
From: Sheriff Neil A. Miller [mailto:sheriff@bcso.kearney.net]
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2010 1:17 PM
To: Melton, Angela
Subject: Wireless 911 Cost Model

Angela,

I was thinking about this as, I have just read the latest amended model for the wireless fund. I wanted to go on record with two things. 1. I don't think making the PSAP raise their surcharge to the maximum to be eligible for funding is something the Commission should have a say in. Local funds should be decided by local authorities. That would be like saying that wire line funds can only be used if the wireless surcharge is at its maximum allowed under the law. The order already states what is allocated and what costs will be allowed so what is the point of forcing the raising of the local surcharge? Also keep in mind that property tax revenues play a much larger role in the total costs of a 911 center that does land line surcharge money. My point is they are two separate funds and one should not have any bearing on how the other is administered. 2. I would like to see training of 911 personnel added as an allowable expense. I think that as we move towards the next generation of 911 services and delivery training is going to become a huge issue to the PSAP's. Since a large share of the new training will involve wireless 911 delivery of new content as well as other wireless call delivery enhancements I think the wireless fund should help to pay for training. You and Joan always say we can give input into these things with just an email so that is what I am doing. I am spending about 50% of my time working on the new jail. I hope to make the meeting on the 11th in Lincoln. Any questions please let me know.

Thanks,

Neil

1/5/2010