
Alliance	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		0	\$0.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Alliance	lonex			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		2	\$1.00	
Alliance	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		43	\$21.50	
Alliance	New Access			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		6	\$3.00	
Alliance	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		957	\$478.50	
Alliance	Orbitcom			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		102	\$51.00	
Alliance	Qwest			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte	Yes	3,455	\$1,727.66	
Berea	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		1	\$0.50	
Ellsworth	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		15	\$7.50	
Hemingford	Hemingford			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte	Hemingford Fire Dept.	860	\$421.03	
Hemingford	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		1	\$0.50	
Hemingford	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		3	\$1.50	
Lakeside	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Alliance PD/Alliance Box Butte		1	\$0.50	
Brunswick	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Antelope County/Neligh		231	\$168.00	\$3,497.02
Clearwater	Northeast Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Antelope County/Neligh	Antelope County Clearwater Rural Fire District Clearwater Village Holt County Wheeler County	466	\$463.52	
Elgin	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Antelope County/Neligh	Antelope, Boone, Wheeler	727	\$727.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Neligh	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Antelope County/Neligh		1,293	\$996.00	
Neligh	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Antelope County/Neligh		12	\$6.00	
Oakdale	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Antelope County/Neligh	Antelope	189	\$189.00	
Orchard	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Antelope County/Neligh		504	\$372.00	
Orchard	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Antelope County/Neligh		1	\$0.50	
Tilden	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Antelope County/Neligh		711	\$575.00	
Albion	Citizens			Χ	\$1.00	Boone County/Albion		1,610	\$1,386.00	
Albion	NT&T			Χ	\$0.50	Boone County/Albion		49	\$24.50	
Cedar Rapids	Great Plains			Χ	\$1.00	Boone County/Albion	Boone	347	\$347.00	
Petersburg	Great Plains			Χ	\$1.00	Boone County/Albion	Boone	416	\$413.00	
Primrose	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Boone County/Albion	Boone	102	\$102.00	
Saint Edward	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	İ	Boone, Nance, Platte, Antelope, Greeley	601	\$598.00	\$2,272.50
Johnstown	Three River Telco	Χ			N/A	Brown County/Ainsworth		123	\$0.00	
Long Pine	Northeast Nebraska	Х			N/A	Brown County/Ainsworth	Brown County	0	\$0.00	
Springview	Three River Telco	Х			N/A	Brown County/Ainsworth	Springview Fire Dept	442	\$0.00	\$0.00
Amherst	Citizens			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		329	\$174.20	\$11,773.95
Amherst	NT&T			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		3	\$1.95	
Elm Creek	Allo Communications			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		8	\$5.20	
Elm Creek	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		4	\$2.60	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Elm Creek	lonex			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		1	\$0.65	
Elm Creek	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		22	\$14.30	
Elm Creek	New Access			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		1	\$0.65	
Elm Creek	NT&T			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		169	\$109.85	
Elm Creek	Qwest			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney	Yes	429	\$279.12	
Gibbon	Nebraska Central			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney	None	1,200	\$780.27	
Gibbon	NT&T			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		1	\$0.65	
Kearney	ALLTEL-MIDWEST			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		1,402	\$911.30	
Kearney	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		1	\$0.65	
Kearney	Citizens			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		17,028	\$7,492.55	
Kearney	lonex			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		0	\$0.00	
Kearney	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Buffalo County/Kearney		0	\$0.00	
Kearney	NT&T			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		384	\$249.60	
Kearney	Pinpoint Comm., Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Buffalo County/Kearney		0	\$0.00	
Kearny	New Access			Х	\$0.50	Buffalo County/Kearney		0	\$0.00	
Miller	Citizens			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		132	\$74.10	
Miller	NT&T			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		5	\$3.25	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Minden	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Buffalo County/Kearney		2	\$2.00	
Odessa	NT&T			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		18	\$11.70	
Pleasanton	Citizens			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		403	\$221.00	
Pleasanton	NT&T			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		5	\$3.25	
Ravenna	Nebraska Central			Х	\$0.65	, ,	None	1,049	\$681.56	
Riverdale	Citizens			Х		Buffalo County/Kearney		383	\$248.30	
Riverdale	NT&T			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		2	\$1.30	
Shelton	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		1	\$0.65	
Shelton	Nebraska Central			Х		, ,	None	677	\$440.25	
Shelton	NT&T			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		3	\$1.95	
Sodtown	Sodtown			Х	\$0.65	Buffalo County/Kearney		94	\$61.10	
Ainsworth	Orbitcom Three River Comm.,			X	none	Burt County/Tekamah		18	\$0.00	\$3,338.73
Ainsworth	LLC			Х	\$0.00	Burt County/Tekamah		944	\$0.00	
Craig	Northeast Nebraska			X	\$0.50		Burt County Craig Rural Protection District Craig Village Washington County	273	\$272.42	
Craig	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Burt County/Tekamah		2	\$2.00	
Decatur	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Burt County/Tekamah		1	\$1.00	
Decatur	NT&T			Χ	\$1.00	Burt County/Tekamah		7	\$7.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Lyons	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1 <u>0</u> 0	Burt County/Tekamah		1	\$1.00	
Lyons	McLeodUSA			X		Burt County/Tekamah		7	\$7.00	
Lyons	New Access			X		Burt County/Tekamah		0	\$0.00	
Lyons	NT&T			X		Burt County/Tekamah		161	\$161.00	
Lyons	Qwest			X		Burt County/Tekamah	Yes	575	\$574.59	
Oakland	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х		Burt County/Tekamah		3	\$3.00	
Oakland	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Burt County/Tekamah		8	\$8.00	
Oakland	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Burt County/Tekamah		2	\$2.00	
Oakland	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Burt County/Tekamah		228	\$228.00	
Oakland	Qwest			Χ	\$1.00	Burt County/Tekamah	Yes	721	\$721.40	
Tekamah	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Burt County/Tekamah		3	\$3.00	
Tekamah	HunTel CableVision dba HunTel Communications			X	\$1.00	Burt County/Tekamah	Burt County	678	\$681.93	
Tekamah	McLeodUSA			Х		Burt County/Tekamah	,	7	\$7.00	
Tekamah	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Burt County/Tekamah		1	\$1.00	
Tekamah	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Burt County/Tekamah		111	\$111.00	
Tekemah	Qwest			Χ	\$1.00	Burt County/Tekamah	Yes	546	\$546.39	
Abie	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		4	\$4.00	\$3,859.02
Bellwood	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		328	\$328.00	
Bellwood	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		15	\$15.00	
Brainard	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		339	\$339.00	
Brainard	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		12	\$12.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Bruno	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		148	\$148.00	
Bruno	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		8	\$8.00	
David City	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		1,552	\$1,552.00	
David City	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		1	\$1.00	
David City	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		125	\$125.00	
Decatur	Northeast Nebraska			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City	Burt County	395	\$391.33	
Dwight	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		162	\$162.00	
Dwight	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		7	\$7.00	
Garrison	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		1	\$1.00	
Linwood	Northeast Nebraska			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City	Butler County Saunders County	106	\$104.16	
Linwood	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		7	\$7.00	
Octavia	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		86	\$86.00	
Octavia	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		2	\$2.00	
Rising City	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		264	\$264.00	
Rising City	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		16	\$16.00	
Surprise	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		70	\$70.00	
Surprise	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David City		5	\$5.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Ulysses	Clarks			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David Citv		210	\$209.53	
Ulysses	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Butler County/David		2	\$2.00	
Alvo	NT&T			Х		Cass County/Plattsmouth		6	\$6.00	\$8,862.00
Avoca	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		200	\$200.00	
Avoca	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		9	\$9.00	
Cedar Creek	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		7	\$7.00	
Eagle	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		0	\$0.00	
Eagle	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		22	\$22.00	
Elmwood	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		399	\$399.00	
Elmwood	Fast Phones			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		1	\$1.00	
Elmwood	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		17	\$17.00	
Greenwood	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		279	\$279.00	
Greenwood	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		25	\$25.00	
Louisville	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		1,077	\$1,077.00	
Louisville	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		1	\$1.00	
Louisville	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		29	\$29.00	
Manley	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		5	\$5.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Murdock	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		263	\$263.00	
Murdock	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		16	\$16.00	
Murray	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		1,136	\$1,136.00	
Murray	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		13	\$13.00	
Nehawka	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		200	\$200.00	
Nehawka	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		14	\$14.00	
Newaka	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		1	\$1.00	
Plattsmouth	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		3,915	\$3,915.00	
Plattsmouth	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		4	\$4.00	
Plattsmouth	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		2	\$2.00	
Plattsmouth	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		147	\$147.00	
South Bend	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		1	\$1.00	
Union	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		327	\$327.00	
Union	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		1	\$1.00	
Union	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		12	\$12.00	
Weeping Water	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		700	\$700.00	
Weeping Water	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cass County/Plattsmouth		33	\$33.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Belden	Eastern			Х	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington	Cedar County	101	\$101.00	\$4,846.01
Cedar	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington	Cedar	1	\$1.00	
Coleridge	Northeast Nebraska			X		Cedar County/Hartington Cedar County/Hartington	Cedar County Coleridge Rural Fire District Coleridge Village	438	\$435.12	
Concord	INT&T					Cedar		0	\$0.00	
Crofton Cedar	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	County/Hartington	Cedar	172	\$171.00	
Dixon-Concord	Northeast Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington	Cedar County Concord Rural Fire District Concord Village Dixon County Dixon Rural Fire District Dixon Village Wayne County	277	\$272.55	
Hartington	Hartington			X	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington		1,445	\$1,445.00	
Hartington	NT&T			X		Cedar County/Hartington		1,440	\$1.00	
Laurel	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington		2	\$2.00	
Laurel	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington		1	\$1.00	
Laurel	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington		4	\$4.00	
Laurel	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington		201	\$201.00	
Laurel	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington	Yes	603	\$603.33	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Laurel	New Access			X	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington		1	\$1.00	
McClean	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington		12	\$12.00	
Obert/Maskell	Northeast Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington	Cedar County Dixon County Maskell Village Newcastle Rural Fire District Obert Village Wynot Rural Fire District	113	\$112.26	
Randolph	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington		4	\$4.00	
Randolph	McLeodUSA			X		Cedar County/Hartington		5	\$5.00	
Randolph	New Access			Х		Cedar County/Hartington		1	\$1.00	
Randolph	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington		3	\$3.00	
Randolph	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington		215	\$215.00	
Randolph	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington	Yes	522	\$521.75	
Wausa (Cedar County)	Great Plains			Χ	\$1.00	Cedar County/Hartington	Cedar	83	\$83.00	
Wynot (Fordyce, St Helena)	Great Plains			Х		Cedar County/Hartington	Cedar, Fordyce, St Helena	630	\$650.00	
Chambers	K&M Telephone			Х	\$0.50	Chambers Firebar	Chambers Village & Chambers Rural Fire District	431	\$213.93	\$213.93
Imperial	Great Plains			X	\$1.00	Chase County/Imperial	Chase	1,903	\$1,984.00	\$3,160.00
Wauneta	Wauneta			Х	\$1.00	Chase County/Imperial		588	\$588.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Wauneta	Wauneta Telephone Company			Х	\$1.00	Chase County/Imperial		588	\$588.00	
Cody & North Cody	Great Plains	X			N/A	Cherry County/Valentine	Cherry	222	\$0.00	
Crokston & North Crookston, SD	Great Plains	Х			N/A	Cherry County/Valentine	None	148	\$0.00	
Kilgore & North Kilgore, SD	Great Plains	X			N/A	Cherry County/Valentine	Cherry	111	\$0.00	
Merriman	Great Plains	X			N/A	Cherry County/Valentine	Cherry	157	\$0.00	
Valentine	Allo Communications	X			\$1.00	Cherry County/Valentine		0	\$0.00	
Valentine	NorthStar Telecom	X			\$1.00	Cherry County/Valentine		1	\$1.00	
Valentine	Orbitcom	X			\$1.00	Cherry County/Valentine		26	\$26.00	
Valentine	Trinsic	Х			\$1.00	Cherry County/Valentine		1	\$1.00	
Woodlake	Great Plains	Х			N/A	Cherry County/Valentine	Cherry	104	\$0.00	\$28.00
Dalton	Dalton			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney		313	\$313.00	\$5,165.36
Gurley	Dalton			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney		203	\$203.00	
Gurley	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney		1	\$1.00	
Gurley	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney		4	\$4.00	
Lodgepole	Dalton			Х		Cheyenne County/Sidney		300	\$300.00	
Lodgepole	McLeodUSA			Х		Cheyenne County/Sidney		1	\$1.00	
Lodgepole	NT&T			Х		Cheyenne County/Sidney		14	\$14.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Potter	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney		4	\$4.00	
Sidney	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney		149	\$149.47	
Sidney	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney		4	\$4.00	
Sidney	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney		7	\$7.00	
Sidney	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney		40	\$40.00	
Sidney	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney		6	\$6.00	
Sidney	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney		859	\$859.00	
Sidney	Orbitcom			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney		145	\$145.00	
Sidney	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney	Yes	3,110	\$3,109.89	
Sunol	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney		1	\$1.00	
Sunol	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cheyenne County/Sidney		4	\$4.00	
Clay Center	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Clay County/Clay Center		454	\$454.00	\$2,854.00
Clay Center	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Clay County/Clay Center		19	\$9.50	
Deweese	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Clay County/Clay Center		101	\$101.00	
Deweese	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Clay County/Clay Center		11	\$5.50	
Edgar	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Clay County/Clay Center		299	\$299.00	
Edgar	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Clay County/Clay Center		18	\$9.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Fairfield	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Clay County/Clay Center		261	\$261.00	
Fairfield	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Clay County/Clay Center		18	\$9.00	
Glenvil	ALLTEL			X	\$1.00	Clay County/Clay Center		258	\$258.00	
Glenvil	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Clay County/Clay Center		25	\$12.50	
Harvard	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Clay County/Clay Center		426	\$426.00	
Harvard	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$1.00	Clay County/Clay Center		1	\$1.00	
Harvard	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Clay County/Clay Center		35	\$17.50	
Inland	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Clay County/Clay Center		3	\$1.50	
Ong	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Clay County/Clay Center		52	\$52.00	
Ong	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Clay County/Clay Center		3	\$1.50	
Saronville	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Clay County/Clay Center		1	\$0.50	
Sutton	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Clay County/Clay Center		913	\$913.00	
Sutton	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Clay County/Clay Center		44	\$22.00	
Trumbull	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Clay County/Clay Center		1	\$0.50	
Clarkson	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	<u> </u>	Colfax County/Schuyler		4	\$4.00	\$4,074.24
Clarkson	lonex			Х	<del></del> \$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		7	\$7.00	
Clarkson	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		5	\$5.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Clarkson	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		1	\$1.00	
Clarkson	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		195	\$195.00	
Clarkson	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler	Yes	327	\$326.90	
Howells	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		20	\$20.00	
Howells	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		8	\$8.00	
Howells	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		177	\$177.00	
Howells	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler	Yes	312	\$311.84	
Leigh	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		531	\$349.00	
Richland	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		1	\$1.00	
Richland	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		1	\$1.00	
Richland	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		6	\$6.00	
Rogers	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		1	\$1.00	
Rogers	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		2	\$2.00	
Rogers	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		20	\$20.00	
Schuyler	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		1	\$1.00	
Schuyler	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		58	\$58.00	
Schuyler	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		41	\$41.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Schuyler	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler		1	\$1.00	
Schuyler	NT&T			Х		Colfax County/Schuyler		476	\$476.00	
Schuyler	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Colfax County/Schuyler	Yes	2,062	\$2,061.50	
Bancroft	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00		Cuming, Dodge, Thurston, Stanton, Wayne, Burt	448	\$449.00	
Bancroft	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cuming County/West Point		1	\$1.00	
Beemer	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Cuming County/West	Cuming, Dodge, Thurston, Stanton, Wayne, Burt	516	\$516.00	
Beemer	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cuming County/West Point		3	\$3.00	
West Point	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Cuming County/West Point		2	\$2.00	
West Point	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Cuming County/West Point		3	\$3.00	
West Point	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Cuming County/West Point		566	\$566.00	
West Point	Orbitcom			Х	\$1.00	Cuming County/West Point		1	\$1.00	
Westpoint	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Cuming County/West Point	Yes	1,742	\$1,742.22	
Wisner	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Cuming County/West Point	Cuming	1,049	\$1,050.00	
Wisner	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Cuming County/West Point		1	\$1.00	\$4,334.22
Anselmo	Consolidated Telephone Co.			Х	\$1.00	Custer County/Broken Bow	Blaine Cty	218	\$219.67	\$5,318.16
Anselmo	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Custer County/Broken Bow		1	\$0.50	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Arnold	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Custer County/Broken Bow	None	605	\$605.00	
Berwyn	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Custer County/Broken Bow		5	\$2.50	
Broken Bow	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Custer County/Broken Bow		103	\$103.87	
Broken Bow	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Custer County/Broken Bow		21	\$21.00	
Broken Bow	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Custer County/Broken Bow		439	\$219.50	
Broken Bow	Orbitcom			Х	\$1.00	Custer County/Broken Bow		4	\$4.00	
Broken Bow	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Custer County/Broken Bow	Yes	2,248	\$2,248.32	
Brokenbow	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Custer County/Broken Bow		1	\$1.00	
Callaway	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Custer County/Broken Bow	None	539	\$539.00	
Callaway	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Custer County/Broken Bow		2	\$1.00	
Comstock	Nebraska Central			Х	\$1.00	Custer County/Broken Bow	Villages of Sargent, Comstock & Mason City	107	\$106.58	
Mason City	Nebraska Central			Х	\$1.00	Custer County/Broken Bow	Villages of Sargent, Comstock & Mason City	188	\$187.90	
Merna	Consolidated Telephone Co.			Х	\$1.00	Custer County/Broken Bow		363	\$358.40	
Oconto	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Custer County/Broken Bow	Custer, Dawson	192	\$194.00	
Sargent	Nebraska Central			X	\$1.00	Custer County/Broken Bow	Villages of Sargent, Comstock & Mason City	505	\$504.92	
Sargent	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Custer County/Broken Bow		1	\$0.50	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Weissert	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Custer County/Broken Bow		1	\$0.50	
Dakota City	1-800-Reconex			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		134	\$134.00	\$8,390.70
Dakota City	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		13	\$13.00	
Dakota City	CAT Comm., Int'l			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		0	\$0.00	
Dakota City	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		2	\$2.00	
Dakota City	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		5	\$5.00	
Dakota City	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		133	\$133.00	
Dakota City/South Sioux City	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City	Yes	4,417	\$4,417.41	
Emerson	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		1	\$1.00	
Emerson	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		2	\$2.00	
Emerson	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		1	\$1.00	
Emerson	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		9	\$9.00	
Emerson	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		144	\$144.00	
Emerson	Qwest			Х	<del></del> \$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City	Yes	438	\$437.86	
Homer	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		19	\$19.00	
Homer	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		4	\$4.00	
Homer	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		87	\$87.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Homer	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City	Yes	297	\$297.02	
Hubbard	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		4	\$4.00	
Hubbard	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		1	\$1.00	
Hubbard	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		40	\$40.00	
Jackson-Hubbard	Northeast Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City	Dakota-Covington Rural Fire District Dakota County Emerson Rural Fire District Homer Rural Fire District Hubbard Village Jackson Village Ponca Rural Fire District	750	\$749.38	
Sioux City	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		0	\$0.00	
So. Sioux City	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		11	\$11.00	
So. Sioux City	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		88	\$88.00	
So. Sioux City	Trinsic			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		0	\$0.00	
South Sioux City	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		113	\$113.00	
South Sioux City	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		22	\$22.00	
South Sioux City	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		24	\$24.00	
South Sioux City	NT&T			х	\$1.00	Dakota County/South Sioux City		742	\$742.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
South Sioux City/Dakota City	Fibercomm			Х		Dakota County/South Sioux City		890	\$890.03	
Chadron	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		78	\$78.37	\$4,193.86
Chadron	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		6	\$6.00	
Chadron	Ionex			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		8	\$8.00	
Chadron	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		24	\$24.00	
Chadron	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		2	\$2.00	
Chadron	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		756	\$756.00	
Chadron	Orbitcom			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		60	\$60.00	
Chadron	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron	Yes	2,255	\$2,255.06	
Chadron	Trinsic			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		0	\$0.00	
Crawford	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		12	\$12.00	
Crawford	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		1	\$1.00	
Crawford	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		5	\$5.00	
Crawford	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		322	\$322.00	
Crawford/ Whitney	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron	Yes	470	\$470.29	
Harrison	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		8	\$8.00	
Harrison	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron	Yes	126	\$126.14	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Marsland	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		10	\$10.00	
So. Oelrichs	Golden West Telecommunications Coop., Inc.			Х	\$0.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron	No formal agreement Calls are routed to Hot Springs, SD	0	\$0.00	
Whitney	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		2	\$2.00	
Whitney	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		1	\$1.00	
Whitney	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dawes-Chadron PD/Chadron		47	\$47.00	
Brady	Consolidated Telecom, Inc			X	\$1.00	Dawson County/Gothenburg		471	\$458.45	\$9,591.99
Gothenburg	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Gothenburg		86	\$86.20	
Gothenburg	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Gothenburg		0	\$0.00	
Gothenburg	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Gothenburg		35	\$35.00	
Gothenburg	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Gothenburg	Yes	1,441	\$1,441.46	
Cozad	Cozad			Х	\$0.50	Dawson County/Lexington	None	2,639	\$1,319.50	
Cozad	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		27	\$27.00	
Eddyville	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington	Custer, Dawson	93	\$94.00	
Elwood	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		1	\$1.00	
Elwood	McLeodUSA			Х		Dawson County/Lexington		15	\$15.00	
Elwood	NT&T			Х		Dawson County/Lexington		244	\$244.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Gothenburg	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		490	\$490.00	
Johnson Lake	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		12	\$12.00	
Lexington	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		77	\$77.93	
Lexington	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		16	\$16.00	
Lexington	lonex			Х	\$0.50	Dawson County/Lexington		0	\$0.00	
Lexington	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		2	\$2.00	
Lexington	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		62	\$62.00	
Lexington	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		5	\$5.00	
Lexington	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		371	\$371.00	
Lexington	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		1	\$1.00	
Lexington	Orbitcom			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		193	\$193.00	
Lexington	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington	Yes	4,003	\$4,002.60	
Lexington	Trinsic			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		2	\$2.00	
Overton	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		3	\$3.00	
Overton	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		6	\$6.00	
Overton	Arapahoe			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		441	\$436.85	
Smithfield	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		1	\$1.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Smithfield	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Dawson County/Lexington		19	\$19.00	
Sumner	Citizens			X		Dawson County/Lexington		195	\$166.00	
Sumner	NT&T			Х		Dawson County/Lexington		4	\$4.00	
Allen	Ionex			Х	\$1.00	Dixon County/Ponca		2	\$2.00	\$2,264.58
Allen	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Dixon County/Ponca		2	\$2.00	
Allen	Northeast Nebraska			Х		Dixon County/Ponca	Dakota County Dixon County Village of Allen Allen Fire Department	312	\$310.84	
Allen	NT&T			Χ	\$1.00	Dixon County/Ponca		6	\$6.00	
Concord	NT&T			Χ	\$1.00	Dixon County/Ponca		1	\$11.00	
Dixon-Concord	Northeast Nebraska			×	\$1.00	Dixon County/Ponca	Cedar County Concord Rural Fire District Concord Village Dixon County Dixon Rural Fire District Dixon Village Wayne County	4	\$4.87	
Martinsburg	Northeast Nebraska			Х	\$1.00	Dixon County/Ponca	Dixon County Martinsburg Village Martinsburg Rural Fire District	91	\$91.00	
Newcastle	Northeast Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Dixon County/Ponca	Dixon County Newcastle Village Newcastle Rural Fire District	325	\$325.87	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Obert/Maskell	Northeast Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Dixon County/Ponca	Cedar County Dixon County Maskell Village Newcastle Rural Fire District Obert Village Wynot Rural Fire District	2	\$2.00	
Ponca	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Dixon County/Ponca	Dixon	701	\$725.00	
Wakefield	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х		Dixon County/Ponca		0	\$0.00	
Wakefield	Ionex			Х		Dixon County/Ponca		0	\$0.00	
Wakefield	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Dixon County/Ponca		13	\$13.00	
Wakefield	Qwest			Χ	\$1.00	Dixon County/Ponca	Yes	677	\$677.00	
Waterbury	McLeodUSA			Χ	\$1.00	Dixon County/Ponca		1	\$1.00	
Waterbury	Northeast Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Dixon County/Ponca	Dakota County Dixon County Village of Waterbury Allen Fire Department	90	\$90.00	
Waterbury	NT&T			Χ	\$1.00	Dixon County/Ponca		3	\$3.00	
Fremont	Qwest			Х	\$0.75	Dodge County/Fremont PD	NO	36	\$27.00	\$12,983.54
Ames	Allo Communications			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		1	\$1.00	
Ames	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		4	\$3.00	
Ames	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		8	\$6.00	
Ames	New Access			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		1	\$0.75	
Ames	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		5	\$3.75	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Ames	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		20	\$10.00	
Cedar Bluffs	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		20	\$10.00	
Dodge	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		1	\$0.75	
Dodge	Great Plains			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont	Dodge, Cuming, Colfax	584	\$438.75	
Dodge	lonex			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		1	\$0.75	
Dodge	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		2	\$1.50	
Dodge	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		9	\$4.50	
Fontanelle	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		2	\$1.00	
Fremont	1-800-Reconex			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		244	\$183.00	
Fremont	Allo Communications			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		4	\$3.00	
Fremont	ALLTEL-MIDWEST			Х	\$0.50	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		1,170	\$585.00	
Fremont	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		225	\$168.75	
Fremont	lonex			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		3	\$2.25	
Fremont	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		282	\$211.50	
Fremont	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		46	\$35.25	
Fremont	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		413	\$309.75	
Fremont	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		1,387	\$693.50	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Fremont	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		1	\$0.50	
Fremont	Qwest			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont	Yes	10,546	\$7,909.44	
Hooper	Hooper			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont	Dodge County	846	\$639.21	
Hooper	Hooper			Х	\$1.00	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont	Washington County	74	\$72.05	
Hooper	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		1	\$0.50	
Inglewood	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		4	\$3.00	
Leshara	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		1	\$0.75	
Nickerson	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		3	\$2.25	
Nickerson	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		3	\$2.25	
Nickerson	New Access			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		1	\$0.75	
Nickerson	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		8	\$6.00	
Nickerson	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		38	\$19.00	
Nickerson	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont		0	\$0.00	
North Bend	Great Plains			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont	Dodge, Cuming, Colfax	893	\$671.25	
Scribner	Great Plains			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont	Dodge, Cuming, Colfax	707	\$529.50	
Snyder	Great Plains			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont	Dodge, Cuming, Colfax	314	\$245.25	
Uehling	Hooper			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont	Burt County	12	\$8.54	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Uehling	Hooper			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont	Cuming County	11	\$7.80	
Uehling	Hooper			Х	\$0.75	Dodge-Fremont PD/Fremont	Dodge County	216	\$164.75	
Bellevue	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		46	\$23.00	\$133,296.02
Bennington	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		3	\$1.50	
Bennington	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		12	\$6.00	
Bennington	New Access			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		1	\$0.50	
Bennington	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		0	\$0.00	
Bennington	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		61	\$30.50	
Bennington	Qwest			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha	Yes	695	\$347.59	
Boys Town	lonex			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		0	\$0.00	
Boys Town	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		1	\$0.50	
Douglas County	Cox NE Telcom			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha	Douglas Co.	27,871	\$13,935.50	
Elkhorn	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		32	\$16.00	
Elkhorn	Cox NE Telcom			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha	Douglas Co.	2,671	\$1,335.50	
Elkhorn	lonex			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		0	\$0.00	
Elkhorn	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		28	\$14.00	
Elkhorn	New Access			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		8	\$6.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Elkhorn	NorthStar Telecom			X		Douglas County/Omaha		8	\$4.00	
Elkhorn	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		75	\$37.50	
Elkhorn/ Waterloo	Qwest			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha	Yes	2,233	\$1,116.59	
Fremont	ALLTEL-MIDWEST			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		1	\$0.50	
LaVista	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		15	\$7.50	
Omaha	1-800-Reconex			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		101	\$50.50	
Omaha	ALLTEL-MIDWEST			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		8,493	\$4,246.50	
Omaha	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		1,710	\$855.00	
Omaha	CAT Comm., Int'l			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		18	\$9.00	
Omaha	France Telecom Corporate Solutions L.L.C.			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha	Douglas Co.	72	\$0.00	
Omaha	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		208	\$104.00	
Omaha	lonex			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		84	\$42.00	
Omaha	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		402	\$201.00	
Omaha	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		4,901	\$2,450.50	
Omaha	New Access			Х		Douglas County/Omaha		255	\$128.50	
Omaha	NorthStar Telecom			Х		Douglas County/Omaha		686	\$343.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Omaha	NOS Communications			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		41	\$20.50	
Omaha	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		838	\$419.00	
Omaha	Orbitcom			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		145	\$72.50	
Omaha	Qwest			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha	No	2,341	\$1,170.50	
Omaha	TCG Omaha			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		2,737	\$1,368.50	
Omaha	Trinsic			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		44	\$22.00	
Omaha	Cox NE Telcom			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha	Douglas Co.	78,724	\$39,362.00	
Omaha/ Ralston/ Boys Town	Qwest			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha	Yes	89,551	\$63,813.52	
Papillion	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		12	\$6.00	
Ralston	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		31	\$15.50	
Ralston	Cox NE Telcom			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha	Douglas Co.	1,485	\$742.50	
Ralston	lonex			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		1	\$0.50	
Ralston	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		71	\$35.50	
Ralston	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		7	\$3.50	
Ralston	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		9	\$4.50	
Ralston	TCG Omaha			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		24	\$12.00	
Valley	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		4	\$2.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Valley	Cox NE Telcom			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha	Douglas Co.	290	\$145.00	
Valley	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		12	\$6.00	
Valley	New Access			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		1	\$0.50	
Valley	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		5	\$2.50	
Valley	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		93	\$46.50	
Valley	Qwest			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha	Yes	1,162	\$580.82	
Waterloo	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		16	\$8.00	
Waterloo	Cox NE Telcom			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha	Douglas Co.	171	\$85.50	
Waterloo	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		11	\$5.50	
Waterloo	New Access			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		1	\$0.50	
Waterloo	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		3	\$1.50	
Waterloo	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Douglas County/Omaha		61	\$30.50	
Benkelman	Benkelman	Х			\$0.00	Dundy County/Benkleman		1,025	\$0.00	
Benkelman	Benkelman	Х			\$0.00	Dundy County/Benkleman		0	\$0.00	\$0.00
Exeter	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		391	\$391.00	\$3,110.00
Exeter	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		22	\$22.00	
Fairmont	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		329	\$329.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Fairmont	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		23	\$23.00	
Geneva	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		1,500	\$1,500.00	
Geneva	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		68	\$68.00	
Grafton	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		98	\$98.00	
Grafton	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		10	\$10.00	
Milligan	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		203	\$203.00	
Milligan	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		35	\$35.00	
Ohiowa	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		108	\$108.00	
Ohiowa	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		10	\$10.00	
Shickley	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		293	\$293.00	
Shickley	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		19	\$19.00	
Strang	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Fillmore County/Geneva		1	\$1.00	
Bloomington	Citizens	Х			\$1.00	Franklin County/Franklin		112	\$96.00	\$1,129.00
Franklin	Citizens	Х			\$1.00	Franklin County/Franklin		876	\$680.00	
Franklin	NT&T	Х			<del></del> \$1.00	Franklin County/Franklin		3	\$3.00	
Franklin	Pinpoint Comm., Inc.	Х			\$1.00	Franklin County/Franklin		1	\$0.00	
Hildreth	Citizens	Х			\$1.00	Franklin County/Franklin		304	\$265.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Naponee	Citizens	Х			\$1.00	Franklin County/Franklin		115	\$85.00	
Curtis	Curtis	X				Frontier County/Curtis	Frontier Co.	781	\$765.61	
Eustis	Consolidated Telecom, Inc		ALI			Frontier County/Curtis		455	\$447.36	
Farnam	Arapahoe	Х			\$1.00	Frontier County/Curtis		191	\$190.30	
Indianola (Frontier Co)		Х				Frontier County/Curtis	None	31	\$31.00	
Maywood	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.	Х			\$1.00	Frontier County/Curtis		0	\$0.00	
Maywood	Consolidated Telco Inc	Х			\$1.00	Frontier County/Curtis		302	\$257.00	
Oxford	Qwest	Х			\$1.00	Frontier County/Curtis	Yes	286	\$285.93	
Wellfleet	Consolidated Telco Inc	Х			\$1.00	Frontier County/Curtis		179	\$150.93	\$2,128.13
Arapahoe	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		1	\$1.00	\$1,707.16
Arapahoe	Arapahoe			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		754	\$753.79	
Beaver City	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		476	\$405.00	
Beaver City	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		5	\$5.00	
Beaver City	Pinpoint Comm., Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		0	\$0.00	
Cambridge	Cambridge Telephone Co.			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		984	\$0.00	
Edison	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		0	\$0.00	
Edison	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		175	\$157.00	
Edison	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		3	\$3.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Edison	Pinpoint Comm., Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		2	\$0.00	
Hendley	Arapahoe			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		57	\$58.17	
Holbrook	Arapahoe			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		193	\$194.20	
Oxford	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		1	\$1.00	
Oxford	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		4	\$4.00	
Oxford	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		8	\$8.00	
Oxford	Pinpoint Comm., Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		155	\$0.00	
Wilsonville	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		140	\$117.00	
Wilsonville	Pinpoint Comm., Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Furnas County/Beaver City		7	\$0.00	
Adams	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		412	\$206.00	\$6,922.50
Adams	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		32	\$16.00	
Barneston	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		122	\$61.00	
Barneston	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		3	\$1.50	
Beatrice	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.75	Gage County/Beatrice		6,725	\$5,043.75	
Beatrice	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Gage County/Beatrice		0	\$0.00	
Beatrice	NT&T			Х	\$0.75	Gage County/Beatrice		351	\$263.25	
Blue Springs	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		16	\$8.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Clatonia	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		165	\$82.50	
Clatonia	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		8	\$4.00	
Cortland	ALLTEL			X	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		409	\$204.50	
Cortland	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		30	\$15.00	
Filley	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		149	\$74.50	
Filley	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		13	\$6.50	
Holmesville	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		2	\$1.00	
Liberty	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		122	\$61.00	
Liberty	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		7	\$3.50	
Odell	Diller			Х	\$0.75	Gage County/Beatrice	Jefferson County	303	\$227.75	
Pickrell	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		231	\$115.50	
Pickrell	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		20	\$10.00	
S. Barneston, KS	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		4	\$2.00	
S. Liberty, KS	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		3	\$1.50	
Virginia	Diller			Х	\$0.75	Gage County/Beatrice		85	\$63.75	
Wymore	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice	Gage County	846	\$423.00	
Wymore	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Gage County/Beatrice		54	\$27.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Lewellen (Garden Cnty)	EMBARQ	Х			\$1.00	Garden County/Oshkosh	Keith Cty	1169	\$1,169.49	\$1,169.49
Bertrand	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Gosper County/Hyannis		639	\$531.00	
Elwood	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Gosper County/Hyannis		7	\$7.00	
Elwood	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Gosper County/Hyannis		4	\$4.00	
Elwood	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Gosper County/Hyannis		1	\$1.00	
Smithfield	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Gosper County/Hyannis		0	\$0.00	\$543.00
Alba	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		1	\$1.00	\$23,286.49
Alda	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		9	\$9.00	
Alda	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		7	\$7.00	
Alda	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		1	\$1.00	
Alda	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		69	\$69.00	
Alda	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		1	\$1.00	
Aurora	Hamilton Telecommunications			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		2	\$2.00	
Aurora	Hamilton Telecommunications			X		Hall County/Grand Island		1	\$1.00	
Cairo	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		7	\$7.00	
Cairo	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		9	\$9.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Cairo	New Access			Х		Hall County/Grand Island		1	\$1.00	
Cairo	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		184	\$184.00	
Cairo	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island	Yes	324	\$323.91	
Doniphan	Hamilton Telecommunications			Х		Hall County/Grand Island		788	\$780.22	
Doniphan	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		2	\$2.00	
Doniphan	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		7	\$7.00	
Grand Island	1-800-Reconex			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		290	\$290.00	
Grand Island	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		10	\$10.00	
Grand Island	ALLTEL-MIDWEST			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		3,903	\$3,903.00	
Grand Island	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		282	\$282.00	
Grand Island	CAT Comm., Int'l			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		1	\$1.00	
Grand Island	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		16	\$16.00	
Grand Island	Lightyear Network Solutions			X	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		1	\$0.00	
Grand Island	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		286	\$286.00	
Grand Island	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		77	\$78.00	
Grand Island	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		208	\$208.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Grand Island	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		2,336	\$2,336.00	
Grand Island	Orbitcom			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		51	\$51.00	
Grand Island	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island	No	0	\$0.00	
Grand Island	Trinsic			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		12	\$12.00	
Grand Island/ Alda	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island	Yes	13,615	\$13,614.81	
Kearney	ALLTEL-MIDWEST			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		3	\$3.00	
Kenasaw	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		1	\$1.00	
Lexington	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		0	\$0.00	
North Platte	ALLTEL-MIDWEST			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		1	\$1.00	
Phillips	Hamilton Telecommunications			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		9	\$9.00	
Trumbull	Hamilton Telecommunications			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		3	\$3.00	
Wood River	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		4	\$4.00	
Wood River	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		5	\$5.00	
Wood River	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		2	\$2.00	
Wood River	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		18	\$18.00	
Wood River	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		0	\$0.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Wood River	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Hall County/Grand Island		2	\$2.00	
Wood River	NT&T			Х		Hall County/Grand Island		250	\$250.00	
Wood River	Qwest			Х		Hall County/Grand Island	Yes	495	\$494.56	
Woodriver	NT&T			Х		Hall County/Grand Island		1	\$1.00	
Aurora	Hamilton Telecommunications			Х		Hamilton County/Aurora		3,518	\$2,625.35	\$3,997.66
Aurora	Hamilton Telecommunications			Х		Hamilton County/Aurora		5	\$3.32	
Doniphan	Hamilton Telecommunications			Х		Hamilton County/Aurora		10	\$7.50	
Giltner	Hamilton Telecommunications			Х		Hamilton County/Aurora		320	\$237.54	
Hampton	Hamilton Telecommunications			Х	\$0.75	Hamilton County/Aurora		399	\$300.10	
Hordville	Hamilton Telecommunications			Х	\$0.75	Hamilton County/Aurora		135	\$101.41	
Marquette	Hamilton Telecommunications			Х		Hamilton County/Aurora		306	\$227.52	
Marquette	New Access			Х	\$0.75	Hamilton County/Aurora		1	\$0.75	
Marquette	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Hamilton County/Aurora		2	\$1.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Phillips	Hamilton Telecommunications			Х	\$0.75	Hamilton County/Aurora		436	\$325.23	
Stockham	Hamilton Telecommunications			Х	\$0.75	Hamilton County/Aurora		64	\$47.65	
Trumbull	Hamilton Telecommunications			Х	\$0.75	Hamilton County/Aurora		161	\$120.29	
Alma	Citizens			Χ	\$1.00	Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		920	\$665.00	\$5,459.29
Alma	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		36	\$36.00	
Alma	Pinpoint Comm., Inc.			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		32	\$0.00	
Atlanta	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		1	\$1.00	
Atlanta	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		14	\$14.00	
Atlanta	Pinpoint Comm., Inc.			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		16	\$0.00	
Atlanta	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege	Yes	59	\$58.75	
Bertrand	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		9	\$9.00	
Bertrand	Pinpoint Comm., Inc.			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		6	\$0.00	
Funk	Glenwood			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege	City of Holdrege	247	\$246.53	
Funk	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		0	\$0.00	
Holdrege	Allo Communications			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		145	\$145.33	
Holdrege	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		13		

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Holdrege	Ionex			Х	\$1.00	Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		2	\$2.00	
Holdrege	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		2	\$2.00	
Holdrege	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		721	\$721.00	
Holdrege	Pinpoint Comm., Inc.			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		76	\$0.00	
Holdrege	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege	Yes	2,431	\$2,431.27	
Huntley	Great Plains			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege	Harlan, Phelps	66	\$66.00	
Loomis	Arapahoe			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		306	\$303.41	
Loomis	NT&T			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		3	\$3.00	
Orleans	Citizens			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		337	\$286.00	
Orleans	NT&T			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		5	\$5.00	
Orleans	NT&T			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		2	\$2.00	
Oxford	NT&T			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		2	\$2.00	
Oxford	NT&T			Х	-	Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		87	\$87.00	
Republican City	Citizens			Х		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		265	\$217.00	
Republican City	NT&T			X		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		1	\$1.00	
Stamford	Citizens			X		Harlan-Phelps Counties/Holdrege		182	\$142.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Culbertson	Great Plains	Х			\$0.50	Hitchcock County/Trenton	None	551	\$274.50	
Culbertson	NT&T	X			\$0.50	Hitchcock County/Trenton		8	\$4.00	
Hayes Center	Great Plains	Х			\$0.50	Hitchcock County/Trenton	Hitchock	312	\$155.50	
Palisade	Great Plains	Х			\$0.50	Hitchcock County/Trenton	Hitchock	345	\$174.00	
Stratton	Great Plains	Х			\$0.50	Hitchcock County/Trenton	None	327	\$163.50	
Trenton	Great Plains	Х			\$0.50	Hitchcock County/Trenton	None	489	\$255.50	\$1,027.00
So. Bonesteel	Vivian Telephone Company			Х	\$0.00	Holt/Boyd/O'Neill	No formal agreement Calls are routed to Winner, SD	12	\$0.00	\$6,877.99
So. Burke	Vivian Telephone Company			X	\$0.00	Holt/Boyd/O'Neill	No formal agreement Calls are routed to Winner, SD	0	\$0.00	
Amelia	NT&T			Χ	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		2	\$2.00	
Atkinson	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		6	\$6.00	
Atkinson	McLeodUSA			Χ	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		9	\$9.00	
Atkinson	NT&T			Χ	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		448	\$448.00	
Atkinson	Qwest			Χ	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill	Yes	780	\$780.23	
Bristow	Northeast Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill	Boyd County Village of Bristow Bristow Rural Fire District	86	\$86.00	
Butte	Northeast Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill	Boyd County Village of Butte Butte Rural Fire Department	339	\$335.42	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Chambers	K&M Telephone			X	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill	Holt County, Boyd County, Atkinson Fire District, O'Neill Fire District, Ewing Fire District & Page Fire District.	14	\$14.00	
Clearwater	Northeast Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill	Antelope County Clearwater Rural Fire District Clearwater Village Holt County Wheeler County	4	\$4.00	
Emmet	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		3	\$3.00	
Emmet	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		22	\$22.00	
Ewing	Great Plains			Χ	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill	Holt	348	\$347.00	
Inman	K&M Telephone			X	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill	Holt County, Boyd County, Atkinson Fire District, O'Neill Fire District, Ewing Fire District & Page Fire District.	176	\$174.60	
Inman	NT&T			Χ	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		2	\$2.00	
Lynch	NT&T			Χ	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		2	\$2.00	
Lynch	Three River Telco			Х	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill	Holt & Boyd Counties	341	\$335.00	
Naper	Three River Telco			Х	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill	Holt & Boyd Counties	173	\$171.00	
North Bristow	Northeast Nebraska				N/A	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		0	\$0.00	
Oneill	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		3	\$3.00	
Oneill	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		38	\$38.00	
O'Neill	Ionex				\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		1	\$1.00	
O'neill	NT&T			Χ	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		735	\$735.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
O'Neill	Orbitcom	Х			\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		46	\$46.00	
O'Niell	Qwest			Χ	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill	Yes	2,027	\$2,027.25	
Page	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill	Holt	212	\$212.00	
Spencer	Northeast Nebraska			X		Holt-Boyd/O'Neill Holt-Boyd/O'Neill	Boyd County Village of Spencer Spencer Rural Fire District	<u>433</u> 12	·	
Spencer Stuart	McLeodUSA			X		Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		2	\$12.00	
Stuart	MCLEOUGSA			^	\$1.00	Hon-Boyd/O Neill	Holt County Village of Stuart		\$2.00	
Stuart	Northeast Nebraska			Х	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill	Stuart Rural Fire Department	608	\$609.42	
Stuart	NT&T			Χ	\$1.00	Holt-Boyd/O'Neill		19	\$19.00	
Boelus	Nebraska Central			Х	\$1.00		Howard County, all Cities, villages and fire districts in County	177	\$177.16	\$2,590.79
Boelus	NT&T			Χ	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul		1	\$1.00	
Cotesfield	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul	Howard	77	\$77.00	
Cushing	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.				\$0.50	Howard County/St. Paul		0	\$0.00	
Cushing	NT&T			Χ	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul		1	\$1.00	
Dannebrog	Nebraska Central			X	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul	Howard County, all Cities, villages and fire districts in County	350	\$349.98	
Dannebrog	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul		4	\$4.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Elba	Nebraska Central			X	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul	Howard County, all Cities, villages and fire districts in County	141	\$140.68	
Elba	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul		3	\$3.00	
Farwell	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul		1	\$1.00	
Farwell	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul		60	\$60.00	
Farwell	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul	Yes	74	\$73.50	
Saint Libory	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul		125	\$125.00	
Saint Paul	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.50	Howard County/St. Paul		18	\$9.00	
Saint Paul	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul		1	\$1.00	
Saint Paul	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul		392	\$392.00	
St Libory	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul	Yes	198	\$198.36	
St Paul	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul	Yes	948	\$947.54	
St. Libory	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul		8	\$8.00	
St. Libory	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Howard County/St. Paul		0	\$0.00	
St. Paul	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Howard County/St.		21	\$21.57	
Chester, Hubbell,Reynolds, So. Chester	Great Plains			X		Jefferson County/Fairbury	Jefferson	76		\$3,899.00
Daykin	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury			\$172.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Daykin	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury		15	\$15.00	
Diller	Diller			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury	Gage County	343	\$343.00	
Endicott	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury		16	\$16.00	
Fairbury	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury		2,474	\$2,474.00	
Fairbury	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury		5	\$5.00	
Fairbury	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury		2	\$2.00	
Fairbury	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury		174	\$174.00	
Harbine	Diller			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury	Gage County	53	\$53.00	
Jansen	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury		118	\$118.00	
Jansen	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury		9	\$9.00	
Plymouth	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury	Jefferson County	350	\$350.00	
Plymouth	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury		22	\$22.00	
Steele City	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury		58	\$58.00	
Steele City	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Jefferson County/Fairbury		12	\$12.00	
Burchard	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Johnson County/Tecumseh	Johnson County	153	\$153.00	\$3,527.00
Cook	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Johnson County/Tecumseh		517	\$258.50	
Cook	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Johnson County/Tecumseh		10	\$5.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Crab Orchard	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Johnson County/Tecumseh		108	\$54.00	
Crab Orchard	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Johnson County/Tecumseh		3	\$1.50	
Dawson	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Johnson County/Tecumseh	Johnson County	76	\$76.50	
Dawson	Fast Phones			Х	\$0.50	Johnson County/Tecumseh	Johnson County	1	\$0.50	
Dubois	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Johnson County/Tecumseh	Johnson County	116	\$116.00	
Elk Creek	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Johnson County/Tecumseh		160	\$80.00	
Elk Creek	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Johnson County/Tecumseh		9	\$4.50	
Humboldt	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Johnson County/Tecumseh	Johnson County	673	\$336.50	
Humboldt	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Johnson County/Tecumseh		39	\$19.50	
Pawnee City	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Johnson County/Tecumseh	Johnson County	624	\$624.00	
S. Dubois, KS	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Johnson County/Tecumseh	Johnson County	1	\$1.00	
Steinauer	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Johnson County/Tecumseh	Johnson County	98	\$98.00	
Sterling	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Johnson County/Tecumseh		765	\$382.50	
Sterling	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Johnson County/Tecumseh		24	\$12.00	
Table Rock	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Johnson County/Tecumseh	Johnson County	184	\$184.00	
Tecumseh	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Johnson County/Tecumseh		2,187	\$1,093.50	
Tecumseh	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Johnson County/Tecumseh		53	\$26.50	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Axtell	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden		3	\$3.00	\$2,489.63
Axtell	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden		1	\$1.00	
Axtell	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden		10	\$10.00	
Axtell	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden		0	\$0.00	
Axtell	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden		116	\$166.00	
Axtell	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden	Yes	286	\$285.52	
Heartwell	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden		155	\$0.00	
Heartwell	Pinpoint Comm., Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden		1	\$0.00	
Minden	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden		55	\$55.00	
Minden	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden		6	\$6.00	
Minden	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden		4	\$4.00	
Minden	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden		20	\$20.00	
Minden	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden		2	\$2.00	
Minden	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden		511	\$511.00	
Minden	Pinpoint Comm., Inc.			Х	<del></del> \$1.00	Kearney County/Minden		32	\$0.00	
Minden	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden	Yes	1,090	\$1,090.11	
Ragan	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden	Kearney	63	\$63.00	

		1		1		1		1		1
Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Wilcox	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Kearney County/Minden	Kearney, Harlan, Phelps	275	\$273.00	
Arthur	Consolidated Telephone Co.			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties	Arthur Cty	220	\$130.16	\$6,954.88
Ashby	Consolidated Telephone Co.			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties	Grant Cty	83	\$84.26	
Big Springs	Allo Communications			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		22	\$22.00	
Big Springs	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			×		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		6		
Big Springs	New Access			Х		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		1	\$1.00	
Big Springs	NT&T			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		91	\$91.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Bingham	Consolidated Telephone Co.			x	\$1.00	Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties	Grant Cty	45	\$42.13	
Brule	Applied Comm. Technology			x		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		4	\$4.00	
Brule	Arapahoe			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		316	\$315.07	
Brule	NT&T			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		13	\$13.00	
Hyannis	Consolidated Telephone Co.			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties	Grant Cty	358	\$349.07	
Keystone	Keystone-Arthur Tel.			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties	Keith County	232	\$232.00	
Lemoyne	Keystone-Arthur Tel.			×		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties	Keith County	338	\$338.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Lewellen (Keith Cnty), Bayard and Broadwater	EMBARQ	X			\$1.00	Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties	Keith Cty	12	\$12.00	
Mullen	Consolidated Telephone Co.			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties	_	566	\$550.56	
Ogallala	Allo Communications			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		362	\$362.80	
Ogallala	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		16	\$16.00	
Ogallala	lonex			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		15	\$15.00	
Ogallala	McLeodUSA			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		29	\$29.00	
Ogallala	New Access			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		4	\$5.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Ogallala	NT&T			×	\$1.00	Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		578	\$578.00	
Ogallala	Orbitcom			x		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		59	\$59.00	
Ogallala	Pinpoint Comm.,			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		1	\$0.00	
Ogallala	Qwest			×		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties	Yes	2,397	\$2,396.56	
Paxton	Consolidated Telco			×		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		546	\$513.88	
Paxton	NT&T			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		10	\$10.00	
Roscoe	NT&T			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties		1	\$1.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
						Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan			***************************************	
Stapleton	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Counties	Logan	400	\$381.00	
Tryon	Great Plains			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties	McPherson	271	\$271.00	
Whitman	Consolidated Telephone Co.			X		Keith County 911/Arthur, Deuel, Grant, Hooker, McPherson & Logan Counties	Grant Cty	127	\$126.39	
So. Burke	Vivian Telephone Company	X			\$0.00	Keya Paha County/Springview	No formal agreement Calls are routed to Winner, SD	1	\$0.00	
So. Gregory	Vivian Telephone Company	X			\$0.00	Keya Paha County/Springview	No formal agreement Calls are routed to Winner, SD	1	\$0.00	\$0.00
Duahnall	Dolton			Х	¢4.00	Kimball County/Kimball		191	¢101.00	
Bushnell	Dalton			^	\$1.00			191	\$191.00	
Dix	Dalton			Х	\$1.00	Kimball County/Kimball		168	\$168.00	
Kimball	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Kimball County/Kimball		0	\$0.00	\$359.00
Bloomfield Knox	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Knox County/Center	Knox	1,176	\$1,193.00	\$5,012.00
Center	Great Plains			Χ	\$1.00	Knox County/Center	Knox	119	\$142.00	
Creighton	Great Plains			Χ	\$1.00	Knox County/Center	Knox	935	\$984.00	
Crofton Knox	Great Plains			Χ	\$1.00	Knox County/Center	Knox	780	\$741.00	
Niobrara	Great Plains	<u></u>		Χ	\$1.00	Knox County/Center	Knox	604	\$580.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Verdel	Three River Telco			Х	\$1.00	Knox County/Center	Knox County	135	\$134.00	
Verdigre	Great Plains			Х		Knox County/Center	Knox	508	\$499.00	
Walnut	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Knox County/Center	Knox	59	\$59.00	
` '	Great Plains			Х		Knox County/Center	Knox	561	\$560.00	
Winnetoon	Great Plains			Χ	\$1.00	Knox County/Center	Knox	130	\$120.00	
Bennet	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		663	\$663.00	\$122,665.46
Bennet	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		1	\$1.00	
Bennet	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		53	\$53.00	
Cheney	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		1	\$1.00	
Davey	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		398	\$398.00	
Davey	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		11	\$11.00	
Denton	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		481	\$481.00	
Denton	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		34	\$34.00	
Eagle	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		830	\$830.00	
Eagle	Fast Phones			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		2	\$2.00	
Firth	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		548	\$548.00	
Firth	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		52	\$52.00	
Hallam	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		167	\$167.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Hallam	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		11	\$11.00	
Hickman	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		895	\$895.00	
Hickman	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		28	\$28.00	
Holland	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		3	\$3.00	
Lincoln	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		104,856	\$104,856.00	
Lincoln	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		15	\$15.00	
Lincoln	Fast Phones			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		18	\$18.00	
Lincoln	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		10	\$10.00	
Lincoln	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		13	\$13.00	
Lincoln	New Access			Х	\$0.75	Lancaster County/Lincoln		0	\$0.00	
Lincoln	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		2,479	\$2,479.00	
Lincoln	Time Warner Cable			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		7,126	\$7,126.46	
Malcolm	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		561	\$561.00	
Malcolm	Fast Phones			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		1	\$1.00	
Malcolm	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		12	\$12.00	
Martell	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		350	\$350.00	
Martell	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		15	\$15.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
North Platte	Trinsic			X	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		2	\$2.00	
Panama	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		249	\$249.00	
Panama	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		13	\$13.00	
Pleasant Dale	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		19	\$19.00	
Pleasantdale	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		260	\$260.00	
Pleasantdale	Fast Phones			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		1	\$1.00	
Raymond	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		460	\$460.00	
Raymond	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		31	\$31.00	
Roca	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		13	\$13.00	
Sprague	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		8	\$8.00	
Touhy	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		1	\$1.00	
Valparaiso	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		513	\$513.00	
Valparaiso	Fast Phones			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		1	\$1.00	
Valparaiso	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		42	\$42.00	
Walton	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		3	\$3.00	
Waverly	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		1,342	\$1,342.00	
Waverly	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lancaster County/Lincoln		73	\$73.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Brady	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		1	\$1.00	\$15,181.99
Hershey	Hershey			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		715	\$715.24	
Hershey	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		0	\$0.00	
Hershey	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		1	\$1.00	
Hershey	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		0	\$0.00	
Lincoln	Orbitcom			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte	Lincoln/North Platte	3	\$3.00	
Maxwell	Consolidated Telecom, Inc			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		322	\$318.45	
Maxwell	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		0	\$0.00	
North Platte	1-800-Reconex			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		133	\$133.00	
North Platte	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		322	\$322.56	
North Platte	ALLTEL-MIDWEST			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		1,342	\$1,342.00	
North Platte	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		171	\$171.00	
North Platte	lonex			Х	\$0.50	Lincoln County/North Platte		0	\$0.00	
North Platte	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		27	\$27.00	
North Platte	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		132	\$132.00	
North Platte	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		56	\$56.00	
North Platte	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		133	\$133.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
North Platte	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		1,676	\$1,676.00	
North Platte	Orbitcom			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte	Lincoln/North Platte	67	\$67.00	
North Platte	Pinpoint Comm., Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		1	\$0.00	
North Platte	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte	Yes	8,953	\$8,952.92	
Stapleton	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		2	\$2.00	
Sutherland	Great Plains			X	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte	Lincoln, Sutherland, North Platte; North Platte & Lincoln	796	\$797.00	
Wallace	Consolidated Telco Inc			Х	\$1.00	Lincoln County/North Platte		337	\$331.82	
Battle Creek	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Madison County/Madison		864	\$745.00	\$17,008.24
Battle Creek	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Madison County/Madison		6	\$6.00	
Madison	1-800-Reconex			Х	\$1.00	Madison County/Madison		1	\$1.00	
Madison	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Madison County/Madison		2	\$2.00	
Madison	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Madison County/Madison		1,329	\$1,032.00	
Madison	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Madison County/Madison		34	\$34.00	
Madison	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Madison County/Madison		6	\$6.00	
Madison	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Madison County/Madison		3	\$3.00	
Meadow Grove	Eastern			Х	<u> </u>	Madison County/Madison	Madison County	299	\$297.00	
Norfolk	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Madison County/Madison		29	\$29.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Norfolk	Orbitcom			Х	\$1.00	Madison County/Madison	Madison/ Norfolk	256	\$256.00	
Norfolk	Trinsic			Х		Madison County/Madison		0	\$0.00	
Stanton	Stanton			Х		Madison County/Madison		1,110	\$1,123.63	
Tilden	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х		Madison County/Madison		1	\$1.00	
Tilden	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Madison County/Madison		5	\$5.00	
Hadar	NorthStar Telecom			X	\$1.00	Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		2	\$2.00	
Hadar	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		25	\$25.00	
Hadar	New Access			X		Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		0	\$0.00	
Hoskins	Pierce			X	\$1.00	Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties	Village of Hoskins/Norfolk	389	\$389.00	
Norflolk	1-800-Reconex			X	\$1.00	Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		258	\$258.00	
Norfolk	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			X		Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		169	\$169.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric	Local Exchange	Basic	ANI/		Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by		Interlocal	Monthly Access	Monthly Surcharge	Estimated Monthly Surcharge
Prefixes)	Carrier	911	ALI	E911	Jurisdiction	PSAP	Agreements	Lines	Revenue	Revenue
Norfolk	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		66	\$66.00	
Norfolk	McLeodUSA			X		Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		201	\$201.00	
Norfolk	New Access			X		Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		48	\$48.00	
Norfolk	NorthStar Telecom			Х		Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		157	\$157.00	
Norfolk	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		2,016	\$2,016.00	
Norfolk	Qwest			Х		Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties	Yes	9,540	\$9,539.98	
Pilger	McLeodUSA			Х		Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		11	\$11.00	
Pilger	NorthStar Telecom			Х		Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		1	\$1.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Pilger	NT&T			×	\$1.00	Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		107	\$107.00	
Pilger	Qwest			X	\$1.00	Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties	Yes	473	\$472.63	
Pilger	Trinsic			X		Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		0	\$0.00	
Stanton	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Madison County/Norfolk PD/Stanton/Pierce Counties		5	\$5.00	
Archer	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		0	\$0.00	\$2,360.40
Archer	Great Plains			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City	Merrick County	89	\$44.50	
Archer	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		1	\$0.50	
Archer	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		4	\$2.00	
Central City	Allo Communications			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		20	\$10.50	
Central City	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		5	\$2.50	
Central City	lonex			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		5	\$2.50	
Central City	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		43	\$21.50	
Central City	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Merrick County/Central City		1	\$0.50	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Central City	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		511	\$255.50	
Central City	Qwest			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City	Yes	2,262	\$1,130.76	
Chapman	Great Plains			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City	Merrick County	339	\$169.50	
Chapman	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		1	\$0.50	
Clarks	Clarks			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City	Hamilton	418	\$209.04	
Clarks	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		8	\$4.00	
Clarks	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		4	\$2.00	
Grand Island	ALLTEL-MIDWEST			Х	\$1.00	Merrick County/Central City		6	\$6.50	
Lawrence	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		1	\$0.50	
Palmer	Citizens			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		432	\$216.00	
Palmer	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		3	\$1.50	
Palmer	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		10	\$5.00	
Silver Creek	Allo Communications			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		6	\$3.00	
Silver Creek	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		4	\$2.00	
Silver Creek	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		2	\$1.00	
Silver Creek	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		93	\$46.50	
Silver Creek	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City		3	\$1.50	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Silver Creek	Qwest			Х	\$0.50	Merrick County/Central City	Yes	442	\$221.10	
Norman	Glenwood	Х			\$1.00	Mid-Rivers 911	Mid Rivers 911	2,163	\$2,162.82	
Red Cloud & So. Red Cloud, KS	Great Plains	Х			\$1.00	Mid-Rivers 911	Village of Campbell	1,063	\$1,072.00	\$3,234.82
Angora	McLeodUSA	Х			\$1.00	Morrill County/Bridgeport		1	\$1.00	
Angora	NT&T	Х			\$1.00	Morrill County/Bridgeport		13	\$13.00	
Bayard	NT&T	Х			\$1.00	Morrill County/Bridgeport		5	\$5.00	
Bridgeport	Allo Communications	Х			\$1.00	Morrill County/Bridgeport		62	\$62.53	
Bridgeport	lonex	Х			\$1.00	Morrill County/Bridgeport		3	\$3.00	
Bridgeport	McLeodUSA	Х			\$1.00	Morrill County/Bridgeport		3	\$3.00	
Bridgeport	New Access	Х			\$1.00	Morrill County/Bridgeport		0	\$0.00	
Bridgeport	NT&T	Х			\$1.00	Morrill County/Bridgeport		315	\$315.00	
Bridgeport	Orbitcom	Х			\$1.00	Morrill County/Bridgeport		43	\$43.00	
Broadwater	NT&T	Х			\$1.00	Morrill County/Bridgeport		1	\$1.00	
Northport	NT&T	Х			\$1.00	Morrill County/Bridgeport		1	\$1.00	\$447.53
Belgrade	Great Plains	Х			\$1.00	Nance County/Fullerton	None	120	\$121.00	\$830.00
Belgrade	lonex			Х		Nance County/Fullerton		0	\$0.00	
Fullerton	Allo Communications			Х		Nance County/Fullerton		10	\$10.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Fullerton	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Nance County/Fullerton		12	\$12.00	
Fullerton	lonex			Х	\$0.50	Nance County/Fullerton		1	\$0.50	
Fullerton	NT&T			X	\$0.50	Nance County/Fullerton		259	\$129.50	
Genoa	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Nance County/Fullerton		676	\$556.00	
Genoa	lonex			Х	\$0.50	Nance County/Fullerton		0	\$0.00	
Johnson	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nance County/Fullerton		1	\$0.50	
Osceola	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nance County/Fullerton		1	\$0.50	
Auburn	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		2,211	\$1,105.50	\$1,714.00
Auburn	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		96	\$48.00	
Bedford	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		3	\$1.50	
Benton	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		2	\$1.00	
Brock	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		114	\$57.00	
Brock	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		5	\$2.50	
Brownville	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		174	\$87.00	
Brownville	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		12	\$6.00	
Glenrock	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		3	\$1.50	
Howe	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		1	\$0.50	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Johnson	ALLTEL			X	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		322	\$161.00	
Johnson	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		16	\$8.00	
Julian	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		71	\$35.50	
Julian	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		1	\$0.50	
Nemaha	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		107	\$53.50	
Nemaha	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		12	\$6.00	
Peru	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		262	\$131.00	
Peru	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nemaha County/Auburn		16	\$8.00	
Guide Rock	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Nuckolls County/Nelson		191	\$191.00	\$2,246.50
Guide Rock	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nuckolls County/Nelson		19	\$9.50	
Hardy	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Nuckolls County/Nelson		101	\$101.00	
Hardy	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$1.00	Nuckolls County/Nelson		1	\$1.00	
Hardy	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nuckolls County/Nelson		7	\$3.50	
Nelson	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Nuckolls County/Nelson		439	\$439.00	
Nelson	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nuckolls County/Nelson		40	\$20.00	
Oak	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nuckolls County/Nelson		4	\$2.00	
Ruskin	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Nuckolls County/Nelson		115	\$115.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Ruskin	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nuckolls County/Nelson		13	\$6.50	
S. Hardy, KS	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Nuckolls County/Nelson		30	\$30.00	
S. Superior, KS	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Nuckolls County/Nelson		40	\$40.00	
Superior	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Nuckolls County/Nelson		1,256	\$1,256.00	
Superior	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$1.00	Nuckolls County/Nelson		1	\$1.00	
Superior	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Nuckolls County/Nelson		64	\$31.00	
Burr	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		72	\$72.50	\$6,956.50
Burr	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		6	\$6.00	
Douglas	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		175	\$175.00	
Douglas	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		19	\$19.00	
Dunbar	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		229	\$229.00	
Dunbar	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		13	\$13.00	
Nebr City	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		108	\$108.00	
Nebraska City	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		3,978	\$3,978.00	
Nebraska City	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		1	\$1.00	
Nebraska City	Houlton Enterprises			Х		Otoe County/Nebraska City	Otoe County	2	\$2.00	
Otoe	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		103	\$103.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Otoe	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		12	\$12.00	
Palmyra	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		499	\$499.00	
Palmyra	Fast Phones			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City	York County	1	\$1.00	
Palmyra	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		10	\$10.00	
Syracuse	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		1,231	\$1,231.00	
Syracuse	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		1	\$1.00	
Syracuse	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		43	\$43.00	
Talmage	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		188	\$188.00	
Talmage	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		7	\$7.00	
Unadilla	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		238	\$238.00	
Unadilla	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Otoe County/Nebraska City		20	\$20.00	
Burchard	NT&T			Х	\$0.60	Pawnee County/Pawnee City		2	\$1.20	\$44.20
Dubois	NT&T			Х	\$0.60	Pawnee County/Pawnee City		5	\$3.00	
Lewiston	NT&T			Х	\$0.60	Pawnee County/Pawnee City		2	\$1.20	
Pawnee City	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Pawnee County/Pawnee City		1	\$1.00	
Pawnee City	NT&T			Х	\$0.60	Pawnee County/Pawnee City		36	\$21.60	
Plum Creek	NT&T			Х	\$0.60	Pawnee County/Pawnee City		3	\$1.80	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Steinauer	NT&T			Х	\$0.60	Pawnee County/Pawnee City		5	\$3.00	
Table Rock	NT&T			X		Pawnee County/Pawnee City		19	\$11.40	
Elsie	Elsie			X		Perkins County/Grant		211	\$211.00	
Grant	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х		Perkins County/Grant		2	\$2.00	
Grant	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Perkins County/Grant	Perkins	1,042	\$1,043.00	
Madrid	Consolidated Telco Inc			Х	\$1.00	Perkins County/Grant		232	\$216.84	
Venango & West Venango, CO	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Perkins County/Grant	Perkins	170	\$170.00	\$1,642.84
Osmond	Eastern	Х			\$1.00	Pierce County/Pierce		571	\$567.86	
Pierce	Pierce		Х		\$0.00	Pierce County/Pierce		0	\$0.00	
Plainview	Plainview		Χ		\$0.50	Pierce County/Pierce		1,057	\$525.55	\$1,093.41
Columbus	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		1	\$1.00	\$14,940.37
Columbus	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		3	\$3.00	
Columbus	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		0	\$0.00	
Columbus	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		1	\$1.00	
Columbus	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		625	\$625.00	
Columbus	NT&T			Х	<u></u> \$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		9	\$9.00	
Columbus	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		13,270	\$11,994.00	
Creston	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		3	\$3.00	
Creston	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		2	\$1.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Creston	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		1	\$1.00	
Creston	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		79	\$79.00	
Creston/Humphrey	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus	Yes	544	\$544.37	
Duncan	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		365	\$87.00	
Genoa	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		9	\$9.00	
Genoa	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		5	\$5.00	
Humphrey	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		12	\$12.00	
Humphrey	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		5	\$5.00	
Humphrey	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.50	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		18	\$9.00	
Humphrey	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		1	\$1.00	
Humphrey	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		278	\$278.00	
Leigh	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		7	\$7.00	
Lindsay	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		352	\$51.00	
Lindsay	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		12	\$12.00	
Lindsay	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		14	\$14.00	
Monroe	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		292	\$117.00	
Monroe	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		1	\$1.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Newman Grove	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		694	\$725.00	
Newman Grove	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		4	\$4.00	
Platte Center	Citizens			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		514	\$321.00	
Platte Center	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		15	\$15.00	
Platte Center	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		0	\$0.00	
Saint Edward	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		1	\$1.00	
Tarnov	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Platte-Columbus PD/Columbus		5	\$5.00	
Osceola	ALLTEL			Χ	\$1.00	Polk County/Osceola		639	\$639.00	
Osceola	lonex			Χ	\$0.50	Polk County/Osceola		0	\$0.00	
Osceola	NT&T			Χ	\$0.50	Polk County/Osceola		40	\$20.00	
Polk	ALLTEL			Χ	\$1.00	Polk County/Osceola		297	\$297.00	
Polk	NT&T			Χ	\$0.50	Polk County/Osceola		16	\$8.00	
Shelby	ALLTEL			Χ	\$1.00	Polk County/Osceola		455	\$455.00	
Shelby	NT&T			Χ	\$0.50	Polk County/Osceola		28	\$14.00	
Stomsburg	ALLTEL			Χ	\$1.00	Polk County/Osceola		754	\$754.00	
Stromsburg	NT&T			Χ	\$0.50	Polk County/Osceola		33	\$16.50	\$2,203.50
Bartley	Cambridge Telephone Co.			Х	\$1.00	Red Willow County/McCook PD		231	\$0.00	\$4,581.31
Danbury	Hartman			Х	<del></del> \$1.00	Red Willow County/McCook PD		134	\$134.00	
Danbury	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Red Willow County/McCook PD		1	\$1.00	
Indianola (Red Willow Co)	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Red Willow County/McCook PD	Red Willow	468	\$467.00	
Lebanon	Hartman			Х	\$1.00	Red Willow County/McCook PD		64	\$64.00	

	1	1 1		1 11 0111	ic E) II III oi II	Tation Calcilual Tea	1 2000		1	
Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
McCook	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Red Willow County/McCook PD		268	\$268.99	
McCook	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Red Willow County/McCook PD		24	\$24.00	
McCook	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Red Willow County/McCook PD		11	\$11.00	
Mccook	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Red Willow County/McCook PD		36	\$36.00	
McCook	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Red Willow County/McCook PD		3	\$3.00	
McCook	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Red Willow County/McCook PD		748	\$748.00	
McCook	Orbitcom			Х	\$1.00	Red Willow County/McCook PD		152	\$152.00	
McCook	Pinpoint Comm., Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Red Willow County/McCook PD		890	\$0.00	
McCook	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Red Willow County/McCook PD	Yes	2,672	\$2,672.32	
Ansley	Nebraska Central		Х		\$1.00	Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman,	Counties of Loup, Garfield, Greeley, Sherman, Wheeler, Custer & Valley	454	\$453.83	\$9,827.43
Arcadia	McLeodUSA		X		\$1.00	Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		1	\$1.00	
Arcadia	Nebraska Central		×		\$1.00	Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman,	Counties of Loup, Garfield, Greeley, Sherman, Wheeler, Custer & Valley	315	\$314.65	

Name of Exchange (Do					Monthly Surcharge to be Collected			Monthly	Monthly	Estimated Monthly
Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Access Lines	Surcharge Revenue	Surcharge Revenue
Fielixes)	Carrier	911	ALI	Laii	Jurisdiction	FSAF	Agreements	Lilles	Revenue	Revenue
						D : 00 DI :				
						Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup,				
Arcadia	NT&T		Х		\$1.00	Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		6	\$6.00	
Alcadia	MIXI		^		\$1.00	Gameia & Wheeler		0	φ0.00	
						Region 26-Blaine,				
						Greeley, Valley, Loup,				
Ashton	McLeodUSA		Х		\$1.00	Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		1	\$1.00	
									·	
						Region 26-Blaine,	Counties of Loup,			
						Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman,	Garfield, Greeley, Sherman, Wheeler,			
Ashton	Nebraska Central		Х		\$1.00		Custer & Valley	179	\$178.90	
						Region 26-Blaine,				
						Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman,				
Ashton	NT&T		Х		\$1.00	Garfield & Wheeler		2	\$2.00	
						Region 26-Blaine,				
						Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman,				
Bartlett	Northeast Nebraska		Х		\$1.00	Garfield & Wheeler	Wheeler County	191	\$189.25	
						Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup,				
Description	Consolidated					Thomas, Sherman,		440	<b>#400.40</b>	
Brewster	Telephone Co.		Х		\$1.00	Garfield & Wheeler	_	110	\$109.42	
						Dogion 26 Dising				
						Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup,				
Brownlee	Consolidated Telephone Co.		X			Thomas, Sherman,	Cherry Cty	90	\$82.71	
DIOMINEE	Treiebilone Co.	i .	^		φ1.00	Carrielu & Wrieelei	Cherry Cty	90	φοΖ./ Ι	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Burwell	Nebraska Central		X		\$1.00	Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman,	Counties of Loup, Garfield, Greeley, Sherman, Wheeler, Custer & Valley	1,228	\$1,227.74	
Clearwater	Northeast Nebraska		X		\$1.00	Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman,	Antelope County Clearwater Rural Fire District Clearwater Village Holt County Wheeler County	2	\$2.00	
Dunning	Consolidated Telephone Co.		Х			Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler	_	163	\$162.24	
Ericson	Nebraska Central		X			Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman,	Counties of Loup, Garfield, Greeley, Sherman, Wheeler, Custer & Valley	160	\$159.67	
Greeley	Citizens		Х			Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		350	\$254.00	
Greeley	NT&T		X			Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		10	\$10.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Halsey	Consolidated Telephone Co.		×		\$1.00	Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler	Blaine Cty	93	\$88.22	
Litchfield	Nebraska Central		Х			Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman,	Counties of Loup, Garfield, Greeley, Sherman, Wheeler, Custer & Valley	234	\$233.80	
Loup City	Allo Communications		Х			Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		6	\$6.00	
Loup City	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.		X			Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		0	\$0.00	
Loup City	lonex		X			Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		1	\$1.00	
Loup City	McLeodUSA		X			Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		5	\$5.00	
Loup City	NT&T		X			Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		278	\$278.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Loup City	Qwest		X		\$1.00	Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler	Yes	502	\$502.13	
Loup City	Qwest		X		\$1.00	Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler	No	0	\$0.00	
Mullen	NT&T		Х			Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		0	\$0.00	
North Burwell	Nebraska Central		X			Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman,	Counties of Loup, Garfield, Greeley, Sherman, Wheeler, Custer & Valley	99	\$99.10	
North Loup	Nebraska Central		×			Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman,	Counties of Loup, Garfield, Greeley, Sherman, Wheeler, Custer & Valley	286	\$286.49	
Ord	Citizens		Х			Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		1,862	\$3,303.00	
Ord	lonex		X			Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		0	\$0.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Ord	NT&T		Х		\$1.00	Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		34	\$34.00	
Purdum	Consolidated Telephone Co.		Х		\$1.00	Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler	_	107	\$105.64	
Rockville	Nebraska Central		Х		\$1.00	Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman,	Counties of Loup, Garfield, Greeley, Sherman, Wheeler, Custer & Valley	74	\$73.77	
Rockville	NT&T		X		\$1.00	Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler		5	\$5.00	
Scotia	Nebraska Central		×			Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman,	Counties of Loup, Garfield, Greeley, Sherman, Wheeler, Custer & Valley	274	\$273.64	
Seneca	Consolidated Telephone Co.		Х		\$1.00	Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler	Hooker & Cherry Cty	64	\$60.65	
Spalding	Great Plains		X				Region 26 Communications	485	\$485.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Taylor	Nebraska Central		Х		\$1.00	Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler	Counties of Loup, Garfield, Greeley, Sherman, Wheeler, Custer & Valley	263	\$262.78	
Thedford	Consolidated Telephone Co.		Х		\$1.00	Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler	Logan Cty	340	\$319.80	
Wolbach	Great Plains		X		\$1.00	Region 26-Blaine, Greeley, Valley, Loup, Thomas, Sherman, Garfield & Wheeler	Region 26 Communications	252	\$250.00	
Aurora	NT&T	X			\$1.00	Richardson County/Falls City		2	\$1.00	
Dawson	NT&T	Х			\$1.00	Richardson County/Falls City		26	\$26.00	
Falls City	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.	Х				Richardson County/Falls City		1	\$1.00	
Falls City	Southeast	Х			\$1.00	Richardson County/Falls City	None	3,228	\$3,249.00	
Hordville	NT&T	X			\$1.00	Richardson County/Falls City		1	\$0.50	
Tri City	Southeast	X			\$1.00	Richardson County/Falls City	None	572	\$575.00	\$3,852.50
Bassett	Rock County	Х				Rock County/Bassett	Rock County	715		
Newport	Rock County	Х				Rock County/Bassett	Rock County	181	\$181.00	\$895.36
Barneston	NT&T			Х		Saline County/Wilbur	,	1	\$0.75	\$4,918.25
Dewitt	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur	Saline County	381	\$285.75	
Dewitt	NT&T			Х	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur		28	\$21.00	
Dorchester	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur	Saline County	358	\$268.50	
Dorchester	NT&T			Х	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur		18	\$13.50	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Friend	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur	Saline County	634	\$475.50	
Friend	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur		1	\$0.75	
Friend	NT&T			Χ	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur		50	\$37.50	
Swanton	ALLTEL			Χ	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur	Saline County	85	\$63.75	
Swanton	NT&T			Х	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur		3	\$2.25	
Tobias	ALLTEL			Χ	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur	Saline County	109	\$81.75	
Tobias	NT&T			Х	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur		12	\$9.00	
Western	ALLTEL			Χ	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur	Saline County	168	\$126.00	
Western	NT&T			Χ	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur		15	\$11.25	
Wilber	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur	Saline County	994	\$745.50	
Wilber	NT&T			Х	\$0.75	Saline County/Wilbur		26	\$19.50	
Crata	ALLTEL			Х	<b>#4.00</b>	Saline-Crete PD/Crete		0.000	ФО COO OO	
Crete	ALLIEL			^	\$1.00	Saline-Crete		2,680	\$2,680.00	
Crete	Fast Phones			Χ	\$1.00	PD/Crete		2	\$2.00	
Crete	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Saline-Crete PD/Crete		74	\$74.00	
Bellevue	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		145	\$145.00	\$57,353.98
Bellevue	CAT Comm., Int'l			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		2	\$2.00	
Bellevue	Cox NE Telcom			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion	Sarpy Co.	11,769	\$11,769.00	
Bellevue	Ionex			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		12	\$12.00	
Bellevue	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		336	\$336.00	
Bellevue	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		33	\$32.50	
Bellevue	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		219	\$219.00	
Bellevue	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion	Yes	4,362	\$4,362.19	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Gretna	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		22	\$22.00	
Gretna	Cox NE Telcom			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion	Sarpy Co.	1,492	\$1,492.00	
Gretna	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		2	\$2.00	
Gretna	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		54	\$54.00	
Gretna	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		1	\$1.00	
Gretna	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		2	\$2.00	
Gretna	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		126	\$126.00	
Gretna	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion	Yes	1,202	\$1,201.62	
Gretna	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion	NO	136	\$136.00	
La Platte	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		0	\$0.00	
La Platte	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		2	\$2.00	
La Platte	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		1	\$1.00	
La Vista	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		54	\$54.00	
La Vista	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		114	\$114.00	
La Vista	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		78	\$78.00	
La Vista	TCG Omaha			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		2	\$2.00	
La Vista	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		7	\$5.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
LaVista	Cox NE Telcom			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion	Sarpy Co.	2,512	\$2,512.00	
LaVista	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		2	\$2.00	
LaVista/ Papillion/ Millard	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion	Yes	13,842	\$13,842.27	
Offutt	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		12	\$12.00	
Offutt	TCG Omaha			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		119	\$119.00	
Offutt AFB	Cox NE Telcom			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion	Sarpy Co.	928	\$928.00	
Offutt AFB	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		0	\$0.00	
Omaha	ALLTEL-MIDWEST			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		1,749	\$1,749.00	
Omaha	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		7	\$7.00	
Omaha	Level 3 Communications, LLC			X	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		38	\$38.00	
Omaha	Trinsic			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		16	\$16.00	
Papillion	1-800-Reconex			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		3	\$3.00	
Papillion	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		87	\$87.00	
Papillion	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		12	\$12.00	
Papillion	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		1	\$1.00	
Papillion	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		188	\$188.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Papillion	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		13	\$10.00	
Papillion	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		111	\$111.00	
Papillion	Cox NE Telcom			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion	Sarpy Co.	4,051	\$4,051.00	
Ralston	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		1	\$0.50	
Sarpy County	Cox NE Telcom			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion	Sarpy Co.	12,638	\$12,638.00	
Springfield	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		14	\$14.00	
Springfield	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		29	\$29.00	
Springfield	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		3	\$3.00	
Springfield	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		6	\$6.00	
Springfield	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion		136	\$136.00	
Springfield	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Sarpy County/Papillion	Yes	669	\$668.89	
Ashland	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		1,039	\$1,039.00	\$6,860.12
Ashland	Fast Phones			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		1	\$1.00	
Ashland	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		1	\$1.00	
Ashland	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		47	\$47.00	
Cedar Bluffs	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		433	\$433.00	
Ceresco	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		493	\$493.00	

Name of Exchange (Do					Monthly Surcharge to be Collected			Monthly	Monthly	Estimated Monthly
Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Access Lines	Surcharge Revenue	Surcharge Revenue
Ceresco	Fast Phones			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		1	\$1.00	
Ceresco	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		29	\$29.00	
Colon	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		114	\$114.00	
Colon	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		11	\$11.00	
Ithaca	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		128	\$128.00	
Ithaca	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		8	\$8.00	
Leshara	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	.50 Jan-May \$1 June	Saunders County/Wahoo		4	\$4.00	
Leshara	New Access			X	\$0.75	Saunders County/Wahoo		2	\$1.50	
Leshara	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		5	\$5.00	
Mead	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		402	\$402.00	
Mead	lonex			Х	\$0.50	Saunders County/Wahoo		0	\$0.00	
Mead	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		13	\$13.00	
Memphis	NT&T			X	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		2	\$2.00	
Morse Bluff	Northeast Nebraska			X	0.50 Jan-May 1.00 Jul-Dec	Saunders County/Wahoo	Morse Bluff Rural Fire District Morse Bluff Village Saunders County	214	\$214.35	
Prague	Northeast Nebraska			X	0.50 Jan-May		Prague Rural Fire District Prague Village Saunders County	382	\$381.52	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Prague	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		1	\$1.00	
South Bend	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		1	\$1.00	
Valparaiso	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	.50 Jan-May \$1 June	Saunders County/Wahoo		0	\$0.00	
Wahoo	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		2,267	\$2,267.00	
Wahoo	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		2	\$2.00	
Wahoo	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		101	\$10.00	
Weston/Malmo	Northeast Nebraska			×	0.50 Jan-May 1.00 Jul-Dec	Saunders County/Wahoo	Malmo Rural Fire District Malmo Village Saunders County Weston Rural Fire District Weston Village	500	\$496.75	
Yutan	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Saunders County/Wahoo		698	\$698.00	
Yutan	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	.50 Jan-May \$1 June	Saunders County/Wahoo		0	\$0.00	
Yutan	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Saunders County/Wahoo		28	\$56.00	
Gering	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Scottsbluff County/Gering		179	\$179.29	\$20,039.27
Gering, East Lyman, Minatare, Mitchell, Morrill	EMBARQ			Х	\$1.00	Scottsbluff County/Gering	Banner Cty	122	\$122.52	
Harrison	New Access			Х	\$0.50	Scottsbluff County/Gering		1	\$2.00	
Kimball, Chappell, Potter, East Lagrange	EMBARQ			X	\$1.00	Scottsbluff County/Gering	None	1,785	\$1,785.22	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Mitchell	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Scottsbluff County/Gering		0	\$0.00	
Mitchell	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Scottsbluff County/Gering		0	\$0.00	
Scottsbluff	Allo Communications			Х	\$1.00	Scottsbluff County/Gering		423	\$423.40	
Scottsbluff	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Scottsbluff County/Gering		8	\$8.00	
Scottsbluff and Oshkosh	EMBARQ			Х	\$1.00	Scottsbluff County/Gering	Sioux Cty	17,518	\$17,518.84	
Beaver Crossing	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		279	\$279.00	\$6,131.79
Beaver Crossing	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		0	\$0.00	
Beaver Crossing	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		38	\$38.00	
Bee	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		5	\$5.00	
Cordova	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		100	\$100.00	
Cordova	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		3	\$3.00	
Garland	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		218	\$218.00	
Garland	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		17	\$17.00	
Goehner	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		4	\$4.00	
Milford	ALLTEL			Х	<del></del> \$1.00	Seward County/Seward		1,143	\$1,143.00	
Milford	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		0	\$0.00	
Milford	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		42	\$42.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Seward	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward	York County	3,294	\$3,294.00	
Seward	Fast Phones			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward	York County	1	\$1.00	
Seward	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		115	\$115.00	
Staplehurst	Clarks			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		223	\$222.79	
Tamora	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		163	\$163.00	
Utica	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		452	\$452.00	
Utica	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Seward County/Seward		35	\$35.00	
Gordon	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Sheridan County/Sheridan	Sheridan	1,531	\$1,524.00	
Hay Springs	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Sheridan County/Sheridan	Sheridan	560	\$560.00	
Hay Springs	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Sheridan County/Sheridan		11	\$11.00	
Mirage Flats	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Sheridan County/Sheridan	Sheridan	150	\$151.00	
Rushville	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Sheridan County/Sheridan	Sheridan	786	\$796.00	
White Clay	Golden West Telecommunications Coop., Inc.			X	\$1.00	Sheridan County/Sheridan		62	\$61.74	\$3,103.74
Alexandria	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Thayer County/Hebron		94	\$94.00	\$2,917.50
Alexandria	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Thayer County/Hebron		16	\$8.00	
Belvidere	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Thayer County/Hebron		4	\$2.00	
Bruning	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Thayer County/Hebron		233	\$233.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Bruning	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Thayer County/Hebron		18	\$9.00	
Byron & South Byron, KS	Great Plains			Х		Thayer	Thayer	192	\$193.00	
Carleton	ALLTEL			Х		Thayer County/Hebron		94	\$94.00	
Carleton	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Thayer County/Hebron		2	\$1.00	
Chester, Hubbell, So. Chester	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Thayer County/Hebron	Thayer	295	\$294.00	
Davenport	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Thayer County/Hebron		247	\$247.00	
Davenport	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Thayer County/Hebron		20	\$10.00	
Deshler	Great Plains			Х	\$1.00	Thayer County/Hebron	Thayer	606	\$632.00	
Hebron	ALLTEL			Х	\$1.00	Thayer County/Hebron		1,080	\$1,080.00	
Hebron	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	Thayer County/Hebron		41	\$20.50	
Macy (7-Digit)	Eastern		ANI		\$1.00	Thurston County/Pender	Thurston County	395	\$395.94	\$2,900.63
Pender	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.		ANI		\$1.00	Thurston County/Pender		7	\$7.00	
Pender	lonex		ANI		\$1.00	Thurston County/Pender		4	\$4.00	
Pender	McLeodUSA		ANI		\$1.00	Thurston County/Pender		8	\$8.00	
Pender	NT&T		ANI		\$1.00	Thurston County/Pender		213	\$213.00	
Pender	Qwest		ANI		\$1.00	Thurston County/Pender	Yes	830	\$830.28	
Rosalie	McLeodUSA		ANI		\$1.00	Thurston County/Pender		1	\$1.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Rosalie (7-Digit)	Eastern		ANI		\$1.00	Thurston County/Pender	Thurston County	137	<b>\$132.33</b>	
Thurston	McLeodUSA		ANI		\$1.00	Thurston County/Pender	,	5	\$5.00	
Thurston	NT&T		ANI		\$1.00	Thurston County/Pender		32	\$32.00	
Walthill (7-Digit)	Eastern		ANI		\$1.00	Thurston County/Pender	Thurston County	532	\$528.74	
Winnebago	NT&T		ANI		\$1.00	Thurston County/Pender		4	\$4.00	
Winnebago (7- Digit)	Eastern		ANI		\$1.00	Thurston County/Pender	Thurston County	735	\$739.34	
Arlington city	Arlington			Х	\$0.75	Washington County/Blair	Washington County	584	\$434.27	\$8,366.41
Arlington rural	Arlington			Х	\$1.00	Washington County/Blair	Washington County	494	\$494.00	
Bennington	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Washington County/Blair	Yes	267	\$266.88	
Blair	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Washington County/Blair		3	\$3.00	
Blair city	Blair			Х	\$0.75	Washington County/Blair	Washington County	4,357	\$3,258.40	
Blair rural	Blair			Х	\$1.00	Washington County/Blair	Washington County	1,758	\$1,757.07	
Fort Calhoun	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.75	Washington County/Blair		0	\$0.00	
Fort Calhoun	McLeodUSA			Х	\$0.75	Washington County/Blair		5	\$3.75	
Fort Calhoun	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Washington County/Blair		6	\$6.00	
Fort Calhoun city	Blair			Х	\$0.75	Washington County/Blair	Washington County	512	\$380.24	
Fort Calhoun rural	Blair			Х	\$1.00	Washington County/Blair	Washington County	495	\$490.76	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Herman	Great Plains			Х	.75 & 1.00	Washington County/Blair	Washington, Burt	465	\$405.75	
Herman	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Washington County/Blair		2	\$2.00	
Kennard	New Access			Х		Washington County/Blair		0	\$0.00	
Kennard city	Blair			Х	\$0.75	Washington County/Blair	Washington County	158	\$118.45	
Kennard rural	Blair			Х	\$1.00	Washington County/Blair	Washington County	197	\$197.00	
Omaha	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Washington County/Blair	Yes	542	\$541.84	
Washington	NT&T			Х		Washington County/Blair		4	\$4.00	
Washington	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Washington County/Blair		3	\$3.00	
Carrol	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD		2	\$2.00	\$3,209.67
Carroll	Eastern			Х	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD		257	\$255.17	
Hoskins	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD		1	\$1.00	
South Bend	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD		1	\$1.00	
Wakefield	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD		2	\$2.00	
Wakefield	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD		207	\$207.00	
Wayne	1-800-Reconex			Х	<del></del> \$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD		28	\$28.00	
Wayne	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD		18	\$18.00	
Wayne	lonex			Х	\$0.50	Wayne County/Wayne PD		0	\$0.00	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
Wayne	lonex			Х	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD		1	\$1.00	
Wayne	McLeodUSA			Х	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD		22	\$22.00	
Wayne	New Access			Х	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD		12	\$12.00	
Wayne	NorthStar Telecom			Х	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD		28	\$28.00	
Wayne	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD		389	\$389.00	
Wayne	Qwest			Х	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD	Yes	1,888	\$1,887.54	
Winside	Northeast Nebraska			X	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD	Wayne County Winside Fire Department	352	\$353.96	
Winside	NT&T			Х	\$1.00	Wayne County/Wayne PD		2	\$2.00	
Benedict	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	York County/York	York County	302	\$151.00	\$3,916.47
Benedict	NT&T			Χ	\$0.50	York County/York		9	\$4.50	
Bradshaw	ALLTEL			Χ	\$0.50	York County/York	York County	334	\$167.00	
Bradshaw	NT&T			Χ	\$0.50	York County/York		5	\$2.50	
Gresham	ALLTEL			Χ	\$0.50	York County/York	York County	381	\$190.50	
Gresham	NT&T			Χ	\$0.50	York County/York		15	\$7.50	
Henderson	Henderson Coop			Χ	\$0.50	York County/York		971	\$485.97	
Henderson	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	York County/York		1	\$0.50	
McCool Junction	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	York County/York		628	\$314.00	
McCool Junction	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	York County/York		14	\$7.00	
Waco	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	York County/York	York County	452	\$226.00	
Waco	NT&T			Х	\$0.50	York County/York		17	\$8.50	
York	AT&T-C of the Midwest, Inc.			Х	\$0.50	York County/York		0	\$0.00	
York	NT&T			Χ	\$0.50	York County/York		127	\$58.50	

Name of Exchange (Do Not use Numeric Prefixes)	Local Exchange Carrier	Basic 911	ANI/ ALI	E911	Monthly Surcharge to be Collected Approved by Jurisdiction	PSAP	Interlocal Agreements	Monthly Access Lines	Monthly Surcharge Revenue	Estimated Monthly Surcharge Revenue
York	ALLTEL			Х	\$0.50	York County/York PD	Seward County, Hamilton, Butler	4,585	\$2,292.50	
York	Houlton Enterprises			Х	\$0.50	York County/York PD	Seward County, Hamilton, Butler	1	\$0.50	